FIELD OF VISION

Statin a day keeps cancer at bay

Siddharth Singh, Preet Paul Singh

Siddharth Singh, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, United States
Preet Paul Singh, Department of Medical Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, United States
Author contributions: Both authors contributed equally to drafting the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript.
Correspondence to: Siddharth Singh, MD, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St SW, Rochester, MN 55905, United States. singh.siddharth2@mayo.edu
Telephone: +1-507-5381231 Fax: +1-507-2840538
Received: February 20, 2013 Revised: March 28, 2013
Accepted: April 28, 2013
Published online: May 10, 2013

Abstract

In addition to cholesterol reduction, statins, currently the most commonly prescribed drug in the world, have been shown to have anti-neoplastic and immunomodulatory effects. Several observational studies and meta-analyses have shown reduction in risk of multiple cancers. More recently there has been an increasing interest in the potential role of statins as adjuvant therapy after cancer diagnosis and in modifying cancer mortality. Although post-hoc analyses of randomized controlled trials of statins for cardiovascular outcomes have not shown reduction in the risk of cancer mortality with statin use, these studies lack sufficient power to detect a significant difference in cancer outcomes. Recently, in a Danish nationwide population-based cohort study, Nielsen *et al* showed a 15% reduction in all-cause and cancer-specific mortality in statin users as compared to non-users. Improved survival with statin exposure was seen in 13/27 cancer subtypes, including the 4 most common cancers - lung, prostate, colorectal and breast. In this commentary, we examine this important study, review its implications and limitations, and briefly discuss impact of other drugs like metformin and aspirin that also exhibit anti-neoplastic effects.

Core tip: With increasing economic burden of cancer care, cost-effective, preventive strategies are in focus. Commonly used drugs like statins, metformin and aspirin have been shown to have anti-neoplastic effects and are attractive candidates for cancer chemoprevention and reducing cancer-related mortality. Recently, in a Danish nationwide population-based cohort study, statin users had 15% reduction in all-cause and cancer-specific mortality as compared to non-users. These results are encouraging and show that statin use may be associated with reduced cancer mortality across different subgroups and cancer sites. However, several confounding variables remain, which merit further evaluation before this can change clinical practice.

Singh S, Singh PP. Statin a day keeps cancer at bay. *World J Clin Oncol* 2013; 4(2): 43-46 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/full/v4/i2/43.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v4.i2.43

STATINS AND CANCER MORTALITY

Statins or 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors have been used for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular diseases and currently are among the most commonly prescribed medications in the world. Besides cholesterol reduction, pre-clinical studies have shown that statins may exert anti-neoplastic effects, through both HMG-CoA reductase-dependent and HMG-CoA reductase-independent pathways. By competitive inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase, statins prevent post-translational prenylation of the Ras/Rho superfamily, which are important mediators of cell growth, differentiation and survival[1]. In addition, statins exert proapoptotic, antiangiogenic, and immunomodulatory

effects, which may prevent cancer growth[1,2]. Indeed, several observational studies and meta-analyses have shown that statin use may be associated with reduced risk of prostate cancer[3], hepatocellular cancer[4], gastric cancer[5] and esophageal cancer[6] but not others[7,8]. More recently, there has been greater interest in the potential role of statins in modifying cancer outcomes and mortality. Early data from post-hoc individual patient data meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of statins for cardiovascular outcomes has not shown reduction in the risk of cancer mortality with statin use, but these studies are limited by short follow-up and insufficient power to detect a significant difference in cancer outcomes between placebo and statin group[9].

