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Establishment of a prognosis predictive model for liver cancer based on expression of genes involved in the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway

Hua Li, Yi-Po Ma, Hai-Long Wang, Cai-Juan Tian, Yi-Xian Guo, Hong-Bo Zhang, Xiao-Min Liu, Peng-Fei Liu

Abstract

BACKGROUND
The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (UPP) has been proven to play important roles in cancer.

AIM
To investigate the prognostic significance of genes involved in the UPP and develop a predictive model for liver cancer based on the expression of these genes.

METHODS
In this study, UPP-related E1, E2, E3, deubiquitylating enzyme, and proteasome gene sets were obtained from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database, aiming to screen the prognostic genes using univariate and multivariate regression analysis and develop a prognosis predictive model based
on the Cancer Genome Atlas liver cancer cases.

RESULTS
Five genes (including autophagy related 10, proteasome 20S subunit alpha 8, proteasome 20S subunit beta 2, ubiquitin specific peptidase 17 like family member 2, and ubiquitin specific peptidase 8) were proven significantly correlated with prognosis and used to develop a prognosis predictive model for liver cancer. Among training, validation, and Gene Expression Omnibus sets, the overall survival differed significantly between the high-risk and low-risk groups. The expression of the five genes was significantly associated with immunocyte infiltration, tumor stage, and postoperative recurrence. A total of 111 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified between the high-risk and low-risk groups and they were enriched in 20 and 5 gene ontology and KEGG pathways. Cell division cycle 20, Kelch repeat and BTB domain containing 11, and DDB1 and CUL4 associated factor 4 like 2 were the DEGs in the E3 gene set that correlated with survival.

CONCLUSION
We have constructed a prognosis predictive model in patients with liver cancer, which contains five genes that associate with immunocyte infiltration, tumor stage, and postoperative recurrence.
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growing body of attention from researchers. In this study, we examined the correlation of the expression of genes involved in the UPP with the prognosis of liver cancer, to screen out some key genes and construct a prognosis predictive model, in order to provide a new horizon for the role and potential mechanism of the UPP in the development of liver cancer.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Gene sets and data collection**

The UPP-related gene set included 857 genes from the UPP-related Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways\(^\text{[13]}\), among which 10 was related to E1, 38 related to E2, 651 to E3, 112 to deubiquitylating enzyme (DUB), and 46 to the proteasome.

The expression data of 424 samples related to liver cancer were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). Three recurrent samples, 50 normal tissue samples, and one sample without overall survival (OS) data were deleted and the remaining 370 samples were randomly divided into a training group \((n = 296)\) and a validation group \((n = 74)\) in a ratio of 4:1. Another validation set (GSE54236) was downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). This data set included 162 samples, including 81 tumor samples.

**Construction and validation of a prognosis predictive model**

Univariate and multivariate regression analyses were performed to screen the prognostic genes in the E1, E2, DUB, and proteasome gene sets using the Survival (version 3.2-3) and Glmnet (version 4.0-2) packages in R. The threshold of univariate analysis was \(P < 0.1\), and stepwise multivariate regression analysis was used to screen genes associated with OS. The risk score of the screened genes was calculated to construct a prognosis predictive model, and the prognostic ability was assessed using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve drawn with Proc (version 1.16.2) package.

According to the risk score, the patients were divided into either a high-risk or a low-risk group. The Maxstat (version 0.7-25) package in R was used to calculate the optimal cut-off value. The log-rank method was used to compare the difference in OS between the two groups, and the Survival (version 3.2-3) and Survminer (version 0.4.8) packages in R were used to draw the survival curve. In the validation group, the same method was used to verify the model.

**Immunocyte infiltration**

The abundance of 40 types of immune cells in each sample was analyzed using GVSA (version 1.32.0). The correlation analysis between the screened genes and immune-related indicators was performed using the Psych (version 2.0.8) and Corrplot (version 0.84) packages in R.

**Analysis of correlation between clinical parameters and gene expression**

The clinical parameters were compared between the high-risk and the low-risk groups using an independent sample \(t\)-test or two-sample Wilcoxon test, and Spearman correlation analysis was used to determine whether the gene expression and risk scores were statistically related to clinical parameters. The Psych (version 2.0.8) and Corrplot (version 0.84) packages in R were used for plotting. Univariate Cox regression analysis was used to determine the relationship between OS and clinical parameters, as well as the relationship between the gene expression and postoperative recurrence.

