



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 111835

Title: rimary ileal squamous cell carcinoma: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 05455405

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree and professional title: Associate Professor, FASCRS, MD, PhD

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Russia

Author’s Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2025-07-10

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Lin Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2025-09-04 04:14

Reviewer performed review: 2025-09-04 12:02

Review time: 7 Hours

Content to be reviewed	<p>Does the manuscript’s content fall within the scope of the journal? Yes</p> <p>Is there any Key Word that is not included in the manuscript title? No</p> <p>Do authors’ affiliations correspond to the content of the manuscript? Yes</p> <p>Does the Abstract contain the contents of each part of the manuscript (IMRaD)? Yes</p> <p>Are the Key Words complete? Yes</p> <p>Is the content of the Introduction adequate? Yes</p>
-------------------------------	--



Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

	<p>Is the content of the Materials and Methods complete? Yes</p> <p>Is the description of the experiments clear and complete? Yes</p> <p>Are the experimental data presented in the manuscript's biostatistics content reliable? Yes</p> <p>Are the experimental data of the Results true and reliable? Yes</p> <p>Are the quality and resolution of the images up to standard? Yes</p> <p>Do the selection and design of the figures and tables follow the principles of necessity and clarity? Yes</p> <p>Is there any duplication between various parts of the manuscript and between the main text and the content presented in the figures and tables? No</p> <p>Are the figures and tables numbered consecutively in the order in which they appear in the manuscript? Yes</p> <p>Is the content of the Discussion reasonable? Yes</p> <p>Is the Conclusion reasonable? Yes</p> <p>Are all references necessary and reasonable? Yes</p> <p>Do authors omit important references? Yes</p> <p>Are all references related to the topic of the manuscript? Yes</p> <p>Do authors only cite their own earlier publications? No</p> <p>Is the manuscript's text correct, concise, and clear? Yes</p> <p>Will the manuscript's content be of interest to readers? Yes</p> <p>Are additional experiments needed for the study? No</p> <p>Does the research scope comply with ethics? Yes</p>
<p>Scientific quality</p>	<p>Grade B (Very good)</p>



Novelty of this manuscript	Grade A (Excellent)
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	Grade B (Very Good)
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	Grade A (Excellent)
Language quality	Grade A (Excellent)
Does this manuscript describe a study of the existing knowledge system?	Yes
Does this manuscript report a revolutionary innovation?	No
Does this manuscript report an unconventional innovation?	No
Conclusion	Accept
Re-review	Yes
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: No
Are your review comments generated by AI tools?	No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors and editors, thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript.

This is a well-written and carefully documented report on an exceptionally rare malignant disease, primary squamous cell carcinoma of the ileum. In their scientific work, the authors present a convincing case with a complex clinical picture, conduct a comprehensive review of the literature, and offer an in-depth discussion of pathogenesis and differential diagnosis. The manuscript was compiled in accordance with the treatment recommendations and is supported by high-quality histopathological and immunohistochemical data. It is a valuable contribution to the medical literature and



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

will be of considerable interest to pathologists, gastroenterologists and oncosurgeons. The compilation of 56 previous cases into a single table is a significant achievement and provides readers with a summary overview of the demographic, clinical, and pathological characteristics of this disease.

Comments.

- In the "Introduction" section, it would be possible to add a little bit by defining the diagnostic problem more clearly. Although a rare case is mentioned, the emphasis on the absence of native scaly tissue in the ileum and, as a result, the need to exclude metastases will immediately attract the reader's attention and emphasize the importance of the article.

-The "Discussion" section is comprehensive, but it can be somewhat confusing in places. Splitting the Pathogenesis section into numbered subsections corresponding to the four hypotheses would improve readability.

- The "Treatment" section could be expanded. Although it correctly states that surgery is primary and that there are no recommendations, it could briefly discuss the specific treatment regimens used in the literature (from table 1) in this case as well. It would be useful to explain why specific chemotherapeutic drugs were chosen.

- The "Conclusion" correctly points out a gap in the understanding of molecular biology. This point could have been carefully raised earlier in the discussion in order to more clearly indicate the need for future research.

- In table 1: It is recommended to carefully check the table to make sure that all the data from the cited sources have been accurately deciphered (for example, the sequence in the designation of stages, treatment details, results).

- Page 5, Introduction: The phrase "Most of them are metastases of squamous cell carcinoma..." it can be a little misleading. This could be rephrased as follows: "Although small intestine metastases from squamous cell carcinoma of other locations do occur,



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

primary squamous cell carcinoma of the small intestine (PISCC) is extremely rare."

Conclusion:

This is an outstanding case report that meets all the criteria for a valuable publication. It documents a rare entity with meticulous detail, provides a comprehensive review of the literature, and discusses the clinical and pathological nuances with expertise. The suggestions above are minor and are intended solely to enhance the clarity, impact, and readability of an already excellent manuscript. This work undoubtedly contributes to the scientific community's knowledge of this rare disease.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 111835

Title: rimary ileal squamous cell carcinoma: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05455405

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree and professional title: Associate Professor, FASCRS, MD, PhD

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2025-07-10

Reviewer chosen by: Shi-Rui Bai

Reviewer accepted review: 2025-10-22 04:06

Reviewer performed review: 2025-10-22 07:52

Review time: 3 Hours

Content to be reviewed	Does the manuscript's content fall within the scope of the journal? Yes Is there any Key Word that is not included in the manuscript title? Yes Do authors' affiliations correspond to the content of the manuscript? Yes Does the Abstract contain the contents of each part of the manuscript (IMRaD)? Yes Are the Key Words complete? Yes Is the content of the Introduction adequate? Yes
-------------------------------	--



Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Is the content of the Materials and Methods complete?

Yes

Is the description of the experiments clear and complete? **Yes**

Are the experimental data presented in the manuscript's biostatistics content reliable? **Not Applicable**

Are the experimental data of the Results true and reliable? **Yes**

Are the quality and resolution of the images up to standard? **Yes**

Do the selection and design of the figures and tables follow the principles of necessity and clarity? **Yes**

Is there any duplication between various parts of the manuscript and between the main text and the content presented in the figures and tables? **No**

Are the figures and tables numbered consecutively in the order in which they appear in the manuscript? **Yes**

Is the content of the Discussion reasonable? **Yes**

Is the Conclusion reasonable? **Yes**

Are all references necessary and reasonable? **Yes**

Do authors omit important references? **Yes**

Are all references related to the topic of the manuscript? **Yes**

Do authors only cite their own earlier publications? **No**

Is the manuscript's text correct, concise, and clear? **Yes**

Will the manuscript's content be of interest to readers?
Yes

Are additional experiments needed for the study? **No**

Does the research scope comply with ethics? **Yes**



Scientific quality	Grade A (Excellent)
Novelty of this manuscript	Grade B (Very Good)
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	Grade B (Very Good)
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	Grade A (Excellent)
Language quality	Grade B (Very good)
Does this manuscript describe a study of the existing knowledge system?	Yes
Does this manuscript report a revolutionary innovation?	No
Does this manuscript report an unconventional innovation?	No
Conclusion	Accept
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: No
Are your review comments generated by AI tools?	No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear editors and authors,

I would like to express my gratitude for the tremendous amount of work that has been done on the manuscript. The manuscript now presents a well-researched and detailed scientific study, describing a clinical case and comparing it to existing experience.

All comments have been addressed and the text has been improved in quality. I am confident that the manuscript is ready for publication and would appreciate it if you could consider accepting it for publication.