World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

Thrice Monthly Volume 13 Number 12 April 26, 2025





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 13 Number 12 April 26, 2025

EDITORIAL

Byeon H. Enhancing orthodontic osteodilated arch treatment through comprehensive nursing interventions and cognitive behavioral therapy. World J Clin Cases 2025; 13(12): 99301 [DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v13.i12.99301]

Liu XC, Liu YX, Liu C. Concurrent occurrence of adenocarcinoma and urothelial carcinoma of the prostate: Coexistence mechanisms from multiple perspectives. World J Clin Cases 2025; 13(12): 100248 [DOI: 10.12998/wjcc. v13.i12.100248

Lan B, Qiang Z. Small bowel obstruction due to intra-abdominal hernia: New thoughts on diagnosis and treatment. World J Clin Cases 2025; 13(12): 102204 [DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v13.i12.102204]

MINIREVIEWS

Swarnakar R, Yadav SL. Integration of rehabilitation and palliative care in cancer management: A futuristic model. World J Clin Cases 2025; 13(12): 102326 [DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v13.i12.102326]

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

Srichawla BS, Kaur T, Singh H. Corticosteroids in posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome: Friend or foe? A systematic review. World J Clin Cases 2025; 13(12): 98768 [DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v13.i12.98768]

CASE REPORT

Zhou JL, Xie F. Precision en-bloc retroperitoneal paraganglioma resection performed laparoscopically: A case report. World J Clin Cases 2025; 13(12): 98721 [DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v13.i12.98721]

Janež J, Romih J, Čebron Ž, Gavric A, Plut S, Grosek J. Intraluminal migration of a surgical drain near an anastomosis site after total gastrectomy: A case report. World [Clin Cases 2025; 13(12): 99229 [DOI: 10.12998/wjcc. v13.i12.99229]

Yuan TY, Chen YX, Zhao YG, Wang B, Wang SX. Gastrointestinal bleeding due to small bowel metastasis from lung adenocarcinoma: A case report. World J Clin Cases 2025; 13(12): 100045 [DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v13.i12.100045]

Chen KQ, Jiang WQ, Li XR. Diagnostic value of upper gastrointestinal imaging for duodenal webbing in adults: A case report. World J Clin Cases 2025; 13(12): 101443 [DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v13.i12.101443]

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Shulkin A, Efanov JI. Enhancing outcomes in severe lymphedema through combined treatment strategies. World J *Clin Cases* 2025; 13(12): 98825 [DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v13.i12.98825]



Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 13 Number 12 April 26, 2025

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Clinical Cases, Bijnya Birajita Panda, MD, Assistant Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar 751019, India. bigyan_panda@yahoo.co.in

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2024 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2023 journal impact factor (JIF) for WJCC as 1.0; JIF without journal self cites: 0.9; 5-year JIF: 1.1; JIF Rank: 170/329 in medicine, general and internal; JIF Quartile: Q3; and 5-year JIF Quartile: Q3.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Lei Zhang, Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Cover Editor: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Clinical Cases	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN ISSN 2307-8960 (online)	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
April 16, 2013	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Thrice Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Bao-Gan Peng, Salim Surani, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
April 26, 2025	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2025 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2025 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com



W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2025 April 26; 13(12): 99229

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v13.i12.99229

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

CASE REPORT

Intraluminal migration of a surgical drain near an anastomosis site after total gastrectomy: A case report

Jurij Janež, Jan Romih, Žan Čebron, Aleksandar Gavric, Samo Plut, Jan Grosek

Specialty type: Medicine, research and experimental

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's classification Scientific Quality: Grade B Novelty: Grade B Creativity or Innovation: Grade B Scientific Significance: Grade A

P-Reviewer: Kotelevets SM

Received: July 17, 2024 Revised: November 29, 2024 Accepted: December 13, 2024 Published online: April 26, 2025 Processing time: 173 Days and 11.9 Hours



Jurij Janež, Jan Romih, Žan Čebron, Jan Grosek, Department of Abdominal Surgery, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Ljubljana 1000, Slovenia

Jurij Janež, Jan Grosek, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana 1000, Slovenia

Aleksandar Gavric, Samo Plut, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Ljubljana 1000, Slovenia

Corresponding author: Jurij Janež, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist, Department of Abdominal Surgery, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, No. 7 Zaloška Cesta, Ljubljana 1000, Slovenia. jurij.janez@kclj.si

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Esophagojejunal anastomotic leakage (EJAL) is a severe complication following gastrectomy for gastric cancer, typically treated with drainage and nutritional support. We report a case of intraluminal drain migration near the esophagojejunal anastomosis (EJA), resulting in persistent drainage and mimicking EJAL after total gastrectomy.

