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Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound Manifestations of Synchronous Combined Hepatocellular-Cholangiocarcinoma and Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Case Report
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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Synchronous combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma (CHC) and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is very rare, with few literature reports and poor clinical outcomes associated with the disorder. Surgical resection is the main treatment, which makes the preoperative diagnosis very important. However, due to imaging manifestations overlapping with HCC, diagnosis of this type of synchronous cancer is challenging and it tends to be misdiagnosed as multiple HCC. Herein, we report the contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) manifestations of a case of synchronous CHC and HCC, aiming at adding to the understanding of this disease. CEUS displayed exquisite vascularity and tissue perfusion in real time with good spatial and temporal resolution and more accurately reflect tumor wash-in and washout times than contrast-enhanced CT in this case.

CASE SUMMARY
The patient was a 69-year-old female with a 20-year history of chronic hepatitis B. Due to months of epigastric pain and anorexia, she referred to our hospital for treatment. Five days before hospitalization, abdominal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) performed at another hospital detected a space-occupying lesion in the liver. After her hospitalization, laboratory tests showed elevated AFP and CA19-9 level. Two suspicious liver lesions located in S4 and S6, respectively, were identified in a cirrhotic background by abdominal contrast-enhanced CT (CECT). Furthermore, the lesion in S4 and S6 were detected by CEUS and assigned to CEUS LI-RADS 5 and M categories, respectively. The patient underwent tumor radical resections. Post-operative pathology confirmed the S4 and S6 Lesions to be HCC and CHC, respectively. A newly-found suspicious liver nodule with potential malignancy was detected in liver S1 by both CEUS and CECT 7 mo after operation.

CONCLUSION
The CEUS characteristics of CHC and HCC are different. CEUS features in combination with clinical information could help in effective diagnosis, clinical decision-making and better prognosis.

**Key Words:** Contrast-enhanced ultrasound; Synchronous dual primary malignancies of liver; Combined hepatocellular and cholangiocarcinoma; Hepatocellular carcinoma; Case report


**Core Tip:** Synchronous CHC and HCC is rare and tend to be misdiagnosed as multiple HCC in clinical settings. Patients afflicted with this disorder generally have poor prognosis, moreover, preoperative imaging diagnosis is often challenging. This paper introduces CEUS manifestations of a case of synchronous CHC and HCC, which showed different imaging features on CEUS images. Overall, the combination of CEUS characteristics with clinical information could help in effective diagnosis of synchronous CHC and HCC, as well as clinical decision-making and patients’ prognosis.

7 INTRODUCTION

Liver cancer is predicted to be the sixth most commonly diagnosed cancer and the fourth leading cause of cancer death worldwide in 2018. Approximately half of primary liver cancers exhibit multifocal origins. According to different origins, multifocal liver cancer can be divided into primary liver cancer with intrahepatic metastasis and liver cancer with a multicentric origin. Synchronous combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma (CHC) and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is rare in multicentric liver cancer, and it is often misdiagnosed as multiple HCC and has a
poor prognosis[5,6]. Moreover, the treatment strategies and outcomes of the two diseases are different. When CHC and HCC occur synchronously, due to the unique fiber components of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) contained in CHC, treatments typically used for multiple HCC, e.g. transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) and chemotherapy, provide limited benefits[6]. Therefore, an accurate preoperative diagnosis is very important.

1 CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints
A 69-year-old female referred to our hospital due to months of epigastric pain and anorexia.

History of present illness
Epigastric pain and anorexia were presented. No nausea, vomiting, acid regurgitation, belching, chills, fever, hematemesis, melena or jaundice (among other symptoms) were observed.

History of past illness
The patient had a 20-years history of chronic hepatitis B and did not receive standardized treatments.

Personal and family history
The patient had a >20-year history of alcoholism (approximately 50 mL liquor per day) and did not have a smoking history, nor did she have a travel history to pastoral areas or epidemic areas.

1 Physical examination
The patient's height and weight were 155 cm and 59 kg, respectively, with a body mass index of 24.6 kg/m². No swollen lymph nodes were found. The abdomen was soft
without rebound tenderness, and the liver and spleen were not palpable under the ribs. There was no percussion pain in the liver area. Mobility dullness was negative, and bowel sounds were normal.

