Dear editors,

Dear reviewers,

Thank you for your time to review our paper entitled Pandemic control - do's and don'ts from a control theory perspective submitted to World Journal of Methodology.

We acknowledge that our paper might have some issues in the conformity with the reviewers’ comments and suggestions. We have revised the manuscript according to the review reports. All changes are marked via track changes and we provide point-by-point responses to the referees’ comments.

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: Dear colleague, greetings of the day, with interest i read you paper and found it well written with good methodology. However, there are many old references : 1,2,7,10, 12,15,18,40, 46,49, also you have to fixe the 43 and 44 references they are the same, regards.

- Thank you for the thorough review and the overall evaluation of our paper as good.
- We agree with the referee that some of the references may be published 10-15 years ago, but they are focused on the methodological principles. Thus, we changed some of them,
when it is applicable. We had to keep most of them, because they are essential to support our evidence. We hope that this complies with the reviewer’s requirements.

- The reference 43 and 44 were corrected, thank you for the valuable note.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: good manuscript

- Thank you for the thorough review and the overall evaluation of our paper as good.