In the November issue of the *New England Journal of Medicine*, Nielsen *et al*[10] studied the relationship between statin use (prior to cancer diagnosis) and cancer-related mortality in the entire Danish population from 1995-2009 in adults > 40 years of age. Through record linkage between the Danish Registry of Medicinal Products Statistics (which records information on all drugs dispensed from Danish pharmacies), the Danish Cancer Registry (which tracks data on 98% of all incident cancers in Denmark) and the Danish Register of Causes of Death, in 1072503 person-years of follow-up on 295925 patients with incident cancer, they observed 195594 deaths, of which 162067 were cancer-related. As compared to statin non-users, patients using statins prior to cancer diagnosis were 15% less likely to die from any cause [adjusted hazard ratio (HR): 0.85; 95%CI: 0.83-0.87] or cancer specifically (adjusted HR: 0.85; 95%CI: 0.82-0.87). On evaluating risk of death from 27 individual cancers comparing 18721 statin users and 277204 statin non-users, they observed improved survival with statin exposure for 13 cancers, including the 4 most common cancers - lung (adjusted HR: 0.87; 95%CI: 0.83-0.92), colorectal (adjusted HR: 0.79; 95%CI: 0.75-0.85), prostate (adjusted HR: 0.81; 95%CI: 0.75-0.88) and breast (adjusted HR: 0.88; 95%CI: 0.80-0.99). The hazard ratios for cancer death in statin users ranged from 0.64 (95%CI: 0.46-0.88) for cervical cancer to 0.89 (95%CI: 0.81-0.98) for pancreatic cancer. These results were stable across a nested 1:3 matched case-control study of statin users *vs* statin non-users with matching for sex, age at cancer diagnosis, cancer type and year of diagnosis to adjust for the evolving cancer treatments and increasing use of statins over the follow up period. Their robust study design adjusted for multiple confounding factors including age at diagnosis, sex, level of education, residential area, cancer stage, presence of cardiovascular disease or diabetes before cancer diagnosis and whether they received chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy. They also accounted for probability of prescribing statins through propensity score analysis.

Despite the comprehensive nature of the analysis and well thought out adjustments for confounding factors, several important limitations remain. Firstly, no data was available on smoking that affects cancer incidence and related mortality. Conceivably patients may stop smoking after starting statin for a recent acute myocardial infarction, which may favorably modify the relationship between statin use and mortality from smoking-related cancers. Secondly, the healthy user effect and the healthy adherer effect needs to be considered while interpreting the results of this study. Studies[11] have shown that doctors may selectively under-prescribe lipid-lowering agents to smokers or obese patients, because of their unhealthy lifestyle, both of which are associated with increased all-cause and cancer mortality[12,13]. Statin users are more likely to be health-conscious and be more compliant with cancer screening leading to early cancer detection and treatment, translating into improved survival. This may partially be addressed by the study adjusting for cancer stage (tumor size and spread to the lymphatic system), but as nearly one-third of the patients in the statin use group and three-quarters of the no-statin use group had missing data pertaining to tumor size and lymphatic spread, residual confounding cannot be completely excluded. Also, no data is provided in terms of incident cancers or mode of cancer diagnosis - it is plausible that more cancers in the statin users were detected on screening exams in asymptomatic individuals. Besides early diagnosis, statin use prior to cancer diagnosis may also reduce the risk of cancer metastases. *In vitro* studies have shown that lipophilic statin use may reduce the formation and spread of metastatic prostate colonies[14]. This reduction in the risk of cancer metastases has also been observed with aspirin use, and has been implicated in the early reduction in cancer deaths observed in trials of daily aspirin *vs* control[15].

Thirdly, the study does not take into account the potential for concomitant use of other drugs with known anti-proliferative activity and anti-neoplastic potential. Statin users in the study had a significantly higher proportion of patients with cardiovascular disease (70% *vs* 21%, *P* < 0.001) and diabetes mellitus (18% *vs* 3%, *P* < 0.001) and conceivably would have a disproportionately higher use of aspirin or metformin that could have led to significant confounding. The authors do report that a sensitivity analysis excluding patients with cardiovascular disease (which is the only indication for aspirin use with statins in Denmark) produced results similar to the main finding, which adjusts for the impact of aspirin use. Aspirin as well as anti-diabetic medications like metformin use has been associated with reduced cancer-related mortality[15-18] and cancer risk[19-21]. In a post-hoc individual patient data meta-analysis of 51 RCTs, aspirin users were 15% less likely to die from cancer (OR = 0.85; 95%CI: 0.76-0.96), with a more profound effect seen with > 5 years of aspirin use (OR = 0.63; 95%CI: 0.49-0.82)[22]. Aspirin may inhibit cancer cell proliferation and promote apoptosis through cyclo-oxygenase 2 (COX2) mediated and COX2 independent effects[23]. Likewise, metformin use may improve colorectal cancer mortality in observational studies[17], with its anti-neoplastic effects being mediated by activation of adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase and consequent inhibition of the

mammalian target of rapamycin pathway, a downstream effector of growth factor signaling which is frequently activated in malignant cells[24]. In addition, metformin may also inhibit cell growth and promote cell senescence by inhibiting cyclin D1 expression and pRb phosphory-lation[25].

