Dear reviewer and editor:

Thank you for your careful reading of our manuscript. We have studied your valuable comments and suggestions. The case presentation and discussion sections were rewritten to better present our findings.

We really appreciate your help in improving this manuscript. Hope this modified version is an improvement of the previous version and meet the requirement of this journal.

Our manuscript had been revised based on the points raised.

Your questions were answered below:
Reviewer 1, Point 1:

A: Comment: Dear authors, greetings, with interest I read your paper on a fundamental topic demonstrating Low-molecular-weight heparin in patients with preeclampsia. The introduction was well written, methods well described, results well presented and the conclusion supported the aim of the study. However, some old references must be updated.

B: Response:

Thank you for your affirmation of our work and valuable suggestions. We really appreciate your help in improving our manuscript.

We found our references ranged from 2014 to 2022. Reference 4, 15, 24, 26, 27, 32, 35 and 39 were from year 2014 to 2016. In these cited references, we found reference 4, 35, and 39 were guidelines. We did not find updated guidelines of these references. Reference 15, 24, 26 and 27 were meta-analyses, of which we cited and summarized in Table 1. The purpose of our research was to summarize all the meta-analyses on the topic of heparins application in pregnancy. For the integrity of literature review, these studies were all included. Reference 32 was deleted. A new reference published in 2023 was cited.

Specific comments from editor, Point 1:

A: Comment: Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. If the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is ‘original’, the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2024.

B: Response:
Thank you for your suggestion, we have uploaded the figures in PPT. All the figures are original.

- **Specific comments from editor, Point 2:**

  **A: Comment:** Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. If there is no PMID or DOI, please provide the website address.

  **B: Response:**

  The references were updated and were revised according to the suggestions.

- **Specific comments from editor, Point 3:**

  **A: Comment:** Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

  **B: Response:**

  The table was revised accordingly.

- **Specific comments from editor, Point 4:**

  **A: Comment:** Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

  **B: Response:**

  The grant application forms were uploaded.

- **Specific comments from editor, Point 5:**

  **A: Comment:** The main text of case report contains Introduction, Case presentation [(1) Chief complaints; (2) History of present illness; (3) History of past illness; (4) Personal and family history; (5) Physical examination upon admission; (6) Laboratory examinations; and (7) Imaging examinations], Final diagnosis, Treatment, Outcome and follow up, Discussion and Conclusion.
B: Response:

Thank you for your valuable suggestions. We cannot agree more that using the above captions will significantly improve the quality of case presentation. Because our case report was not a single case, our manuscript was a summary of case series. We summarized three patients diagnosed with preeclampsia with severe bleeding events. We put more focus on the procedure of diagnosing of bleeding and immediate treatment. In addition, due to the fact that our inclusion of patients were all obstetric patients. The treatment for patients in late pregnancy may change immediately according to the patient’s clinical symptoms. We focused on the sudden onset of bleeding events in these three patients and summarized the treatment procedure. We revised the captions in the manuscript accordingly.