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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors reported an original article to explore the value of two-dimensional shear wave elastography (2D-SWE) using maximal elasticity (Emax) in the differential diagnosis of focal liver lesions (FLLs), especially among different pathological types of malignant FLLs. STATUS: ACCETTABLE FOR PUBLICATION PENDING MINOR REVISIONS

General considerations: This is an original contribution article. The work is interesting and the paper is very well-written. The statistical analysis is good. It is not the only study about this topic, however the results obtained are valid and supported by a remarkable research methodology. I believe that this approach to the evaluation of FLLs must be pursued with further studies in this sense. Abstract: the abstract appropriately summarize the manuscript without discrepancies between the abstract and the remainder of the manuscript. Keywords: adequate. Tables: The tables are well made and summarized. Reference: adequate. Paper On some aspects, the authors should address: 1) Among non-invasive modalities for the evaluation of FLLs, you haven't discussed enough about contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS). Please, do it considering the following articles, which you must cite in the reference: -Diagnostic Performance and Confidence of Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound in the Differential Diagnosis of Cystic and Cysticlike Liver Lesions. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2017;209(3):W119-W127. doi: 10.2214/AJR.16.17062. Epub 2017 Jun 22. -Contrast-enhanced ultrasound in the characterization of complex cystic focal liver lesions. Ultrasound Med Biol 2015;41(5):1301-10. doi: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2014.12.667. Epub 2015 Feb 7. 2) I have found only one figure. You must add others. 3) A comparison of the findings with the CEUS would be interesting. Even if it has not been
carried out, at least some cases also evaluated with CEUS could be shown in the figures.
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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The Authors explored the value of 2D-SWE using Emax in the differential diagnosis of FLLs. They suggest that malignant FLLs were stiffer than benign ones and liver metastases were stiffer than primary liver carcinomas, proposing that 2D-SEW with Emax may be a useful complement to conventional ultrasound for the differential diagnosis of FLLs. The results are sound and the paper is overall well presented. I don't have any specific comment, although I feel that the results can be of interest for a minor niche of researchers.