Spontaneous healing of complicated crown-root fractures in children: two case report
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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Complicated crown-root fracture is considered as a severe dental trauma and is unlikely to heal without treatment. Usually, dentists have to remove the loose coronal fragment of the fractured tooth and treat the remaining part with multidisciplinary approaches. However, we observed spontaneous healing of fracture in two pediatric cases with history of complicated crown-root fractures over four years ago.

CASE SUMMARY
In case 1, a twelve-year-old boy complained pain at tooth 11 following an accidental fall one day ago. Clinical examination showed a crack line on the crown of tooth 11. CBCT images of tooth 11 showed signs of hard tissue deposition between the fractured fragments. The patient recalled that tooth 11 had struck the floor one year ago without seeking any other treatment. In case 2, a ten-year-old girl fell down one day ago and wanted to have her teeth examined. Clinical examination showed a fracture line on the crown of tooth 21. CBCT images of tooth 21 also showed signs of hard tissue deposition between the fractured fragments. She also had a history of dental trauma one year ago and tooth 11 received dental treatment by another dentist. According to her periapical radiograph at that time, tooth 21 was fractured one year ago and the fracture was overlooked by her dentist. Both of these two cases showed sign of spontaneous healing.
of complicated crown-root fractures. After over four years of follow-up, both fractured teeth showed no signs of abnormality.

CONCLUSION
These findings may provide new insights and perspectives on the management and treatment of crown-root fractures in children.
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**Core Tip:** Crown-root fracture is a severe dental trauma involving the enamel, dentin, cementum, and the periodontal ligament. The mobile coronal fragment usually needs to be removed or reattached with bonding agent depending on the extent of the injury. Spontaneous healing with hard tissues has been rarely reported in crown-root fracture so far. In this report, we present two clinical cases with spontaneous healing of complicated crown-root fractures of permanent central incisors in children with over four years of follow-up, which may provide new insights and perspectives on the management and treatment of crown-root fractures.

INTRODUCTION
Crown-root fracture originates from the crown and extends apically in an oblique direction[1]. It is usually caused by fall, fight, traffic accident, or foreign bodies[2]. It is reported that the incidences of crown-root fractures are 2% in the deciduous teeth and 5% in the permanent dentition[3]. According to the IADT guidelines for the management of traumatic dental injuries, the options for the treatment of complicated crown-root
fractures with pulpal exposure include partial pulpotomy, root canal therapy, gingivectomy, orthodontic extrusion, surgical extrusion, root submergence, and extraction with immediate or delayed implant-retained crown restoration or a conventional bridge. Due to the complexity of crown-root fracture, its treatment is not always the same and different dentists may choose different treatments.

In this report, we present two clinical cases with spontaneous healing of complicated crown-root fractures of permanent central incisors in children. In both of these two cases, the fractured fragments healed spontaneously with hard tissue deposition around the fracture lines, which suggested that dentists may have more treatment options when dealing with crown-root fracture.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

Case 1

A twelve-year-old boy was referred to our department complaining pain at his maxillary right central incisor following an accidental fall one day ago.

History of present illness

The patient recalled that this tooth once struck the floor when he fell approximately one year ago, and he did not seek any treatment then due to the absence of symptoms.

History of past illness

The patient was medically healthy without taking any medications.

Personal and family history

There were no specific family health histories.

Physical examination
Clinical examination showed that tooth 11 was responsive to palpation and had bleeding from gingival crevice (Figure 1) but showed no displacement and no increased mobility. Using a dental probe without any pressure, a crack line was detected on the crown of tooth 11, which is slightly below the gingival margin. Cold test showed no response.

**Laboratory examinations**
None.

**Imaging examinations**
Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) images showed an oblique crown-root fracture line extending from the labial surface of the tooth 11 to the palatal alveolar ridge, which is approximately 3.8 mm below the palatal gingival margin. Surprisingly, the image also showed signs of hard tissue deposition between the fractured fragments (Figure 2).

