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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
1. Has the author consider the diagnosis of reversible cerebral vasoconstrictor syndrome?
2. What about the difference of diagnosis in the ruptured and unruptured aneurysm, author could add discussion by review the previous published case studies. What are the key points we need to pay attention for the PRES in UIA patients? 3. Please combined the figure 2A-D in a panel.
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## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a case report of clinical interest, which is illustrated by interesting images. The subject falls within the scope of the journal. Description and discussion of the findings are well done and well-founded. The bibliography is pertinent and current, but must be expanded. The text needs improvement, and topics that deserve special attention were marked in yellow in the attached file.
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The paper is good and well written. My suggestions were incorporated in this reviewed form.