Additionally, while Nielsen *et al* identified a consistent reduction in mortality across various cancer types and various sub-groups of patients, there was no clear dose-response relationship with statin use. The reduction in all-cause mortality was similar in patients with defined daily dose of statins of 0.01-0.75 (HR: 0.82; 95%CI: 0.81-0.85), 0.76-1.50 (HR: 0.87; 95%CI: 0.83-0.89) and > 1.50 (HR: 0.87; 95%CI: 0.81-0.91). This partially could be accounted for by the increased cardiovascular mortality of patients who were on higher defined daily dose of statins, however, there was similar lack of gradient even for cancer-related mortality. This could be secondary to a threshold effect but based on Hill's criteria for causality, presence of a biological gradient or dose-response effect helps to strengthen a causal association. Moreover, they have not explored the potential effects of statins as adjuvant therapy after cancer diagnosis and this merits further evaluation. Lastly, as 97% of their study population was comprised of white persons of Danish descent, their results are not generalizable to other ethnic populations, especially in United States.

In conclusion, statins are gaining traction for multiple non-cardiac indications including cancer. The results of this large nationwide observational study are encouraging and show that statin use may be associated with reduced cancer mortality across different subgroups and cancer sites. However, there are several confounding variables which merit further evaluation and it still is a long way from changing clinical practice. Although cancer risk and mortality have been studied in secondary analyses of many RCTs to assess the efficacy of statins for cardiovascular indications[9,26,27], clinical trials evaluating cancer as primary outcome are lacking. Well-designed, prospective, randomized trials of statins with cancer incidence or mortality as the primary endpoint are needed to confirm or refute these findings. These must take into account various other factors that tend to cluster in statin users and may independently modify cancer risk. Certainly, focusing on high risk populations or patients with pre-existing cancer may be a first step towards the right direction. Nonetheless, as we await data from ongoing RCTs where statins are being investigated for primary cancer prevention (NCT01500577), preventing recurrent cancer (NCT01011478) or reduced cancer mortality when combined with conventional chemotherapy for different cancers (NCT00433498 and NCT01238094), Nielsen *et al*' notable data moves us probably another step closer to broadening recommendations for statin use. Statins as well as other commonly used and safe drugs like metformin and aspirin may cause a paradigm shift in how we approach cancer prevention and treatment in the years to come.

REFERENCES

1   **Demierre MF**, Higgins PD, Gruber SB, Hawk E, Lippman SM. Statins and cancer prevention. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2005; **5**: 930-942 [PMID: 16341084]

2   **Chan KK**, Oza AM, Siu LL. The statins as anticancer agents. *Clin Cancer Res* 2003; **9**: 10-19 [PMID: 12538446]

3   **Bansal D**, Undela K, D'Cruz S, Schifano F. Statin use and risk of prostate cancer: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**: e46691 [PMID: 23049713 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046691]

4   **Singh S,** Singh PP, Singh AG, Murad MH, Sanchez W. Statins Are Associated With a Reduced Risk of Hepatocellular Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology* 2012 [PMID: 23063971 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.10.005]

5   **Singh PP,** Singh S. Statins are associated with reduced risk of gastric cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Oncol* 2013 Apr 18 [Epub ahead of print] [PMID: 23599253 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdt150]

6   **Singh S,** Singh AG, Singh PP, Murad MH, Iyer PG. Statins Are Associated With Reduced Risk of Esophageal Cancer, Particularly in Patients With Barrett's Esophagus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013 [PMID: 23357487 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2012.12.036]

7   **Bonovas S**, Filioussi K, Flordellis CS, Sitaras NM. Statins and the risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis of 18 studies involving more than 1.5 million patients. *J Clin Oncol* 2007; **25**: 3462-3468 [PMID: 17687150 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2007.10.8936]

8   **Undela K**, Srikanth V, Bansal D. Statin use and risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2012; **135**: 261-269 [PMID: 22806241 DOI: 10.1007/s10549-012-2154-x]

9   **Emberson JR**, Kearney PM, Blackwell L, Newman C, Reith C, Bhala N, Holland L, Peto R, Keech A, Collins R, Simes J, Baigent C. Lack of effect of lowering LDL cholesterol on cancer: meta-analysis of individual data from 175,000 people in 27 randomised trials of statin therapy. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**: e29849 [PMID: 22276132 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029849]

10 **Nielsen SF**, Nordestgaard BG, Bojesen SE. Statin use and reduced cancer-related mortality. *N Engl J Med* 2012; **367**: 1792-1802 [PMID: 23134381 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1201735]

11 **Evans JS**, Harries C, Dennis I, Dean J. General practitioners' tacit and stated policies in the prescription of lipid lowering agents. *Br J Gen Pract* 1995; **45**: 15-18 [PMID: 7779468]

12 **Calle EE**, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ. Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. adults. *N Engl J Med* 2003; **348**: 1625-1638 [PMID: 12711737 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa021423]

