

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34103

Title: Evidences supporting the vascular etiology of post-double balloon enteroscopy

pancreatitis. Study in porcine model.

Reviewer's code: 03656612 Reviewer's country: China Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong Date sent for review: 2017-03-31

Date reviewed: 2017-04-05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language	[] The same title	[] High priority for
[] Grade C: Good	polishing	[] Duplicate publication	publication
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] Plagiarism	[] Rejection
[] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y]No	[] Minor revision
	[] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[] Major revision
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good review on the etiology of post-DBE pancreatitis. The authors provided the evidences of vascular distress in the development of pancreatitis and give some promising results by their own study. The review is relevant to the clinic which suggest gently handling of the overtube will minimize the incidence of post-DBE pancreatitis. The only thing needs to be changed is to label the figures with the authors contribution or from other group.



7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 34103

Title: Evidences supporting the vascular etiology of post-double balloon enteroscopy

pancreatitis. Study in porcine model.

Reviewer's code: 00180958 Reviewer's country: Portugal Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong Date sent for review: 2017-05-05

Date reviewed: 2017-05-07

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language	[] The same title	[] High priority for
[] Grade C: Good	polishing	[] Duplicate publication	publication
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] Plagiarism	[] Rejection
[] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[] Minor revision
	[] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[] Major revision
		[] The same title	
		[] Duplicate publication	
		[] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very interesting paper, well written, dealing with an important clinical problem