



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

### ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hematology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29628

**Title:** Allogeneic stem cell transplantation in chronic myeloid leukemia patients: Single center experience

**Reviewer's code:** 00505755

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-24 19:13

**Date reviewed:** 2016-10-05 15:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript reports the results of allogeneic stem cell transplantation in chronic myeloid leukemia, which is worth reading and knowing. In the discussion session, it is described that the patients treated with ASCT have higher progression-free survival rate than patients treated with imatinib. From these insights, the advantage of ASCT compared to the treatment with TKIs, or the importance of the timing of ASCT may be discussed more in detail. In the first line treatment of CP-CML sub-section in the treatment of CML section, the reason for utilizing the combination of imatinib, nilotinib and dasatinib may be discussed. It would improve the practice of readers especially those who are considering the treatment for the patients with CML.



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

### ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hematology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29628

**Title:** Allogeneic stem cell transplantation in chronic myeloid leukemia patients: Single center experience

**Reviewer's code:** 02446101

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-24 19:13

**Date reviewed:** 2016-10-06 19:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In all, this article was written well. The research results are meaningful and the method is appropriate. However, there are still some language mistakes, for example, the 'miminal' in page 5 should be spelt as 'minimal'. To sum up, I think this manuscript can be accepted after minor revision.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hematology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 29628

**Title:** Allogeneic stem cell transplantation in chronic myeloid leukemia patients: Single center experience

**Reviewer's code:** 01021289

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2016-08-24 19:13

**Date reviewed:** 2016-10-10 11:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript describes the allogeneic stem cell transplantation for the patients with CML. They reviewed the literature and presented authors' experience in their institute. The manuscript is well-written and educational for the hematologists and residents that provide health care for the patients with CML. However, a number of grammatical errors are found throughout the manuscript. They must be corrected. Minor comments: 1. In the case series, 10 cases were presented. However, the manuscript in the same section states that there were one patient who did not received imatinib, 1 subject that demonstrated primary resistance to imatinib, and 9 cases that lost response to imatinib, which is calculated as 11 cases. How many patients were analyzed, 10 or 11? Please make it clear. 2. There are a number of errors where the verb is grammatically incorrect. For instance, Line 2 in the core tip on page 3, "TKIs were provided" should be "TKIs provided". Line 1 in the TREATMENT of CML on page 6, "(TKI), was improved the 10 year survival" should be "(TKI), improved the 10 year survival". Line 8 on page 10, "Five patients were died" should be "Five patients died". Line 2 in the discussion on page 10, "HLA-match" should be "HLA-matched". Line 3 in the discussion on page 10,



## BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

---

"It was showed" should be "It was shown". Line 7 in the discussion on page 10, "Other reports were showed" should be "Other reports showed". Line 7 from the bottom on page 11 (discussion section), "Another study was demonstrated" should be "Another study demonstrated". ? Line 1 from the bottom on page 11 (discussion section), "ASCT did not increased" should be "ASCT did not increase". Line 5 on page 12, "prospective study was evaluated differences" should be "prospective study evaluated differences". Line 11 on page 12, "The studies were demonstrated that" should be "The studies demonstrated that". Line 2 in the Donor source on page 14, "they were compared" should be "they compared". Line 4 in the CONCLUSION on page 15, "Non-myeloablative ASCT is seems" should be "Non-myeloablative ASCT seems". I found similar errors in throughout the manuscript. The authors must correct the errors.