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**
Based on the history and findings of the imaging examinations, tooth 11 was diagnosed as complicated crown-root fractures (old fracture spontaneous healing).

**TREATMENT**
At this point, since there were no other signs and clinical symptoms, and the coronal fragment was not loose, the patient was recommended to wear a mandible occlusal pad for 2 wk with periodical revisit and special attention of not re-injuring the tooth (Figure 3).

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**
During the periodical monitoring of the pulp condition every 3 mo for 1 year, the tooth showed normal color, normal mobility and became responsive to pulp sensibility test (Figure 4). Radiographic examination revealed that the fracture line became blurred gradually (Figure 5). The patient was followed up annually for three years, and there were no signs of abnormality on tooth 11. The periapical radiographs also appeared to be normal (Figure 6-8).

Case 2

Chief complaints
A ten-year-old girl visited our department with her parents after she fell one day ago, complaining pain at her maxillary left central incisor.

History of present illness
According to the patient’s dental history, tooth 11 was fractured 1 year ago and was treated with injectable Root Canal Paste (Vitatex, Morita, JAPAN) for apexification and composite resin being placed in chamber by a local general dentist. During the examination after that injury, the general dentist did not find any responsive sign and symptoms of injury for tooth 21.

History of past illness
Medical history of this patient was noncontributory.

Personal and family history
There were no specific family health histories.

Physical examination
Extra-oral examinations were normal. Intra-oral examination showed that there was a complicated crown fracture in tooth 11 with discolored crown and pulp chamber being filled by resin from the lingual surface. Tooth 21 exhibited class 1 mobility and a facial
fracture line below the gingival margin was detected by a probe without any pressure. Tooth 21 was responsive to cold test and palpation (Figure 9).

**Imaging examinations**

CBCT images of tooth 21 showed an oblique crown-root fracture line starting from the labial surface and extending palatally below the alveolar ridge for approximately 3.5 mm beneath the palatal gingival margin (Figure 10), which resulted in the abnormal mobility of the coronal fragment. Interestingly, it also showed signs of hard tissue deposition at the pulpal side between the fractured fragments, indicating a healing process for the pre-existing fracture in tooth 21. Upon reviewing the radiographs taken one year ago after the first injury, the fracture line on tooth 21 was noticed (Figure 11-12). Since the patient never complained any symptoms on tooth 21, and the periapical radiograph showed no signs of abnormality, the fracture was overlooked by the general dentist.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

Based on the history and findings of the imaging examinations, tooth 11 was diagnosed as complicated crown fractures and tooth 21 was diagnosed as complicated crown-root fractures (old fracture spontaneous healing).

**TREATMENT**

During the current visit, tooth 21 was stabilized by a flexible fiber splint (RTD Quartz Splint™, France, Figure 13) and a mandible occlusal pad was placed for 2 wk. Three months later, the splint was removed and the root canal of tooth 11 was completed after obturation with gutta-percha and sealer (Figure 14). Tooth 11 was then restored with resin composite one week later (Figure 15). Tooth 21 showed normal mobility and normal response in the pulp sensibility test. There were no discoloration or radiographic signs of periapical lesions at this point and no further treatment was applied.
OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

At one year follow up visit, tooth 21 remained stable and was responsive to pulp test. The periapical radiograph of the tooth 21 revealed no signs of periapical abnormality and no significant change of the fracture line (Figure 16). The patient was not followed up until four years later. Clinical examination on tooth 21 revealed no sign of abnormality. The coronal fragment was not loose, and the CBCT image of tooth 21 showed signs of healing (Figure 17).

At one year follow up visit, tooth 21 remained stable and was responsive to pulp test. The periapical radiograph of the tooth 21 revealed no signs of periapical abnormality and no significant change of the fracture line (Figure 16). The patient was not followed up until four years later. Clinical examination on tooth 21 revealed no sign of abnormality. The coronal fragment was not loose, and the CBCT image of tooth 21 showed signs of healing (Figure 17).

