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COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
There are few minor mistakes which should be corrected before final submission. My observations are as below: 1. There is probably a typing mistake in title. To the best of my understanding the title should be: Translating new data to the daily practice in second line TREATMENT of renal cell carcinoma: the role of tumor growth rate (TGR) Similarly, the running title should be: Current second line TREATMENT of metastatic RCC patients 2. There are a few minor typing mistakes scattered throughout the manuscript, therefore it should be carefully proofread.