World Journal of *Clinical Oncology*

World J Clin Oncol 2024 July 24; 15(7): 786-960





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 7 July 24, 2024

EDITORIAL

- 786 Anaplastic thyroid cancer: Unveiling advances in diagnosis and management Dey T, Yadav BS 790 Neoadjuvant treatment of rectal cancer: Where we are and where we are going González Del Portillo E, Couñago F, López-Campos F
- 796 Hyoid metastasis an unusual location from lung cancer Montijano M, Ocanto A, Couñago F
- 799 Screening of colorectal cancer: Methods and strategies Liao Z, Guo JT, Yang F, Wang SP, Sun SY
- 806 Poly (ADP-ribose): A double-edged sword governing cancer cell survival and death Jeong KY, Kang JH
- 811 Barriers in early detection of colorectal cancer and exploring potential solutions Aleissa M. Drelichman ER. Mittal VK. Bhullar JS

REVIEW

818 Circadian rhythm disruption and endocrine-related tumors Savvidis C, Kallistrou E, Kouroglou E, Dionysopoulou S, Gavriiloglou G, Ragia D, Tsiama V, Proikaki S, Belis K, Ilias I

MINIREVIEWS

835 Histologic subtypes of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer

Giudici N, Seiler R

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

840 Impact of hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy on gastric cancer survival: Peritoneal metastasis and cytology perspectives

Methasate A, Parakonthun T, Intralawan T, Nampoolsuksan C, Swangsri J

Retrospective Study

Low testing rates and high BRCA prevalence: Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor use in Middle East 848 BRCA/homologous recombination deficiency-positive cancer patients

Syed N, Chintakuntlawar AV, Vilasini D, Al Salami AM, Al Hasan R, Afrooz I, Uttam Chandani K, Chandani AU, Chehal A



Contents

World Journal of Clinical Oncology

- Monthly Volume 15 Number 7 July 24, 2024
- 859 Programmed cell death 1 inhibitor sintilimab plus concurrent chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma

Zhou SQ, Wan P, Zhang S, Ren Y, Li HT, Ke QH

Clinical and Translational Research

867 Bibliometric analysis of phosphoglycerate kinase 1 expression in breast cancer and its distinct upregulation in triple-negative breast cancer

Chen JY, Li JD, He RQ, Huang ZG, Chen G, Zou W

Basic Study

895 Parthenolide enhances the metronomic chemotherapy effect of cyclophosphamide in lung cancer by inhibiting the NF-kB signaling pathway

Cai Z, Gao L, Hu K, Wang QM

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

908 Investigating the therapeutic efficacy of psilocybin in advanced cancer patients: A comprehensive review and meta-analysis

Bader H, Farraj H, Maghnam J, Abu Omar Y

META-ANALYSIS

920 Predictive value of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes for neoadjuvant therapy response in triple-negative breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Sun HK, Jiang WL, Zhang SL, Xu PC, Wei LM, Liu JB

CASE REPORT

- 936 Rare primary squamous cell carcinoma of the intrahepatic bile duct: A case report and review of literature Ma QJ, Wang FH, Yang NN, Wei HL, Liu F
- 945 Concomitant epidermal growth factor receptor mutation/c-ros oncogene 1 rearrangement in non-small cell lung cancer: A case report

Peng GQ, Song HC, Chen WY

953 Amelanotic primary cervical malignant melanoma: A case report and review of literature Duan JL, Yang J, Zhang YL, Huang WT



Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 7 July 24, 2024

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Clinical Oncology, Jun-Bo Yang, PhD, Professor, Department of Research and Development Hugobiotech Beijing China, Hugobiotech, Chinese Academy Of Agricultural Sciences, Shenzhen 518000, China. 1806389316@pku.edu.cn