**Identification of differentially expressed genes and enrichment analysis**

The Limma package (version 3.40.2) was used to screen DEGs between the high-risk and low-risk groups, with the threshold set at \(P < 0.05\) and \(|\log2FC| > 1\). Then the functional enrichment analysis was carried out using the database for annotation, visualization, and integrated discovery (DAVID, https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) to identify the enriched gene ontology (GO) terms and KEGG pathways of the DEGs.

**Core DEGs in E3 gene set**

As a specific substrate recognition element, E3 plays an important role in the ubiquitin-mediated proteolytic cascade\(^\text{[14]}\). Because of its specificity, the relationship between the expression of genes in the E3 set and prognostic risk was analyzed separately. Similar to the screening method for DEGs, the Limma package was used to screen the DEGs in the E3 gene set between the high-risk and low-risk groups, and the screening threshold was \(P < 0.05\) and \(|\log2FC| > 1\).

**Statistical analysis**

IBM SPSS Statistics 21 and R (version 3.6.2) were used for statistical analyses. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used for normality test, and the independent sample \(t\) test or the two-sample Wilcoxon test were used to analyze the differences in variables between two groups. The chi-square test or Fisher's test was used for analysis of categorical variables. The log-rank method was used to test the significance of survival data.
Table 1 Clinical parameters of the whole samples, cases in training group, and those in validation group, n (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>TCGA (n = 370)</th>
<th>Training group (n = 296)</th>
<th>Validation group (n = 74)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>59.441 ± 13.517</td>
<td>59.53 ± 13.71</td>
<td>59.081 ± 12.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>121 (32.7)</td>
<td>101 (34.1)</td>
<td>20 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>249 (67.3)</td>
<td>195 (65.9)</td>
<td>54 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td></td>
<td>167.34 ± 10.7</td>
<td>167.32 ± 11.368</td>
<td>167.43 ± 7.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.85 ± 19.468</td>
<td>73.05 ± 20.571</td>
<td>72.07 ± 14.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological type</td>
<td>FLC</td>
<td>3 (0.8)</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HCC</td>
<td>360 (97.3)</td>
<td>287 (97)</td>
<td>73 (98.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FLHCC</td>
<td>7 (1.9)</td>
<td>7 (2.4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>256 (69.2)</td>
<td>209 (69.6)</td>
<td>47 (63.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III/IV</td>
<td>90 (24.4)</td>
<td>69 (23.3)</td>
<td>21 (28.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>24 (6.5)</td>
<td>18 (6.1)</td>
<td>6 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>G1/G2</td>
<td>232 (62.7)</td>
<td>180 (60.8)</td>
<td>52 (70.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G3/G4</td>
<td>133 (35.9)</td>
<td>112 (37.9)</td>
<td>21 (28.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>5 (1.4)</td>
<td>4 (1.4)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P values refer to statistical results between training and validation groups. BMI: Body mass index; FLC: Fibrolamellar carcinoma; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; FLHCC: Hepatocholangio carcinoma (mixed).

Table 2 The five genes significantly correlate with the prognosis of patients with liver cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Gene set</th>
<th>Coef</th>
<th>Hazard ratio</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATG10</td>
<td>Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2)</td>
<td>0.48387</td>
<td>1.62234</td>
<td>2.364</td>
<td>0.0181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMA8</td>
<td>Proteasome</td>
<td>0.20721</td>
<td>1.23024</td>
<td>1.962</td>
<td>0.0497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSMB2</td>
<td>Proteasome</td>
<td>0.66763</td>
<td>1.94962</td>
<td>2.483</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USP17L2</td>
<td>Deubiquitinating enzyme (DUB)</td>
<td>-2.8057</td>
<td>0.06046</td>
<td>-3.048</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USP8</td>
<td>Deubiquitinating enzyme (DUB)</td>
<td>-0.46594</td>
<td>0.62755</td>
<td>-1.701</td>
<td>0.0889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ATG10: Autophagy related 10; PSMA8: Proteasome 20S subunit alpha 8; PSMB2: Proteasome 20S subunit beta 2; USP17L2: Ubiquitin specific peptidase 17 like family member 2; USP8: Ubiquitin specific peptidase 8.

