Dear Editor,

We have read reviewer(s)’ comments very carefully and really appreciate your consideration. Our manuscript has been revised according to the comments of the reviewer(s) and revised segments are underlined. Regarding the issues you proposed, we are replying as follows:

Reviewer #1:
Specific Comments to Authors: This is an interesting case of brachial nerve palsy related to hematoma in a hemophiliac patient. The case is informative but will need modifications. Firstly, the abstract need not be structured and divided into several sections. Rather, a short paragraph for the abstract should be sufficient. Similarly, case presentation is divided into several headings like Hx of present illness and past medical Hx etc. The case presentation should be in a flow and in the form of few paragraphs only. Please try to modify it. Also, elaborate on the physical exam findings to describe the nerve areas involved rather than just saying e.g medial forearm was involved. Under surgical description you have referred to the lesion as "tumor" while referring to it as a mass or lesion will be more appropriate.
1. The results of the physical examination were described in details according to the neural areas involved (see Page 5).
2. “The mass” was used to replace “the tumor” (see Page 6).

Reviewer #2:
Specific Comments to Authors: The author presented a patient with hemophilia and hematoma related brachial plexus compressive neuropathy. However, the lesion noted in figure 2A was yellowish tissue instead of hematoma-like appearance. The author should explain the surgical finding and showed the histopathological picture.
3. The surgical management of this patient was performed 2 months after the onset of symptoms. Therefore, the excised lesion was an organized chronic hematoma instead of a fresh one. The gross finding of the resected specimen was added in
4. The histopathological feature of the resected mass was shown in the figure 2.

Science editor’s comments

1. The case statement should be incoherent and the structure of the article should be reorganized. 2. The results of the physical examination need to be detailed to describe the neural area involved. 3. The abstract is not concise and complete enough to point out the theme and innovation points of the article, and needs to be rewritten.

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)
Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

5. The article has been edited by MedE Medical Editing Group Inc.

6. The results of the physical examination have been modified according to the neural areas involved (see Page 5).

7. The abstract has been rewritten according to your suggestions.

Company editor-in-chief comments

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, “Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...”. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. In order to respect and protect the author’s intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure
published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is ‘original’, the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022. Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s).

8. Figure legends have been rewritten and copyright information has been added to each picture.

9. The approved grant application forms have been uploaded at your request.

We are looking forward to receiving your decision as soon as possible. Thank you for your consideration and comments.

Yours sincerely,

Hongrui Lu

Department of Hand Surgery
Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital
Hangzhou Medical College
Hangzhou, P.R.China