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Oncology (WJCO, World J Clin Oncol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of oncology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of oncology and covering a wide range of topics including art of oncology, biology of neoplasia, breast cancer, cancer prevention and control, cancer-related complications, diagnosis in oncology, gastrointestinal cancer, genetic testing for cancer, gynecologic cancer, head and neck cancer, hematologic malignancy, lung cancer, melanoma, molecular oncology, neurooncology, palliative and supportive care, pediatric oncology, surgical oncology, translational oncology, and urologic oncology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCO is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2024 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2023 journal impact factor (JIF) for WJCO as 2.6; JIF without journal self cites: 2.6; 5-year JIF: 2.7; JIF Rank: 175/322 in oncology; JIF Quartile: Q3; and 5-year JIF Quartile: Q3.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yu-Qing Zhao; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Cover Editor: Xu Guo.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS	
World Journal of Clinical Oncology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204	
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS	
ISSN 2218-4333 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287	
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH	
November 10, 2010	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240	
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS	
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288	
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT	
Hiten RH Patel, Stephen Safe, Jian-Hua Mao, Ken H Young	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208	
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE	
https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242	
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS	
July 24, 2024	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239	
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION	
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com	

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com



World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Oncol 2024 July 24; 15(7): 848-858

DOI: 10.5306/wico.v15.i7.848

Retrospective Study

ISSN 2218-4333 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Low testing rates and high BRCA prevalence: Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor use in Middle East BRCA/homologous recombination deficiency-positive cancer patients

Naveed Syed, Ashish Vittalrao Chintakuntlawar, Deepti Vilasini, Aisha Mohamed Al Salami, Riad Al Hasan, Imrana Afrooz, Kanishka Uttam Chandani, Ashok Uttam Chandani, Aref Chehal

Specialty type: Oncology

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's classification Scientific Quality: Grade B Novelty: Grade B Creativity or Innovation: Grade C Scientific Significance: Grade B

P-Reviewer: Jin X

Received: March 23, 2024 Revised: May 14, 2024 Accepted: June 14, 2024 Published online: July 24, 2024 Processing time: 114 Days and 12.4 Hours



Naveed Syed, Department of Hematology and Oncology, Sheikh Shakbout Medical City, Abu Dhabi 11001, United Arab Emirates

Ashish Vittalrao Chintakuntlawar, Department of Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, NY 790339, United States

Deepti Vilasini, Aisha Mohamed Al Salami, Riad Al Hasan, Ashok Uttam Chandani, Aref Chehal, Department of Oncology, Sheikh Shakbout Medical City, Abu Dhabi 11001, United Arab Emirates

Imrana Afrooz, Clinical Research, Sheikh Shakbout Medical City, Abu Dhabi 11001, United Arab Emirates

Kanishka Uttam Chandani, Department of Internal Medicine, Landmark Medical Center, Rhode Island, RI 02895, United States

Corresponding author: Naveed Syed, DNB, Doctor, MBBS, Attending Doctor, Department of Hematology and Oncology, Sheikh Shakbout Medical City, Mafraq Area, Abu Dhabi 11001, United Arab Emirates. naveed3642003@gmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (PARPis) are approved as first-line therapies for breast cancer gene (BRCA)-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. They are also effective for new and recurrent ovarian cancers that are BRCA- or homologous recombination deficiency (HRD)-positive. However, data on these mutations and PARPi use in the Middle East are limited.

AIM

To assess BRCA/HRD prevalence and PARPi use in patients in the Middle East with breast/ovarian cancer.

METHODS

This was a single-center retrospective study of 57 of 472 breast cancer patients



tested for BRCA mutations, and 25 of 65 ovarian cancer patients tested for HRD. These adult patients participated in at least four visits to the oncology service at our center between August 2021 and May 2023. Data were summarized using descriptive statistics and compared using counts and percentages. Response to treatment was assessed using Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors criteria.

RESULTS

Among the 472 breast cancer patients, 12.1% underwent BRCA testing, and 38.5% of 65 ovarian cancer patients received HRD testing. Pathogenic mutations were found in 25.6% of the tested patients: 26.3% breast cancers had germline BRCA (gBRCA) mutations and 24.0% ovarian cancers showed HRD. Notably, 40.0% of gBRCA-positive breast cancers and 66.0% of HRD-positive ovarian cancers were Middle Eastern and Asian patients, respectively. PARPi treatment was used in 5 (33.3%) gBRCA-positive breast cancer patients as first-line therapy (n = 1; 7-months progression-free), for maintenance (n = 2; > 15-months progression-free), or at later stages due to compliance issues (n = 2). Four patients (66.6%) with HRD-positive ovarian cancer received PARPi and all remained progression-free.

