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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
A retrospective study where we compared efficiency of pediatric endoscopic procedures in a tertiary care operating room, community operating room and endoscopy center and secondarily examined adverse events of procedures across these settings. They found that with using strict, identical scheduling guidelines for all locations, undergoing esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) or combined EGD and colonoscopy at the community hospital room and endoscopy center was significantly faster for the patient and endoscopist when compared to the tertiary care operating room. The rate of adverse events was similar across all three locations. The article is reasonable in writing and proper in statistical methods. It is a textbook-level article. Congratulations to the authors.
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Relevant topic. Definitely, improving efficiency of endoscopic services in the pediatric population is a must. Well written article. Could you please explain possible reasons why PT and ET were lower in the community OR when compared to the endoscopy center?