Sustained virologic response to direct-acting antiviral agents predicts better outcomes in HCV-infected patients: a retrospective study

GianLuca Colussi, Debora Donnini, Rosario F. Brizzi, Silvia Maier, Luca Velenti, Cristiana Catena, Alessandro Cavarape, Leonardo A. Sechi, Giorgio Soardo

GianLuca Colussi, Debora Donnini, Francesco Brizzi, Silvia Maier, Luca Valenti, Cristiana Catena, Alessandro Cavarape, Leonardo A. Sechi, Giorgio Soardo, Division of Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Udine, Udine 33100, Italy

Luca Velenti, Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, University of Milan, and Translational Medicine, Department of Transfusion Medicine and Hematology, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda - Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico Milano, Milan 20122, Italy.

Corresponding author: **Prof. Giorgio Soardo**, MD, Clinica Medica, Department of Medicine, University of Udine, 1st floor, Building n.8, Piazzale Santa Maria della Misericordia 1, 33100 Udine UD, Italy. Tel.: +39 0432 559 804, Fax: +39 0432 559 490, email: <u>giorgio.soardo@uniud.it</u>

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Table S1. Inclusion criteria for direct-acting antiviral agents use by the Italian MedicinesAgency (AIFA) recommendations and according to the sustained virologic responseachievement and hepatocellular carcinoma development.

N.	Criterium	No SVR n = 18	SVR n = 362	No HCC n = 363	HCC* n = 17
1	Patients with cirrhosis of class A or B Child-Pugh and/or with the presence of a hepatocellular carcinoma that has been cured by surgery or local therapy and that are not potential candidate to liver transplantation [n (%)]	12 (66.7)	118 (32.6)	116 (31.9)	14 (82.3)
2	HCV viremia recurrent after liver transplantation in patients clinically stable and with an optimal immunosuppressive therapy [n (%)]	1 (5.6)	9 (2.5)	9 (2.5)	1 (5.9)
3	Serious extrahepatic manifestations independently of grade of liver fibrosis evaluated by the Metavir score system [n (%)]	0	19 (5.2)	19 (5.2)	0
4	Chronic liver inflammation with a Metavir score of more than F2 [n (%)]	3 (16.7)	90 (24.8)	92 (25.3)	1 (5.9)
5	Patients in waiting list for liver transplantation with a Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score of less than 25, and/or with a hepatocellular carcinoma that can be cured according to Milan criteria, and that can wait in list for at least 2 months [n (%)]	0	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	0
6	Patients with transplantation of bone marrow or solid organ other than liver with liver fibrosis more than Metavir score F1 [n (%)]	0	4 (1.1)	4 (1.1)	0
7	Patients with chronic hepatitis with fibrosis Metavir more than F2 and/or the presence of chronic pathological conditions that can participate to liver damage such as HIV or HBV coinfection, non-viral chronic liver disease, drug- treated diabetes, obesity, or congenital hemoglobin or coagulation diseases [n (%)]	0	38 (10.5)	38 (10.5)	0
8	Chronic hepatitis with fibrosis Metavir F0-F1 and/or the presence of chronic pathological conditions that can participate to liver damage such as HIV or HBV coinfection, non-viral chronic liver disease, drug-treated diabetes, obesity, or congenital hemoglobin or coagulation diseases [n (%)]	2 (11.1)	83 (22.9)	84 (23.1)	1 (5.9)

DAAs, direct-acting antiviral agents; SVR, sustained virologic response; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma. *P=0.007 by Fisher exact test between HCC and inclusion criteria.

Drugs association	No SVR n= 18	SVR* n = 362	No HCC n = 363	HCC** n = 17
Daclatasvir + Ribavirin [n (%)]	3 (16.7)	47 (13.0)	47 (12.9)	3 (17.6)
Sofosbuvir + Velpatasvir [n (%)]	2 (11.1)	84 (23.2)	86 (23.7)	0
Ledipasvir + Ribavirin [n (%)]	1 (5.6)	57 (15.7)	57 (15.7)	1 (5.9)
Glecaprevir + Pibrentasvir [n (%)]	0	57 (15.7)	57 (15.7)	0
Simeprevir + Sofosbuvir [n (%)]	5 (27.8)	21 (7.7)	28 (7.7)	8 (47.0)
Sofosbuvir + Ribavirin [n (%)]	4 (22.2)	35 (9.9)	36 (9.9)	3 (17.6)
Elbasvir + Grazoprevir [n (%)]	2 (11.1)	24 (6.6)	24 (6.6)	2 (11.8)
Ombitasvir + Paritaprevir + Ritonavir [n (%)]	1 (5.6)	27 (7.7)	28 (7.7)	0

Table S2. Frequencies of direct-acting antiviral agents use according to the sustained virologic response achievement and hepatocellular carcinoma development

DAAs, direct-acting antiviral agents; SVR, sustained virologic response; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma. *P=0.010 and **P<0.001 by Fisher exact test between drug association and SVR or HCC, respectively.

Table S3. Frequencies of hepatitis C virus genotypes according to the sustained	virologic
response achievement and hepatocellular carcinoma development	

HCV genotype	No SVR n = 18	SVR n = 362	No HCC n = 363	HCC n = 17
1a [n (%)]	0	61 (16.8)	61 (16.8)	0
1b [n (%)]	7 (38.9)	137 (37.8)	136 (37.5)	8 (47.1)
2 [n (%)]	5 (27.8)	78 (21.5)	81 (22.3)	2 (11.8)
3 [n (%)]	3 (16.7)	51 (14.1)	50 (13.8)	4 (23.5)
4 [n (%)]	3 (16.7)	22 (6.1)	22 (6.1)	3 (17.6)
5 [n (%)]	0	12 (3.3)	12 (3.3)	0
6 [n (%)]	0	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	0

DAAs, direct-acting antiviral agents; SVR, sustained virologic response; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma.

Adverse event	No SVR n = 18	SVR n = 362	Р
Lymphomas [n (%)]	1 (5.6)	0	NS
Acute liver failure [n (%)]	2 (11.1)	0	0.015
Acute kidney disease [n (%)]	1 (5.6)	0	NS
Abdominal pain [n (%)]	2 (11.1)	1	0.043
Cutaneous rash or itching [n (%)]	1 (5.6)	1 (0.3)	NS
Severe thrombocytopenia (< 50 x 10 ³ /ml) [n (%)]	0	1 (0.3)	NS
Hypotension [n (%)]	1 (5.6)	0	NS
Hypertension crisis [n (%)]	1 (5.6)	0	NS
Anxiety, insomnia, or agitation [n (%)]	2 (11.1)	0	0.015
DAAs interruption [n (%)]	4 (22.2)	1 (0.3)	< 0.001
Ribavirin interruption [n (%)]	0	2 (0.6)	NS

Table S4. Frequency of adverse events occurred during the treatment with direct-acting antiviral agents.

DAAs, direct-acting antiviral agents; SVR, sustained virologic response.

Figure S1

Kaplan-Meier curves of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) or mortality free probability by sustained virologic response (SVR) achievement and the presence of cirrhosis