RESULTS

Construction of a prognosis-predicted model for liver cancer based on five genes

The clinical parameters of the whole samples, cases in the training group, and those in the validation group are listed in Table 1, with P value referring to the statistical results between the training group and the validation group. A total of five genes significantly related to prognosis were screened to construct a prognosis predictive model, including ATG10,
Figure 1 Prognosis predictive model for liver cancer. A: Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the model in training group; B: Survival curve of high- and low-risk patients with liver cancer in training group; C: ROC curve of the model in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) validation group; D: Survival curve of high- and low-risk patients with liver cancer in TCGA validation group; E: ROC curve of the model in gene expression comprehensive (GEO) validation group; F: Survival curve of high- and low-risk patients with liver cancer in GEO validation group. AUC: Area under the curve; OS: Overall survival.
proteasome 20S subunit alpha 8 (PSMA8), proteasome 20S subunit beta 2 (PSMB2), ubiquitin specific peptidase 17 like family member 2 (USP17L2), and ubiquitin specific peptidase 8 (USP8) (Table 2). In the training group, the area under the curve (AUC) values of the model for predicting 1-, 3-, 5- and 10-year survival were 0.724, 0.659, 0.643, and 0.624, respectively (Figure 1A). All patients were classified into either a high-risk or low-risk group according to the score of risk. There was a significant difference in survival time between the high-risk and low-risk groups (P < 0.001, Figure 1B). In the validation group, the AUC values of the model for predicting 1-, 3-, 5- and 10-year survival were 0.614, 0.66, 0.64, and 0.649, respectively, and the low-risk group exhibited a higher survival probability than the high-risk group (P = 0.012, Figure 1C and D), suggesting that the model can well predict the prognosis in liver cancer patients. In the GSE54236 data set, the AUC values of the model for predicting 1- and 3-year survival were 0.563 and 0.678, respectively, and the cases could be divided into a high-risk group and low-risk group by the risk score. There was a significantly difference in survival time between the high-risk group and low-risk group (P = 0.014, Figure 1E and F).

**Significance of expression of the five genes in immunocyte infiltration**

Through the above analysis, we found that the expression levels of the five genes can predict the prognosis of liver cancer. Because immunocyte infiltration is commonly affected by gene expression, we then studied the correlation of the expression levels of the five genes with the abundance of 40 types of immune cells. PSMA8 was associated with the abundance of the most immune cells, and the abundance of 28 immune cell types was significantly correlated with PSMA8 expression levels. This was followed by USP17L2, ATG10, USP8, and PSMB2, with 25, 23, 18, and 13 types of immune cells that were related to the expression levels of these genes, respectively (Figure 2). The abundance of most cells was negatively correlated with the expression levels of ATG10, USP17L2, and USP8, while PSMA8 and PSMB2 expression levels were positively correlated with the abundance of most cell types (Figure 2).

**The five genes are associated with tumor stages and postoperative recurrence**

PSMA8 and PSMB2 expression and the risk score were significantly different between males and females (Figure 3A-C). For pathological and clinical stages, ATG10 expression and the risk score were significantly different between T2 and T3 stages, PSMB2 and USP17L2 expression was significantly different between T1 and T3 stages (Figure 3D-G). The risk score was statistically lower in N0 stage than in NX stage (Figure 3H), and the expression levels of ATG10, PSMB2, and USP17L2 and the risk score were significantly different between stage I and stage II (Figure 3I-L). Moreover, PSMA8, PSMB2, USP17L2, and USP8 expression was all correlated with the upper limit of albumin results, among which PSMA8 and USP17L2 were positively correlated, and PSMB2 and USP8 were negatively correlated with albumin results (Figure 3M). There was also a negative correlation between the risk score and the upper limit of albumin results, indicating that as the risk value increased, the albumin levels decreased, leading to an elevated prognosis risk for patients (Figure 3M).

Postoperative recurrence included extrahepatic recurrence, local recurrence, intrahepatic recurrence, and new primary tumor. After univariate Cox analysis, ATG10, PSMA8, and USP8, as well as the risk score, were found significantly correlated with postoperative recurrence (P < 0.05, Figure 3N).

**DEGs between high- and low-risk groups and their enriched pathways**

A total of 111 DEGs were screened out between the high-risk group and low-risk group, among which 27 were up-regulated and 84 down-regulated (Figure 4A). These DEGs were associated with 20 GO terms, comprising 9 biological processes, 6 cellular components, and 5 molecular functions (Figure 4B). Five KEGG pathways enriched were GABAergic synapse, morphine addiction, neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction, retrograde endocannabinoid signaling, and cell cycle (Figure 4C).