DISCUSSION

Crown-root fracture is a severe dental trauma involving the enamel, dentin, cementum, and the periodontal ligament \[^{5-6}\]. According to the classifications of Andreasen, it can be classified as complicated crown-root fracture if there is pulpal involvement and non-complicated crown-root fracture if there is no pulpal involvement \[^{7}\]. In the case of complicated crown-root fracture, complications, e.g., pulp necrosis, apical periodontitis, and root resorption may occur if the pulp is left untreated \[^{8-9}\]. The mobile coronal fragment usually needs to be removed or reattached with bonding agent depending on the extent of the injury. Multidisciplinary care is usually required for the treatment of crown-root fracture. While relatively common in root fractures, spontaneous healing with hard tissues has been rarely reported in crown-root fracture so far \[^{10-12}\]. This is probably due to of the severe pulp injury and the connections of the fracture in the coronal portion with the oral cavity, leading to inevitable microbial contamination in pulp and subsequent pulpal necrosis \[^{13-15}\].
Inorganic compound accounts for 95% of the enamel[^16], and fracture on the enamel is unlikely to heal. That is the reason why the enamel fragments were still separated by a narrow radiolucent line in the CBCT images, and we can still detect a fracture on the labial surface of the enamel clinically. To some extent, the two cases are analogous to root fractures in the cervical third except for the fracture on the enamel. Healing of crown-root fractures is the healing of dentine and cementum, which is similar to the healing of root fractures. According to Andreasen and Hjorting-Hansen, healing of root fractures can be classified into four types[^17]: 1. Calcified tissue wound healing; 2. healing by interposition of connective tissue; 3. healing by interposition of bone and connective tissue; and 4. healing by interposition of granulation tissue, and the most desirable outcome is the calcified tissue wound healing. In these two cases, it is likely that calcified tissue wound healing occurred since CBCT showed deposition of radiopaque tissues between the separated fragments. In addition, both teeth exhibited response in the pulp test during the follow up examination, which can demonstrate the reparative dentin formation and calcified tissue wound healing.

Due to the bacterial invasion from the gingival sulcus, the reported incidence of tooth survival for root fractures in the cervical third is only 30%[^18]. In these two cases, the fracture was closer to gingival sulcus than most root fracture, and the labial fracture lines were located in gingival sulcus. Thus, it is intriguing how and why the pulp survived in these two cases. Based on the age of the patients, it is possible that the initial injuries occurred during the later phase of the maxillary central incisors eruption when the tooth roots were still immature. At that moment, the cervical region of the enamel was still covered by junctional epithelium, which seals the fracture from the oral cavity. The junctional epithelium and the pulp also contain immune cells (e.g., neutrophil, lymphocyte, macrophage, and mast cells) that can protect the pulp against the microbes near the gingival sulcus[^16, 19]. Moreover, pulpal vitality after injury might be maintained by the stability of the oblique fracture line, which is in consistent with our clinical finding that there is no severe displacement of coronal fragment after injury. Finally, the immature teeth have more sufficient blood supply with large amount of
stem cells that can promote and accelerate healing. All these favorable factors provided an ideal circumstance to maintain the vitality of the pulp. The vital pulp and the intact periodontal ligament contain large number of odontoblasts and cementoblasts \cite{19}, which might contribute to the deposition of hard tissue matrix between the fragments and the healing of the fractures.

There are still some limitations in this study. The use of the mandibular pad in treatments was not based on the IADT Guidelines. Instead, the treatment was based on a previous study\cite{20}. Further research is needed to compare different treatments.

**CONCLUSION**

In this case report, we observed hard tissue healing without any intervention in two pediatric cases of crown-root fracture, suggesting that spontaneous healing with hard tissue deposition may occur in pediatric patients with complicated crown-root fracture and minimal displacement. It should be emphasized that conservative treatments with regular follow up should be preferred for cases of children after trauma.
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