CASE SUMMARY

A 64-year-old male underwent open total gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y reconstruction for gastric adenocarcinoma, with two silicone drains placed near the EJA. On postoperative day (POD) 4, the patient developed signs of peritonitis and sepsis, necessitating surgical re-exploration abscess drainage, peritoneal lavage, and drain repositioning. A contrast swallow study on POD 18 revealed rapid filling of the abdominal drain without extraluminal contrast collection. Persistent drainage prompted an upper gastrointestinal endoscopy on POD 59, which revealed approximately 5 cm of the drain within the esophagus, with the perforation site located 2 cm distal to the intact EJA. The drain was repositioned under endoscopic guidance. A repeat contrast radiograph on POD 67 demonstrated no evidence of extraluminal contrast extravasation or filling of the abdominal drain. The patient was subsequently discharged without further incident.

CONCLUSION

Intraluminal drain migration is a rare complication following gastric surgery but should be considered when persistent drainage occurs.

Key Words: Drain migration; Esophagojejunal anastomosis; Dehiscence; Total



gastrectomy; Gastric cancer; Case report

©The Author(s) 2025. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Intraluminal drain migration after abdominal surgery is an uncommonly reported complication that can mimic anastomotic leakage. We present a rare case of abdominal drain migration adjacent to an esophagojejunostomy following total gastrectomy. This report includes a literature review to briefly summarize the incidence, pathophysiology, and diagnostic approaches for intraluminal drain migration.

Citation: Janež J, Romih J, Čebron Ž, Gavric A, Plut S, Grosek J. Intraluminal migration of a surgical drain near an anastomosis site after total gastrectomy: A case report. World J Clin Cases 2025; 13(12): 99229 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v13/i12/99229.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v13.i12.99229

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer is the fifth most common cancer and the third most common cause of cancer death worldwide[1]. The current standard of care for achieving curative resection in gastric cancer involves the complete removal of the tumor with an adequate safety margin, necessitating either partial gastrectomy or total gastrectomy[2]. Following total gastrectomy, esophagojejunal anastomotic leakage (EJAL) remains a possible complication associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Conservative management of EJAL with drainage and nutritional support is the most common approach[3].

However, despite the routine use of abdominal drains in the conservative management of EJAL, surgeons must remain vigilant regarding potential complications associated with their use. One such complication is the penetration of a surgical drain through the bowel, a well-recognized but rarely reported occurrence^[4].

We present a case of intraluminal drain migration adjacent to an esophagojejunostomy following total gastrectomy, which manifested as persistent drainage and mimicked an anastomotic leak.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

A 64-year-old male patient with a history of arterial hypertension underwent open total gastrectomy for the treatment of gastric adenocarcinoma.

History of present illness

A Roux-en-Y esophagojejunostomy was performed, and two 7-mm silicone drains (Ch 21) were placed adjacent to the anastomosis. The operation was completed without complications. Pathological examination of the resected specimen confirmed a T1b N0 R0 gastric adenocarcinoma.

History of past illness

The patient has a history of arterial hypertension.

Personal and family history

The patient's family history is negative for gastric carcinoma.

Physical examination

On postoperative day (POD) 4, the patient experienced clinical deterioration with signs of sepsis, including confusion.

Laboratory examinations

Inflammatory markers were elevated significantly (C-reactive protein 341 mg/L, leukocytes 8.1 × 10⁹/L, procalcitonin 1.07 ng/mL).

Imaging examinations

A computed tomography (CT) scan with oral contrast revealed an air-filled fluid collection (7 cm × 3 cm × 2.7 cm) located to the left of the esophagojejunal anastomosis (EJA) and anterior to the spleen, with oral contrast filling the collection (Figure 1).





