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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1) In case history, kindly elaborate on the chief complaint and history of present illness. Please mention other associated symptoms  
2) Modify personal and medical history. There is only a mention of no history of carcinosarcoma. Carcinosarcoma of which site is the author referring to? And why was this mentioned in the history when the diagnosis of the present case is not yet made.  
3) Typographical errors in the Physical examination to be rectified  
4) Please mention the provisional and differential diagnosis  
5) Please elaborate on the FNAC findings to indicate on what basis was osteosarcoma considered. Knowing that parotid gland is an unusual site for osteosarcoma.  
6) Why as an incisional biopsy not performed?  
7) Was neck dissection and removal of cervical lymph nodes a part of the surgical intervention? In the pathological description of the excised specimen, it is mentioned as "No metastatic tumor was found in cervical lymph node". Please clarify.  
8) In fig 3, the legend mentions as Carcinosarcomas gross specimen. This is again incorrect. It can me rephrased as Gross/Macroscopic findings of the excised specimen
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