**Laboratory examinations**

Platelet count: 93x10⁹/L; white blood cell count: 2.65x10⁹/L; red blood cell count: 3.99 × 10¹²/L; hemoglobin: 124 g/L; albumin: 42.2 g/L (normal range: 40.0-55.0 g/L); globulin: 23.1 g/L (normal range: 20.0-40.0 g/L); total bilirubin: 10.3 µmol/L (normal range: 5.0-28.0 µmol/L); direct bilirubin: 3.4 µmol/L (normal range: <8.8 µmol/L); alanine aminotransferase (ALT): 50 IU/L (normal range: <40.0 IU/L); aspartate aminotransferase (AST): 61 IU/L (normal range: <35.0 IU/L); alkaline phosphatase (ALP): 126 IU/L (normal range: 50.0-135.0 IU/L); hepatitis B surface antigen (+); hepatitis B e antibody (+); hepatitis B core antibody (+); hepatitis C antibody (-); HBV DNA level: 6.32 × 10⁵ IU/mL (normal range: <1.0 × 10² IU/mL); Serum bio-marker analysis showed elevated alpha-fetoprotein (AFP): 219.00 ng/mL (normal range: <7 ng/mL), serum carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9): 38.40 U/mL (normal range: <30 U/mL) and protein induced by vitamin K absence/antagonist-II (PIVKA-II): 54.00 mAU/mL (normal range: 6.0-32.5 mAU/mL); carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and serum carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA-125) were both normal.

**Imaging examinations**

CT showed a slightly enlarged spleen. S4 showed a hypoattenuating mass (Figure 1A) (approximately 2.1 x 2.0 cm), which presented with marked enhancement in the arterial phase (Figure 1B) and isoenhancement in the portal venous phase (Figure 1C). S6 revealed a hypoattenuating mass (Figure 2A) (an area of approximately 3.0 x 2.7 cm), which presented with an annular weak enhancement in the arterial phase (Figure 2B) and mild hypoenhancement in the portal venous phase (Figure 2C). The diagnosis was considered to be cirrhosis with a malignant tumor of the liver. Ultrasound indicated that the liver parenchyma was thickened and uneven. A quasicircular hypoechoic
nodule with a size of approximately 2.1 x 2.0 cm was found in S4 alongside the
gallbladder (Figure 1D); it had a clear boundary and a regular shape and pushed and
squeezed the gallbladder. The gallbladder wall was continuous and complete, and a
mild blood flow signal was observed inside of the nodule (Figure 1E). S6 indicated a
hypoechoic nodule with a size of approximately 3.9 x 3.5 cm (Figure 2D), with an
irregular shape and an unclear boundary. The nodule protruded outward and pushed
and squeezed the right kidney. The capsule of the right kidney was intact. Additionally,
short-line blood flow signals could be observed inside of the nodule (Figure 2E). No
enlarged lymph nodes were found in the abdominal cavity. On CEUS (Sonazoid 0.6 mL
bolus injection, Philips EPIQ7, and C5-1 convex array probe), the S4 nodule showed
rapid hyperenhancement in the arterial phase (Figure 1F), mild hyperenhancement in
the portal venous phase (Figure 1G) and mild hypoenhancement in the post vascular
phase (Figure 1H). The S6 nodule indicated rim hyperenhancement in the arterial phase
(Figure 2F); in addition, washing out began in the late arterial phase (27 s) (Figure 2G),
the portal phase showed hypoenhancement, and the post vascular phase showed
marked hypoenhancement (Figure 2H). The ultrasound suggested liver cirrhosis;
additionally, the S4 hypoechoic nodule was considered to be HCC, and the S6
hypoechoic nodule was considered to be a malignant liver tumor (the ultrasound
manifestations of the two intrahepatic nodules are shown in Table 1).

FINAL DIAGNOSIS
Synchronous CHC and HCC.

TREATMENT
The patient underwent a complex liver cancer resection, cholecystectomy and partial
resection of the right adrenal gland.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
**Postoperative pathology:** Postoperative pathology confirmed the S4 nodule a HCC (Figure 1I) and the S6 nodule a CHC (Figure 3). As to the S6 Lesion, positive expression of Arginase 1 (Arg 1) (Figure 4A) and Glypican-3 (GPC-3) (Figure 4B) in the hepatocellular carcinoma component, and Cytokeratin 7 (CK7) (Figure 4C) and Cytokeratin 19 (CK19) (Figure 4D) in the cholangiocarcinoma components were confirmed by immunohistochemical analysis. No metastasis was found in the gallbladder or in the right adrenal gland.