13 **Gellert C**, Schöttker B, Brenner H. Smoking and all-cause mortality in older people: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med* 2012; **172**: 837-844 [PMID: 22688992 DOI: 10.1001/archinternmed.2012.1397]

14 **Brown M**, Hart C, Tawadros T, Ramani V, Sangar V, Lau M, Clarke N. The differential effects of statins on the metastatic behaviour of prostate cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2012; **106**: 1689-1696 [PMID: 22531631 DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2012.138]

15 **Rothwell PM**, Wilson M, Price JF, Belch JF, Meade TW, Mehta Z. Effect of daily aspirin on risk of cancer metastasis: a study of incident cancers during randomised controlled trials. *Lancet* 2012; **379**: 1591-1601 [PMID: 22440947 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60209-8]

16 **Currie CJ**, Poole CD, Jenkins-Jones S, Gale EA, Johnson JA, Morgan CL. Mortality after incident cancer in people with and without type 2 diabetes: impact of metformin on survival. *Diabetes Care* 2012; **35**: 299-304 [PMID: 22266734 DOI: 10.2337/dc11-1313]

17 **Garrett CR**, Hassabo HM, Bhadkamkar NA, Wen S, Baladandayuthapani V, Kee BK, Eng C, Hassan MM. Survival advantage observed with the use of metformin in patients with type II diabetes and colorectal cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2012; **106**: 1374-1378 [PMID: 22421948 DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2012.71]

18   **Jacobs EJ**, Newton CC, Gapstur SM, Thun MJ. Daily aspirin use and cancer mortality in a large US cohort. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2012; **104**: 1208-1217 [PMID: 22888140 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/djs318]

19   **Soranna D**, Scotti L, Zambon A, Bosetti C, Grassi G, Catapano A, La Vecchia C, Mancia G, Corrao G. Cancer risk associated with use of metformin and sulfonylurea in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Oncologist* 2012; **17**: 813-822 [PMID: 22643536 DOI: 10.1634/theoncologist.2011-0462]

20   **Singh PP**, Singh S. Statins and risk reduction in hepatocellular carcinoma: fact or fiction? *J Clin Oncol* 2012; **30**: 2569-270; author reply 2569-2570 [PMID: 22689810]

21   **Singh S,** Singh PP, Singh AG, Murad MH, Sanchez W. Anti-Diabetic Medications and the Risk of Hepatocellular Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013 [PMID: 23381014 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2013.5]

22   **Rothwell PM**, Price JF, Fowkes FG, Zanchetti A, Roncaglioni MC, Tognoni G, Lee R, Belch JF, Wilson M, Mehta Z, Meade TW. Short-term effects of daily aspirin on cancer incidence, mortality, and non-vascular death: analysis of the time course of risks and benefits in 51 randomised controlled trials. *Lancet* 2012; **379**: 1602-1612 [PMID: 22440946 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61720-0]

23   **Langley RE**, Burdett S, Tierney JF, Cafferty F, Parmar MK, Venning G. Aspirin and cancer: has aspirin been overlooked as an adjuvant therapy? *Br J Cancer* 2011; **105**: 1107-1113 [PMID: 21847126 DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2011.289]

24   **Zhou G**, Myers R, Li Y, Chen Y, Shen X, Fenyk-Melody J, Wu M, Ventre J, Doebber T, Fujii N, Musi N, Hirshman MF, Goodyear LJ, Moller DE. Role of AMP-activated protein kinase in mechanism of metformin action. *J Clin Invest* 2001; **108**: 1167-1174 [PMID: 11602624 DOI: 10.1172/JCI13505]

25   **Ben Sahra I**, Laurent K, Loubat A, Giorgetti-Peraldi S, Colosetti P, Auberger P, Tanti JF, Le Marchand-Brustel Y, Bost F. The antidiabetic drug metformin exerts an antitumoral effect in vitro and in vivo through a decrease of cyclin D1 level. *Oncogene* 2008; **27**: 3576-3586 [PMID: 18212742 DOI: 10.1038/sj.onc.1211024]

26   **Bonovas S**, Filioussi K, Tsavaris N, Sitaras NM. Statins and cancer risk: a literature-based meta-analysis and meta-regression analysis of 35 randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2006; **24**: 4808-4817 [PMID: 17001070 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2006.06.3560]

27   **Dale KM**, Coleman CI, Henyan NN, Kluger J, White CM. Statins and cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2006; **295**: 74-80 [PMID: 16391219 DOI: 10.1001/jama.295.1.74]