

Answers to the Reviewers

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: I read with great interest article titled "Correlation between Plasma Levels of Soluble Receptor For Advanced Glycation End-Products (sRAGE) And Coronary Artery Disease In Post- Menopausal Non-Diabetic Women". It is a case-control study included a total of 110 non-diabetic post-menopausal subjects with or without proven CAD. The study was methodologically well designed with clear inclusion and exclusion criteria using appropriate statistical tests and analyzes. The authors proved a significantly lower plasma concentrations of sRAGE in subjects with CAD versus healthy controls. Despite certain limits stated by the authors themselves, I think that this is an interesting and valuable study with encouraging results for further research, but also in everyday clinical practice.

Response: We really appreciate your comments

5 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

Science editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a case control study of the correlation between plasma levels of soluble receptor for advanced glycation end-products (sRAGE) and coronary artery disease in post- menopausal non-diabetic women. The topic is within the scope of the WJC. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors reported a great interest article. The study was methodologically well designed with clear inclusion and exclusion criteria using appropriate statistical tests and analyzes; and (3) Format: There are 7 tables and 1 figure. (4) References: A total of 39 references are cited, including no references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There are no self-cited references; and (6) References recommend: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by peer reviewer(s), especially the references published by the peer reviewer(s) themselves. If the authors found the peer reviewer(s) request the authors to cite improper references published by themselves, please send the peer reviewer's ID number to the editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Biostatistics Review Certificate, and the Institutional Review Board Approval Form. Written informed consent was waived. The authors need to provide the STROBE

Statement with page number. No. academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4
Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. No financial support was
obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJC.

Response: We really appreciate your comments. As per your comment, we have incorporated
STROBE statement with page numbers.

5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original
figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all
graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Response: We have provided a separate file for figures and tables.

(2) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed
numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references.
Please revise throughout;

Response: We apologize for the same. We have provided PMID and DOI numbers in the
reference list and got it checked using the auto-analyzer feature.

(3) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at
the end of the main text.

Response: As per your comment, we have incorporated Article highlights in the main text.

6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Response: We really appreciate.

(2) Editorial office director:

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the
manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing
requirements of the World Journal of Cardiology, and the manuscript is conditionally
accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-
Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by
Authors. The title of the manuscript is too long and must be shortened to meet the
requirement of the journal (Title: The title should be no more than 18 words).

Response: We really appreciate your comments. As per your comment, we have shortened
the title of our manuscript.