Figure 1 Computed tomography scan with oral contrast demonstrating oral contrast filling a fluid collection to the left of the esophagojejunal anastomosis, raising high suspicion for an anastomotic leak. A: Axial view; B: Coronal view.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

EJAL, intra-abdominal abscess, diffuse peritonitis, sepsis.

TREATMENT

Due to clinical signs of peritonitis, uncontrolled sepsis, and CT findings suggestive of anastomotic dehiscence, surgical reexploration was deemed necessary. Intraoperatively, we found an abscess cavity anterior to the spleen without clear evidence of an EJAL. Surgical drainage of the abscess and lavage of the peritoneal cavity were performed. We repositioned the first drain behind the EJA, the second left to the EJA, and added a third drain in the proximity of the duodenal stump. After surgery, the patient was transferred to the intensive care unit. Broad-spectrum antibiotics were initiated, and the patient was kept nil *per os* with total parenteral nutrition. On POD 7 after the initial surgery, antibiotic therapy was tailored to the specific bacteria isolated from cultures, and bowel function was initiated with enema stimulation. On POD 13, the patient inadvertently removed the left abdominal drain. On POD 18, a contrast swallow study revealed rapid opacification of the remaining abdominal drain without evidence of extraluminal contrast extravasation (Figure 2). The remaining drain, located a few centimeters from the EJA, was removed due to the absence of drainage.

The patient's clinical condition remained stable in the subsequent days, with no signs of intra-abdominal infection. Inflammatory markers progressively declined, reaching normal levels by POD 21. On POD 24, antibiotics were discontinued, and the patient was transferred to the general ward. However, persistent drainage (25-75 mL daily) from the remaining drain continued. Repeated oral contrast swallow studies (performed on POD 26, 43, and 53) were concerning for an EJA leak, demonstrating contrast filling of the drain without evidence of extraluminal extravasation. On POD 32, the patient was given oral methylene blue, and shortly thereafter, blue staining was observed in the drainage from the abdominal drain. On POD 54, the abdominal drain was shortened by 2 cm.

Due to persistent drainage, an upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was performed on POD 59. The findings were unexpected: Approximately 5 cm of the abdominal drain had migrated into the esophagus, with the entry point identified as a perforation located 2 cm distal to the anastomosis. Notably, the EJA itself was intact, without any evidence of dehiscence (Figure 3). Consequently, the drain was repositioned from the esophageal lumen into the peritoneal cavity under endoscopic guidance. The jejunal defect was noted to be only a few millimeters in size. A nasogastric tube was inserted, and no further intervention was deemed necessary.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

On POD 67, a repeat contrast study demonstrated no evidence of extraluminal contrast extravasation or filling of the abdominal drain. Subsequently, the abdominal drain and nasogastric tube were removed, and oral feeding was initiated. The patient tolerated oral intake well, reported no abdominal pain, and inflammatory markers remained within normal limits. A CT scan with oral contrast on POD 73 confirmed the absence of an EJAL. He was discharged from the hospital a few days later.

Raishidena® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

Janež J et al. Intraluminal migration of a surgical drain



Figure 2 Contrast swallow radiograph demonstrating contrast filling of the abdominal drain without evidence of extraluminal extravasation into the abdominal cavity. The position of the drain was estimated to be optimal, in close proximity to the esophagojejunal anastomosis.



Figure 3 Endoscopy on postoperative day 59 revealed iatrogenic perforation of the jejunum secondary to drain migration. The perforation site was located approximately 2 cm distal to the intact esophagojejunostomy (EJA), which showed no signs of dehiscence. A: The tip of the drain within the esophagus; B: The perforation site near the EJA; C: An intact EJA with surgical clips visible.

DISCUSSION

We present a case of prolonged treatment for suspected EJAL caused by the migration of an abdominal drain, leading to perforation of the jejunum 2 centimeters under the anastomotic site.