**Results:** The patient underwent follow-up examinations at 7 mo after the operation. A newly found liver lesion located in S1 showing internal hypoenhancement and mild peripheral hyperenhancement was detected by CECT (Figure 5A). The lesion manifested peripheral hyperenhancement (Fig. 5B) in the arterial phase followed by early washout in the portal venous phase (Fig. 5C) on CEUS. Both CECT and CEUS considered this lesion a malignancy.

**DISCUSSION**

Synchronous CHC and HCC is an uncommon condition that very few literatures had reported previously. Though rarely occurs, this disorder typically has a poor prognosis. Because of overlapping imaging features with HCC and atypical clinical manifestation, effective diagnosis of this disease can be challenging.[6] Patients afflicted with synchronous CHC and HCC has little or no response to therapeutic drugs due to unique fiber components of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) in CHC. Therefore, a series of local treatments, including transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) and chemotherapy, cannot significantly benefit these patients[6]. Previous studies showed that the survival rate of patients with CHC, who underwent liver transplantation showed inferior survival in comparison to those with HCC alone, and the role and indications of liver transplantation in combined tumor have yet to be defined[7-9]. Therefore, surgery is currently the best treatment choice, but the prognosis is poor due to a high incidence of vascular invasion and lymph node metastasis; additionally, the average relapse time after radical resection is only 5.4 mo[10][11]. Thus,
an accurate preoperative diagnosis is of great significance for clinical decision-making and good prognosis. Although the CEUS features of CHC partially overlap with those of HCC and ICC, CHC still possesses its own clinical characteristics. HCC usually occurs in patients with chronic hepatitis B or cirrhosis. On CEUS images, rapid arterial phase hyperenhancement (APHE) resulted from the formation of neoangiogenesis, and washout during postarterial phases due to reduced or absent of normal structure of portal triads, are identified as characterics of HCC[12]. According to the criteria of CEUS LI-RADS V2017 and related research [13,14], typical HCC is characterized by APHE (in whole or in part, not rim or peripheral discontinuous globular enhancement) with mild and late washout (> 60 s). Rim-like hyperenhancement, early (< 60 s) washout and marked washout within 120 s are specific enhancement patterns of ICC on CEUS. Arterial rim hyperenhancement pattern of ICC is associated with a high degree of malignant cell proliferation in the periphery while necrosis or fibrosis in the center of the tumor on pathology[15,16]. The ultrasound manifestations of CHC are related to the proportion of HCC and ICC components in the mass. The enhancement pattern of HCC-dominant lesions is similar to that of HCC, whereas those of ICC-dominant lesions is similar to that of ICC. The amount of the HCC component may be the main determinant of radiologic LI-RADS categories of hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma; tumors of LR-4 or LR-5 categories were associated with a larger proportion of the HCC component and smaller or none proportion of the CCA component[17]. According to the CEUS LI-RADS criteria, most CHCs are diagnosed as LR-M, and studies have showed that the disease-free survival rate of these patients is low[18-20]. The enhancement pattern of CHC on CEUS is also associated with nodule size. When the nodule is smaller than or equal to 3 cm, the enhancement pattern is similar to that of HCC; when the nodule is larger than 3 cm, the enhancement mode is similar to that of ICC. With an increase in lesion diameter, the manifestations of CHC in enhanced images change from HCC-like to ICC-like[21]. On CECT, CHC commonly shows central delayed enhancement in the delayed phase, whereas it shows marked washout on CEUS images. This heterogeneity
rarely appears in HCC, which is helpful in distinguishing between the two entities. In our case, the S4 nodule showed rapid and hyperenhancement in the arterial phase followed by mild and late washout in the delayed phase, a typical manifestation of CEUS LR-5 category. The S6 nodule displayed peripheral rim-like hyperenhancement in the arterial phase, followed by early washout, which should be classified as a LR-M nodule. Both of the nodules were differ in enhancement pattern, and onset and degree of washout. The discrepancy between the simultaneously elevated level of AFP and CA19-9 and the CEUS patterns (i.e., the CEUS mode of ICC presents upon the increase in AFP and the CEUS mode of HCC presents upon the increase in CA19-9) have been reported to be the diagnostic criteria that can improve the accurate diagnostic rate of CHC\cite{22}. Although some of the previously described features can help us distinguish CHC from HCC, accurate diagnosis of some cases remained tough before operations. Alternatively, ultrasound-guided puncture biopsy is necessary in such circumstances.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, the CEUS manifestations of HCC and CHC are different. CEUS combined with clinical information (history of chronic hepatitis B and the synchronously elevated level of AFP and CA19-9) may indicate synchronous CHC and HCC, which help in effective diagnosis, clinical decision-making and better prognosis.
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