



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3991

Title: Controversies in Fluid Therapy: Type, Dose and Toxicity

Reviewer code: 00506472

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-06-07 11:18

Date reviewed: 2013-07-13 12:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the authors: It is a well written extensive review of fluid therapies in terms of fluid type, dose and toxicity. However, the manuscript is limited to a simple review of the most recent randomized trials regarding the above subject. Further analysis of the findings derived from the trials is needed, including algorithms with proposed criteria to be used for the appropriate dose and the type of fluid therapy in any circumstance of patients with fluid deficits. - Table 3 is not included in the manuscript as is a copy of Table 2.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3991

Title: Controversies in Fluid Therapy: Type, Dose and Toxicity

Reviewer code: 00506418

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-06-07 11:18

Date reviewed: 2013-07-16 09:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review deals with the efficacy and safety of the type and dose of fluid therapy for acutely ill hospitalized patients. Useful information is provided. The manuscript was also well prepared. 1. Abbreviations must be defined once they appear for the first time and used thereafter. 2. Table 2 and 3 are the same. 3. The description of hydroxyethyl starch (HES) is suggested to be moved from the section of "dose of fluid therapy" to "type of fluid therapy". 4. Most of the examples were provided randomly. It is easier to follow if the authors add a section (or table) to describe why certain examples were selected in this study. 5. Reasoning for the efficacy and safety of the type and dose of fluids shall be discussed in more detail.