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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The Authors provide a very short report of a case of hemocholecyst caused by accidental injury during RFA that induced hematemesis and melena. The case can have some relevance from an educational perspective, but it adds little to current knowledge in the field. The Authors are therefore encouraged to better clarify the relevance of the case, which appears limited at the moment. Furthermore, I think that the case description requires more efforts. 'A women in her 50s' → don't we have the exact age? the any further information on cirrhosis? and so on.
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It's an interesting case as well as being rare. Viewing is good. Cholecystectomy was appropriate. I believe it will be helpful to the reader.
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Thanks for addressing some of my comments. However, I think that more information on the patient is still necessary to achieve the standards of a publication.