CONCLUSION

Lower testing rates but higher BRCA mutations in breast cancer were found. Ethnicity reflected United Arab Emirates demographics, with breast cancer in Middle Eastern and ovarian cancer in Asian patients.

Key Words: Homologous recombination repair; BRCA1; BRCA2; Homologous recombination deficiency; Ovarian cancer; Breast cancer; Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors; Olaparib; DNA double-strand breaks

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Following National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines, breast cancer patients were tested for BRCA1/2 mutations, while ovarian cancer patients underwent homologous recombination defect testing. These mutations can be targeted with poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor (PARPi) therapy, a form of precision medicine. In this single-center study, we analyzed the proportion of breast and ovarian cancer patients tested for mutations, demographics and disease characteristics of tested patients, and PARPi therapy outcomes. This first-of-its-kind study in the Middle East offers insights into targeted therapy use, contributing to knowledge on PARPis for breast and ovarian cancers.

Citation: Syed N, Chintakuntlawar AV, Vilasini D, Al Salami AM, Al Hasan R, Afrooz I, Uttam Chandani K, Chandani AU, Chehal A. Low testing rates and high BRCA prevalence: Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor use in Middle East BRCA/homologous recombination deficiency-positive cancer patients. World J Clin Oncol 2024; 15(7): 848-858 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-4333/full/v15/i7/848.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v15.i7.848

INTRODUCTION

Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) is a protein responsible for fixing single-stranded breaks. Proteins translated from other pathways, such as homologous recombination repair (HRR) genes, repair the double-strand breaks (DSBs) in DNA and help to maintain genomic stability[1]. BRCA1/2 are the most identifiable and actionable genes of the HRR pathway. There is an increased risk of breast (45%-72%) and ovarian (39%-44%) cancers in patients or carriers with BRCA1 and/or BRCA2 pathogenic variants compared to the general population[2]. PARP inhibition using drugs called PARP inhibitors (PARPis) leads single-stranded breaks to become DSBs. While normal cells can repair the DSBs because of a normal HRR pathway, cancer cells with these genes mutated cannot repair DSBs, leading to the accumulation of defects and selective cancer cell death.

Breast cancer

The prevalence of BRCA mutations ranges widely from 1.8% to 36.9%, depending on whether the tested subjects were selected and the country from which they were reported [3,4]. Nearly 20% of BRCA mutated breast cancers are triplenegative breast cancer (TNBC) and have a bad prognosis[5,6]. TNBC with these mutations occur at a younger age, are high grade and highly aggressive, have a worse prognosis, and respond well to platinum-based chemotherapy with or without PARPi^[7]. Patients with or carriers of these mutations have higher chances of a second cancer in the opposite breast, ovary, pancreas, and prostate[8]. Olaparib is a PARPi approved for locally advanced or metastatic human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative breast cancer [9,10].

Ovarian cancer

The homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) test, which not only tests mutations of HRR pathway genes but also overall deficiency in this pathway, is preferred in ovarian cancer[11]. Ovarian cancers with HRD tend to occur at a young



age, have a higher incidence of high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC), and respond well to standard platinum-based chemotherapy and PARPi[12-14]. Olaparib is approved as maintenance therapy after primary chemotherapy in germline *BRCA* (*gBRCA*) or somatic *BRCA* mutated patients who achieved complete response (CR) or stable disease (SD). Along with olaparib, niraparib and rucaparib are approved for recurrent ovarian cancer, but the specific indications depend on the *BRCA* or HRD status (SOLO-1, NOVA and ARIEL3) trials[14-16]. Moreover, the field of PARPi use in ovarian cancer is rapidly evolving, and new indications are emerging.

According to 2018 World Health Organization cancer statistics for the United Arab Emirates (UAE), breast cancer was the most common malignancy, accounting for 22.4% of diagnoses[17]. Studies in Gulf countries like UAE, Oman, and Kuwait have reported a 2%-8% prevalence of BRCA1/BRCA2 somatic variants in ovarian cancer[18]. Additionally, the prevalence of *gBRCA* mutations was found to be 10.2% in breast cancer and 30.7% in ovarian cancer in the region[19].

