



## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12424

**Title:** Hepatic phenotypes of HNF1B defects: A case of neonatal cholestasis requiring portoenterostomy and literature review

**Reviewer code:** 00227449

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-08 16:27

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-09 21:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well-written manuscript with extensive clinical information. While the review covered many important publications, there are two more basic science publications that should be included because their results can explain the clinical manifestation of HNF1B defect. The first one was Endocrinology 2004, 145:3941-9 on defective insulin release of HNF1B knockout mice. The second one was J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2009, 20:1123-31 on the renal Mg wasting and hypomagnesemia shown in Table 1. Format correction: do not abbreviate Table 1, Table 2 to Tab. 1 and Tab.2. Table title should appear above the Table instead of after the Table.



ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12424

Title: Hepatic phenotypes of HNF1B defects: A case of neonatal cholestasis requiring portoenterostomy and literature review

Reviewer code: 00227376

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2014-07-08 16:27

Date reviewed: 2014-07-24 05:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper entitled "Hepatic phenotypes of HNF1B defects: A case of neonatal cholestasis requiring portoenterostomy and literature review" is reviewed as required. This is a case report about a rare genetic disease. A deletion was detected in HNF1B gene that explains a clinical scenario including neonatal cholestasis and renal cysts. Although it was reported in the abstract that the patient had "pancreatic hypoplasia", it was not mentioned in the "Case observation" section of the text. It was reported that the pancreas was normal at MRCP and stool elastase was found to be normal. This discrepancy should be corrected. The authors also compiled and summarized case reports in the literature. Overall, this is a well-evaluated and documented case report. Also, it is a well-written paper. There are several minor issues to be corrected. 1. The first sentence of the abstract: Instead of "or HNF1B-MODY", it is much more appropriate to use "or MODY". 2. Seventh page: Instead of using the title " Case observation", "Case presentation " is much more appropriate. 3. Seventh page, 14th line: "her bile bladder was small", instead of using "bile bladder" it should be "gallbladder".



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12424

**Title:** Hepatic phenotypes of HNF1B defects: A case of neonatal cholestasis requiring portoenterostomy and literature review

**Reviewer code:** 02439020

**Science editor:** Ya-Juan Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-08 16:27

**Date reviewed:** 2014-07-27 20:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: Hepatic phenotypes of HNF1B defects: A case of neonatal cholestasis requiring portoenterostomy and literature review. Reviewer's code: 02439020 Date sent for review: 2014-07-21 Date reviewed: 2014-07-27 Dear Dr. Jan Lebl, Thanks for submitting your manuscript to WJG. I have read it with interest, the manuscript is really attractive and worthy, and the content is prospective. I consider the paper is relevant and well presented for publication in WJG. However, the following Minor points must be answered before publication: 1. More fresh references within 5 years are more persuasive. 2. It will be considerate if font size in Tab.2 can change a bit bigger. Thanks for submitting your manuscript to World Journal of Gastroenterology.