



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9127

Title: NUCLEAR IMAGING TO CHARACTERIZE ADRENAL TUMORS: COMPARISON WITH MRI

Reviewer code: 00559125

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-01-23 21:55

Date reviewed: 2014-02-18 21:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study by Maurea and collaborators concerns a comparison of different nuclear imaging methods (nor-cholesterol, MIBG and FDG) to MR imaging for the diagnostic evaluation of patients with adrenal masses. The results show that nuclear imaging methods in general show higher sensitivity compared to MR in detecting adrenal tumors and should be useful in the clinic. The conclusions of this study are relevant to the field and are worth of publication. However, an important clarification about the nature of the groups of patients investigated should be made: it is not clear what is the rationale about the partitioning of patients bearing non-hypersecreting adrenal adenomas in group 1 and group 2. Please explain. Minor point: the Discussion section is too long and should be considerably shortened.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Radiology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 9127

Title: NUCLEAR IMAGING TO CHARACTERIZE ADRENAL TUMORS: COMPARISON WITH MRI

Reviewer code: 01198134

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-01-23 21:55

Date reviewed: 2014-03-12 15:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study by Maurea et al. aimed to compare the illustration of nuclear imaging of adrenal tumors with MRI. Authors found that nuclear imaging has better characterization of adrenal tumors compared with MR. More importantly, radionuclide techniques can distinguish the nature of adrenal incidentalomas and to differentiate the dissimilarity between hypersecreting and non-hypersecreting adenomas as well as between benign and malignant chromaffin-tissue tumors. This manuscript was well-written. I have some minor suggestions for improve the the style of result presentation, which will be friendly for reader. 1. In figure ledge, please add arrows to denote the abnormal area in figures. 2. There were inconsistent style in reference section (Ref. 26 and 30), please revise it.