**DEGs in the E3 gene set between the high- and low-risk groups**

Between the high-risk and low-risk groups, significant differences were observed in three genes within the E3 gene set: CDC20, Kelch repeat and BTB domain containing 11 (KBTBD11), and DDB1 and CUL4 associated factor 4 like 2 (DCAF4L2). In the high-risk group, CDC20 and DCAF4L2 exhibited elevated expression levels, whereas KBTBD11 showed higher expression in the low-risk group. This suggested a negative correlation between the expression of CDC20 and
DISCUSSION

The key factor to cell survival lies in the balance of protein synthesis and decomposition. The UPP is an ATP-dependent non-lysosomal protein degradation pathway, which is important for the body to regulate the level and function of intracellular proteins, thus efficiently and selectively degrading intracellular proteins. This study showed that the expression of the UPP genes ATG10, PSMA8, PSMB2, USP17L2, and USP8 was significantly correlated with the prognosis of liver cancer. The prognosis model constructed based on these five genes could accurately predict the prognosis of patients (P < 0.001 and P = 0.012 in training and validation groups, respectively, Figure 1). These genes were statistically correlated with different clinical parameters and immune cell abundance (Figures 2 and 3). The model categorized all patients into either a high-risk group or a low-risk group, and a total of 111 DEGs were screened between the two groups, which were enriched in GO terms related to protein binding, GABA-A receptor, synapse, etc., and KEGG pathways of retrograde endocannabinoid signaling, neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction, morphine addiction, GABAergic synapse, and cell cycle (Figure 4).

Those five genes were found to promote the development of many malignant tumors, including liver cancer[15-22]. Our results showed that the increased expression of ATG10, PSMA8, and PSMB2 increased the risk of death (P = 0.018, 0.049, and 0.013, respectively), while the increased expression of USP17L2 and USP8 decreased the risk of death (P = 0.002 and 0.089, respectively). According to previous studies, the overexpression of ATG10 and PSMB2 in tumors promoted the invasion or metastasis of tumor cells[16,18], and USP8 showed the opposite effect[21,22]. Besides, PSMA8 could affect the progression and prognosis of colorectal cancer due to its strong association with PSMB2[23]. Interestingly, higher PSMA8 expression levels were correlated with good prognoses for breast cancer through epigenetic regulation.
In our study, it was found that *PSMA8* was positively correlated with the prognosis of patients with liver cancer. On the contrary, *USP17L2* has been found to be overexpressed in a variety of tumors [19, 20], which is similar to our results. However, recent studies have found that up-regulation of *USP17L2* causes chemotherapy resistance in colorectal cancer, and knockdown of *USP17L2* could overcome bromodomain and extra-terminal domain inhibitor resistance in prostate cancer cells [25, 26]. Hence, the role of *USP17L2* in liver cancer still requires further exploration.

The global immune system functions pose great technical challenges to the research of tumor-immune interaction [27, 28]. Because immune infiltration plays a key role in the development of liver cancer [27], we conducted a thorough correlation analysis to identify the immune cell types associated with the prognosis model. Minor alterations in the distribution of immune cells could potentially exert diverse impacts on the progression of tumors [29]. In this study, myeloid dendritic cells were the immune cell type with a significant difference in abundance only between groups with high and low expression of the *PSMB2* gene, as well as neutrophils and Th17 cells between groups with different expression of the *USP17L2* gene (Figure 2). However, no significant correlation was found between tumor-infiltrating immune cells and gene expression, and it is imperative to conduct additional confirmation and validation in an independent cohort. Furthermore, exploring the connection between the expression levels of some checkpoints and immune infiltration, as well as the tumor microenvironment, will be a hotspot for future research.

Moreover, the expression of one or more of the five genes and the risk score were different among different T, N, and clinical stages (Figure 3). It is widely known that tumor stage is a key prognostic factor for malignant tumors [30]. In addition, all genes except *ATG10* and the risk score were correlated with the upper limit of albumin results (Figure 3). The risk score was not only statistically significant in different stages, but also negatively correlated with the upper limit of albumin results and postoperative recurrence, which proves that the model developed in this study has appreciated value in clinical prediction of recurrence and prognosis.
E3 is the key factor in the UPP, which can specifically recognize different substrates and show high selectivity in protein degradation. Therefore, we analyzed the E3 gene set independently of E1, E2, DUB, and proteasome-related genes. Finally, the expression levels of CDC20, KBTBD11, and DCAF4L2 were identified as significantly different between the high-risk and low-risk groups, which were also included in the above 111 DEGs. CDC20 plays a vital role in chromosome segregation and mitosis[31]. It regulates the stability of phosphorylated mitotic centromere-associated kinesin in metaphase-anaphase transition[32], which may play a role as a cancer protein to promote the development and progression of liver cancer. In the study of Zheng et al[33], CDC20, proliferating cell nuclear antigen, and minichromosome maintenance complex component 6 synergistically affect the regulation of the cell cycle and may be potential prognostic factors for liver cancer. Shi et al[34] found that CDC20 serves as a crucial factor in the development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) by controlling the prolyl-4-hydroxylase domain 3 protein. By analyzing four expression profiles from the GEO database, it was found that the up-regulation of CDC20 in HCC tissues indicates poor OS and disease-free survival[35]. Recently, KBTBD11 was identified as a newly discovered adipogenesis-related gene[36]. In diverse cancer types, such as colorectal cancer, HCC, and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, the expression of KBTBD11 was significantly decreased in tumor tissues as compared to normal tissues[37]. This is consistent with our result that patients in the high-risk group had lower KBTBD11 gene expression levels. DCAF4L2 is a member of the E3 complex, which is usually used as a mediator of protein-protein interaction and negatively regulates NF-κB signal transduction. Overexpression of DCAF4L2 has been observed in human colon cancer[38]. In a study of HCC, overexpression of DCAF4L2 is a common feature of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis-associated HCC and viral hepatitis-associated HCC, which can be used as a candidate therapeutic target for HCC[39]. We also found overexpression of DCAF4L2 in high-risk patients, which suggested a poor prognosis in patients with liver cancer.

