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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the words “and third” may be as “and the third”; “occur at” as “occur in”; “negative” as “a negative”; “of liver” as “of the liver”; “after initial” as “after the initial”; “of intestine” as “of the intestine”; “mass with” as “mass”; “tubular” as “a tubular”; “a survival” as “survival”; “OS of” as “the OS of”; “poor” as “a poor”; “a cancer” as “cancer”. The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.

2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the words “dose adjusted” may be as “dose-adjusted”; “normal” as “normal”; “break apart” as “break-apart”; “stage I, II and IV” as “stage I, stage II and stage IV or stages I, II and IV”; “1 inhibitors” as “1 inhibitor”; “unknown” as “unknown”. The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.

3. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the abstract and the remaining for the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), survival rate (OS),
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germinal center B-cell (GCB), etc.). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words to be abbreviated. 4. The authors may cite recent prevalence or incidence data about Colorectal cancer (CRC) or Adenocarcinoma and it should be at-least of 2022 or 2023. 5. In results, figures should be highlighted with arrow mark about what kind of changes have been noted in the staining slides (immune-histopathological slides) for better understanding. 6. The limitation of the present investigation and future direction may be given along with conclusion or under separate heading for understanding the concepts clearly.
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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

I sincerely express my gratitude to the editor for affording me the opportunity to evaluate this commendable manuscript. The research presented herein delves into a significant scientific exploration concerning a particularly uncommon instance of tumors. In this regard, I would like to draw attention to a specific aspect concerning the figures. To enhance clarity and coherence, I recommend the incorporation of arrows to demarcate and emphasize the various findings being described. This modification will assuredly contribute to the overall quality and comprehensibility of the work.