The true incidence of intraluminal drain migration remains unknown[4]. To our knowledge, this is the first reported case at our institution. A study by Wilmot *et al*[5] specifically addressed intraluminal drain migration in the context of esophageal surgery. In their retrospective analysis, migration of surgical drain into the lumen at or near the anastomosis was described in 4 of 254 patients (1.6%) after esophagogastrectomy, representing 7% of patients who developed an anastomotic leak in their cohort. However, no similar study has specifically addressed intraluminal drain migration in the context of gastric surgery. Medical devices like sponges, hernia meshes, and gastrostomy tubes can penetrate the gastric or intestinal wall through various mechanisms[4]. The mechanism of bowel injury caused by closed and open drains differs in that closed drains can draw the bowel wall into the side holes by negative pressure, whereas open drains may cause injury due to pressure necrosis[6]. Lai *et al*[7] reported drain migration. They suggested that surgical drain could migrate into the lumen in the region of the esophagogastric anastomosis at the site of a pre-existing anastomotic leak. Ravishankar *et al*[8] reported a case of spontaneous intraluminal drain migration in the jejunum at the site of lateral fistula from the Roux loop after total gastrectomy. They hypothesized that the collapse and fibrosis of associated abscess cavities may have facilitated the migration of the drains toward the bowel at the site of the leak.

Diagnosis of intraluminal migration can be difficult. Persistent drainage of intestinal contents is frequently indicative of an anastomotic leak. However, surgeons and radiologists should be aware that it may also signal the rare occurrence of intraluminal drain migration[5]. The diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of oral swallow radiographs for detecting EJAL are low[9-11]. In a prospective study of 66 patients, Lamb et al[12] demonstrated a sensitivity of 66.6% for routine contrast swallow in detecting EJAL, concluding that routine contrast swallow has no role following total gastrectomy. However, they acknowledged its value in providing information on the location and extent of leakage, as well as its utility in monitoring the progress of EJAL treatment[3,9]. CT scan has higher sensitivity and specificity than oral swallow radiographs[11,13]. It is easier to perform and allows for recognition of associated morbidities like abscess, pleural effusion, pneumothorax, or pulmonary abnormalities. In our case, a CT scan with oral contrast may have identified the intraluminal migration of the drain earlier, potentially expediting diagnosis and shortening the patient's hospital stay. However, in the prospective study by Hogan et al[9], CT with oral contrast demonstrated equivalent sensitivity and superior specificity compared to contrast swallow in detecting EJAL.

Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy is another diagnostic option that offers 100% sensitivity and specificity for definitive EJAL diagnosis[9]. Additionally, it can be employed therapeutically for EJAL management through the placement of selfexpanding metallic stents, insertion of a nasojejunal feeding tube distal to the anastomotic dehiscence, or insertion of a drainage tube into the abscess cavity through the leak site[3]. Although there may be a reluctance to perform endoscopy during the early postoperative period due to concerns about disrupting healing tissue, studies have demonstrated that early endoscopy after gastric bypass surgery is both safe and feasible when performed by experienced endoscopists or surgeons^[14].

There is no standardized diagnostic algorithm for EJAL or drain migration. Nevertheless, Makuuchi et al[3] proposed a diagnostic approach in which, if EJAL is suspected based on clinical signs, a CT scan should be performed initially, followed by a contrast swallow and/or endoscopy if indicated.

CONCLUSION

Intraluminal drain migration is a rare complication following gastric surgery but should be considered when persistent drainage occurs. If EJAL or drain migration is suspected, a CT scan should be the initial diagnostic step, followed by a contrast swallow or endoscopy if further evaluation is needed. Upon detection of intraluminal drain migration, conservative treatment involving drain removal is recommended to facilitate the healing of any associated anastomotic leaks.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Janež J designed the research; Romih J collected the data; Romih J and Čebron Ž analyzed and interpreted the data; Romih J and Čebron Ž wrote the paper; Čebron Ž, Janež J, and Gavric A drafted the manuscript; Grosek J and Plut S critically revised the manuscript.

Informed consent statement: Our institution does not require ethical approval for publishing a case report. The patient provided written informed consent for the publication of this case report and any accompanying images prior to surgery.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare having no conflicts of interest related to this case report.

CARE Checklist (2016) statement: The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

Open Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country of origin: Slovenia

ORCID number: Jurij Janež 0000-0003-2543-5003; Jan Romih 0009-0002-2810-1834; Žan Čebron 0000-0003-1896-7167; Aleksandar Gavric 0000-0002-4114-7397; Samo Plut 0000-0002-5646-6008; Jan Grosek 0000-0001-9832-4596.

