



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Methodology*

Manuscript NO: 91889

Title: Association between Tobacco Exposure and Bladder Cancer Recurrence: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06190600

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS

Professional title: Academic Research

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2024-01-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-22 09:29

Reviewer performed review: 2024-02-02 13:55

Review time: 11 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors worked on the The Association of Tobacco Exposure with Bladder Cancer Recurrence: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Although the novelty of research questions is medium, authors conducted the SRMA very well. the methodology us very robust and good. Results were clearly explained with tables and pictures. Discussion was decent and limitations also addressed at the end. Only one thing, ROB analysis is not mentioned. please look into it. Results can be explained little more, like interpretation of plots. Overall a good quality manuscript