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COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This article is well organized and written. 1. There are several errors of spacing of the words and misspelling. (epitheloid, characteristics, very low, SUV.Liver, at least) 2. I can’t understand this sentence; They concluded that LWR can be safely performed and they have better outcome in terms of postoperative recovery regardless of tumor size and location [54]. You mean in terms of?

Authors’ response:
1) epithelioid, characteristic, very low, standardized uptake value (SUV), liver, at least were corrected and spaced properly.

2) Thanks for pointing out the mistake we made. You are correct. We meant, “in terms of”. Lee et al. did a case control study and they examined the efficacy and safety of LWR in comparison to open wedge resection (OWR) based on tumor size and location. The authors concluded that LWR can be safely performed and have better outcome in terms of postoperative recovery regardless of tumor size and location.
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This paper reviewed the GIST from its pathogenesis to diagnosis and management. The paper is well organized and written. But it is necessary to present pathogenesis clearly through some figures. And some spacing errors need to be evaded.
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Pathogenesis (figure 1)
GIST

- KIT gene mutation
  - Exon 9, 13 or 17
    - Uncontrolled KIT signalling
      - Exon 11
        - KIT receptor mutation
  - Exon 11

- PDGFRA mutation

- PDGFRA wild type (absence of KIT/PDGFRA)
  - Mutant succinate dehydrogenase
    - Dysfunction of Electron transport chain in mitochondria
      - Defective oxidative phosphorylation
        - Abnormal stabilization of hypoxia inducible factors (HIF)