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The article is within the scope of the journal and deals with an interesting topic. It is well written and easy to read. However, it is necessary to make some improvements to be accepted: a) It should be better explained what type of systematic or narrative review, indicate the bibliographic sources, how the search has been carried out, and how the results will be grouped to present them. b) At the end of the article there should be a discussion section where the conclusions of the review carried out are established and it is indicated how future advances in this area can progress. c) In the conclusions they should also add a set of lines of future work regarding how to improve the review carried out.
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Dear author, I really appreciate your efforts to present this title. Still the whole manuscript needs to be revised, because this article consists of redundant information.
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