



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 55923

**Title:** Continuity of cancer care in the era of COVID-19 pandemic: Role of social media in low- and middle-income countries

**Reviewer’s code:** 05386405

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Chief Physician, Doctor, Instructor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** China

**Author’s Country/Territory:** India

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-04-10

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-11-03 12:38

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-11-09 15:05

**Review time:** 6 Days and 2 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This manuscript is an opinion review about the social media applications in follow-up of cancer patients during this pandemic, which has important clinical significance. There are some questions plaguing us, such as how to popularize the applications of social software and whether the social media applications can actually improve cancer the long-term prognosis of cancer. The authors pointed out and analyze the main problems in the paper. Several concerns should be addressed to enhance the interpretation of the manuscript, and are listed below. 1. The manuscript is well written, however, there are still a few misspellings and errors, which might lower the quality of this paper. In page 2, "self isolation" should be "self-quarantine", "low and middle income countries" should be "low- and middle-income countries". In page 3, "middle class" should be "middle-class", "Digital Information Security" should be "Digital Information Security". 2. The authors have shown that social software is beneficial for cancer follow-up, however, there was very little evidence. And the safety and reliability of social media applications need more evidence.