S-Editor: Ou XL L-Editor: Filipodia P-Editor: Zhang XD

REFERENCES

Smyth EC, Nilsson M, Grabsch HI, van Grieken NC, Lordick F. Gastric cancer. Lancet 2020; 396: 635-648 [PMID: 32861308 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31288-51



- Meyer HJ, Wilke H. Treatment strategies in gastric cancer. Dtsch Arztebl Int 2011; 108: 698-705; quiz 706 [PMID: 22114638 DOI: 2 10.3238/arztebl.2011.0698]
- Makuuchi R, Irino T, Tanizawa Y, Bando E, Kawamura T, Terashima M. Esophagojejunal anastomotic leakage following gastrectomy for 3 gastric cancer. Surg Today 2019; 49: 187-196 [PMID: 30317492 DOI: 10.1007/s00595-018-1726-8]
- Carlomagno N, Santangelo ML, Grassia S, La Tessa C, Renda A. Intraluminal migration of a surgical drain. Report of a very rare 4 complication and literature review. Ann Ital Chir 2013; 84: 219-223 [PMID: 23103757]
- Wilmot AS, Levine MS, Rubesin SE, Kucharczuk JC, Laufer I. Intraluminal migration of surgical drains after transhiatal 5 esophagogastrectomy: radiographic findings and clinical relevance. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2007; 189: 780-785 [PMID: 17885045 DOI: 10.2214/AJR.07.2322]
- 6 Nomura T, Shirai Y, Okamoto H, Hatakeyama K. Bowel perforation caused by silicone drains: a report of two cases. Surg Today 1998; 28: 940-942 [PMID: 9744405 DOI: 10.1007/s005950050257]
- Lai PS, Lo C, Lin LW, Lee PC. Drain tube migration into the anastomotic site of an esophagojejunostomy for gastric small cell carcinoma: 7 short report. BMC Gastroenterol 2010; 10: 50 [PMID: 20492665 DOI: 10.1186/1471-230X-10-50]
- Ravishankar HR, Malik RA, Burnett H, Carlson GL. Migration of abdominal drains into the gastrointestinal tract may prevent spontaneous 8 closure of enterocutaneous fistulas. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2001; 83: 337-338 [PMID: 11806561]
- Hogan BA, Winter DC, Broe D, Broe P, Lee MJ. Prospective trial comparing contrast swallow, computed tomography and endoscopy to 9 identify anastomotic leak following oesophagogastric surgery. Surg Endosc 2008; 22: 767-771 [PMID: 18071817 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-007-9629-6
- Tonouchi H, Mohri Y, Tanaka K, Ohi M, Kobayashi M, Yamakado K, Kusunoki M. Diagnostic sensitivity of contrast swallow for leakage 10 after gastric resection. World J Surg 2007; 31: 128-131 [PMID: 17180629 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-006-0246-7]
- Clemente-Gutiérrez U, Rodríguez-Chong JG, Morales-Maza J, Rodríguez-Quintero JH, Sánchez-Morales G, Álvarez-Bautista FE, Cortés R, 11 Medina-Franco H. Contrast-enhanced swallow study sensitivity for detecting esophagojejunostomy leakage. Rev Gastroenterol Mex (Engl Ed) 2020; 85: 118-122 [PMID: 31257112 DOI: 10.1016/j.rgmx.2019.02.013]
- Lamb PJ, Griffin SM, Chandrashekar MV, Richardson DL, Karat D, Hayes N. Prospective study of routine contrast radiology after total 12 gastrectomy. Br J Surg 2004; 91: 1015-1019 [PMID: 15286964 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.4638]
- 13 Song P, Li J, Zhang Q, Gao S. Ultrathin endoscopy versus computed tomography in the detection of anastomotic leak in the early period after esophagectomy. Surg Oncol 2020; 32: 30-34 [PMID: 31715559 DOI: 10.1016/j.suronc.2019.10.019]
- Sharma G, Ardila-Gatas J, Boules M, Davis M, Villamere J, Rodriguez J, Brethauer SA, Ponsky J, Kroh M. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy 14 is safe and feasible in the early postoperative period after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Surgery 2016; 160: 885-891 [PMID: 27522554 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2016.07.001]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

