



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 94005

Title: Impact of hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy on gastric cancer survival:
Peritoneal metastasis and cytology perspectives

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 07807707

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Thailand

Manuscript submission date: 2024-03-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-03-13 08:41

Reviewer performed review: 2024-03-13 15:09

Review time: 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This Retrospective Cohort Study has examined survival outcomes following HIPEC in individuals diagnosed with gastric cancer and peritoneal metastases, and it undertook a comparative analysis of patients exhibiting positive and negative cytological findings. While HIPEC appears to offer a survival benefit, particularly in the short term, the incidence of peritoneal recurrence remains notably high. This manuscript exhibited some clinical importance, while it still has some defects which were shown below. 1.The formats of references should be in uniformity. 2.The wording should be modified to be more precise and understandable, and some errors should be corrected. 3.It was hard to evaluate the reliability of data and conclusion while there were no Figures and Tables submitted. 4.Since HIPEC only offer a survival benefit in the short term, what do you think the possible therapeutic strategy to improve the long-term survival and reduce the incidence of peritoneal recurrence?