



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Virology*

Manuscript NO: 77226

Title: Effects of COVID-19 on children with autism

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 06090125

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Lecturer, Technical Editor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Iraq

Author’s Country/Territory: Bahrain

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-20 15:05

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-21 10:18

Review time: 19 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please read my comments/suggestions given below for preparing the revised draft:
My Comments and Suggestions to Authors: 1- The abstract is not convincing and is disorganized, it should be refined to precisely illustrate what authors have done in this paper within 200 words. The abstract must be a concise yet comprehensive reflection of what is in your paper. Remember that reader want to know: 1-what is the problem. 2- why the problem is relevant 3- wants an overview of your approach. 4-need to know the results. 2- Manuscript needs a good introduction, the introduction section of the paper is weak, authors are advised to improvise the introduction section. 3- The authors should pay more attention to paper writing. There are many errors, which hinders the reading fluency of readers. 4- The contributions presented in this paper are not sufficient for possible publication in this journal. I highly suggest authors to clearly define the contributions. 5- Manuscript organisation needs to be restructured. Kindly be as concise and straight to the point as possible. 6- There are no citations for many sentences in this manuscript. Why? Please check. 7- There are many repetitions in sentences. 8- The writing of the manuscript. There are a many incomplete sentences or sentences without subjects. 9-The conclusions in this manuscript are primitive. Write your conclusions



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Virology*

Manuscript NO: 77226

Title: Effects of COVID-19 on children with autism

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06283661

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Bahrain

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-20

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-24 07:19

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-27 07:06

Review time: 2 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Name of Journal: World Journal of Virology Manuscript NO: 77226 Manuscript Type: MINIREVIEW Full Title: The Covid-19 Pandemic and Its Effects on Children with Autism. Comments 1. Title: Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? -Yes. But, it has to be specific. The authors' aim was to address the effects of Covid-19 on Children with Autism (as stated in the whole manuscript), rather than the Covid-19 pandemic, which is a vast. Therefore, the title has to be: The effects of covid-19 on children with Autism. 2. Abstract: Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? -No. At least the main points of the manuscript have to be summarized in a short and precise way (Immune status, neurotropic effects, mortality, impact on autism management and a need for vaccination). And also, the aim of the review has to be stated in the abstract. 3. Key words: Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? -Yes 4. Background: Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? - The following idea should be taken to the conclusion and recommendation part. "So we should regularly re-evaluate the mental and physical conditions and development of children with autism and try to find alternative means of treatment. The medical and rehabilitation teams are critically required to support children with autism and their families and ensure the continuity of physical and mental healthcare during and after the pandemic". -Some ideas in the introduction part are not referenced. - There is one recommendation table in this section. "Table 1: Recommendations to minimize the effects of pandemics on people with autism". Are you summarizing the recommendations you reviewed from the literatures, or those are your own ideas? It is better if you put them with corresponding literatures. 5. Methods: Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? - Not applicable 6. Results: Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? - Not applicable 7. Discussion: Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? - Not applicable Conclusion: Well concluded. Include also recommendation section. 8. Illustrations and tables: Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends? - Remove the statement that says: "Figure 1 shows the various neurological symptoms observed in patients with COVID-19", since you already put the figure legend under the figure. Put only the figure reference (number) in the text. 9. Biostatistics: Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? -Not applicable 10. Units: Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? -Not applicable 11. References: Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? -Yes Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? -No 12. Quality of manuscript organization and presentation: Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? -Yes. But, need some minor corrections. 13. Research methods and reporting: Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? -Yes 14. Ethics: Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? -Yes