One of the main shortcomings of this study is the lack of clinical cases. All the data were from TCGA and GEO, resulting in the lack of clinical data for some patients, and it was unable to validate the expression of the five genes and comprehensively analyze their correlation with clinical and prognostic indicators. This is a preliminary study, and the results reported are exploratory. We intend to validate these results and the detailed mechanisms in future studies.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have used gene expression data in TCGA to screen genes involved in the UPP pathway that significantly correlate with the prognosis of liver cancer. Our findings indicate that the UPP plays an important role in the development of liver cancer, which provides new insights into the early prediction of prognosis and precision medicine in liver cancer.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (UPP) is crucial for selective protein degradation, and its dysfunction is linked to various diseases, including cancer. Proteasome inhibitors are emerging as potential anti-tumor drugs. This study explored the association between UPP gene expression and liver cancer prognosis, aiming to identify key genes and develop a predictive model. By doing so, the research seeks to offer novel insights into the role and potential mechanisms
of the UPP in liver cancer development, contributing to the ongoing exploration of effective therapeutic strategies for liver cancer.

**Research motivation**
Due to the high tumor heterogeneity, effective surveillance and predication of the prognosis of liver cancer still face multiple challenges. This study was performed to analyze the relationship between the expression of genes in the UPP and the prognosis of liver cancer and construct a prognosis predictive model for this malignancy.

**Research objectives**
The study aimed to investigate the prognostic significance of genes in the UPP in liver cancer. Using gene expression data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and gene expression comprehensive (GEO) databases, the study identified key genes involved in the UPP, constructed a prognostic predictive model for liver cancer, and explored the associations of the model with immune cell infiltration and clinical parameters, in order to enhance liver cancer prognosis prediction and provide insights into the role and potential mechanisms of the UPP in liver cancer development, contributing valuable information for precision medicine in the context of liver cancer management.

**Research methods**
The research employed diverse methodologies, utilizing UPP-related gene sets and patient data from TCGA and GEO databases. A prognostic model was constructed using univariate and multivariate regression analyses, involving five key genes (ATG10, PSMA8, PSMB2, USP17L2, and USP8). The model demonstrated robust predictive abilities for liver cancer prognosis. Immunocyte infiltration analysis and correlation studies with clinical parameters provided additional insights. Differentially expressed genes and enrichment analyses shed light on relevant pathways. The study’s comprehensive approach contributes a nuanced understanding of UPP gene implications in liver cancer prognosis.

**Research results**
This study investigated the role of the UPP in liver cancer, identifying five key genes (ATG10, PSMA8, PSMB2, USP17L2, and USP8) associated with prognosis. A predictive model was constructed and validated using TCGA and GEO datasets. The study highlighted differential gene expression between the high- and low-risk groups and enriched relevant pathways. Additionally, differentially expressed genes in the E3 gene set (CDC20, KBTBD11, and DCAF4L2) were identified as significant. The findings provide valuable insights into liver cancer prognosis, immunology, and potential therapeutic targets.

**Research conclusions**
We have used gene expression data in TCGA to screen genes in the UPP that significantly correlated with the prognosis of liver cancer. Our findings indicate that the UPP plays an important role in the development of liver cancer, which provides new insights into the early prediction of prognosis and precision medicine in liver cancer.

**Research perspectives**
This is a preliminary study, and the results reported are exploratory. We intend to validate these results and the detailed mechanisms in future studies.

---
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