The aim of this study was to uncover the prevalence of *gBRCA* mutations in breast cancer and HRD in ovarian cancer. Beyond mere prevalence, the study compared the characteristics of patients with and without these pathogenic mutations within the tested breast and ovarian cancer groups. Finally, to assess the potential treatment implications of these findings, the study reported the proportion of patients who received PARPi therapy and their response to this targeted treatment. This study not only seeks to illuminate the selected DSB repair gene mutations in the region but also pave the way for understanding targeted treatment strategies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

This single-center, retrospective study delves into the genetics of breast and ovarian cancer patients tested from August 2021 to May 2023 (22 months).

Genetic testing

Genetic susceptibility testing adhered to current clinical practice: *BRCA1/2* analysis for breast cancer and full HRD assessment (including gene mutations and functional testing of HRR pathway) for ovarian cancer. We tested for these through ISO 15189-certified labs (Invitae, Genotypos, and Myriad) due to in-house limitations.

Patients

All patients were at least 18-years-old and participated in four or more clinic visits within the study period to ensure sufficient clinical workup for the included cancers.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network criteria

Our analysis focused on the 82 patients who underwent *BRCA* or HRD testing. Resource limitations prevented us from definitively determining testing rates across the entire patient population (including the 455 patients who were not tested). Detailed National Comprehensive Cancer Network criteria were reported only in tested cancer patients (breast cancer: young age, family history of cancer, TNBC, advanced HER2-negative breast cancer and history of first primary cancer; ovarian cancer: all epithelial histological types and recurrent cancers).

Data collection

Prior ethics approval was secured. Data on demographics, diagnoses, mutations, treatment response (Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors criteria), disease-free interval, progression-free interval, and other clinical details were sourced from electronic medical records and oncologist/radiology reports.

Statistical analyses

We employed descriptive statistics (*e.g.*, central tendency, dispersion, frequency distributions) and compared percentages to identify patterns, trends, and group differences using Datatab software[20]. The sample size calculation was not determined, as the objective of this study was descriptive. Similarly, statistical methods for hypothesis testing were not employed due to the descriptive nature of the analysis.

RESULTS

Proportion of breast and ovarian cancer patients tested

Of the 472 breast cancer patients, 12.1% (n = 57) received *BRCA* testing, and 38.5% (n = 25) of the 65 ovarian cancer patients underwent HRD testing. The distribution of ethnicity differed between the two cancer groups. UAE nationals were the largest ethnic group among breast cancer patients (45.3% or 214), followed by Middle Eastern (25.0%, 118/472), Asian (16.7%, 79/472), and African (10.4%, 49/472). Similarly, UAE nationals were the predominant group for ovarian cancer patients (33.8%, 22/65), followed by Asian (29.2%, 19/65), Middle Eastern (24.6%, 16/65), and African (7.6%, 5/65).

Zaishidena® WJCO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Mutation status and testing criteria

Among the 82 patients who underwent testing, 21 (25.6%) had pathogenic mutations identified. Among the tested breast cancer patients, testing criteria were as follows: 68.4% (39/57 patients) were young (age < 50 years), 15.8% (9/57 patients) had a family history of cancer, 7.0% (4/57 patients) had a history of recurrence or another primary cancer, and 8.8% (5/57 patients) had TNBC. All 25 tested ovarian cancer patients had single or mixed epithelial histology. PARPis were utilized in 42.8% of the patients with pathogenic mutations.

Breast cancer: BRCA mutation analysis and patient characteristics

Among the 57 breast cancer cases tested for *gBRCA* mutations, 15 (26.3%) harbored pathogenic mutations. Of these, 10 (17.7%) involved *gBRCA1* mutations, while the remaining 5 (8.7%) were associated with *gBRCA2* as shown in Figure 1. Four cases had *BRCA2* variants of unknown significance (VUS). The median age at time of diagnosis was 42.1 years in the *BRCA* mutated group *vs* 45.3 years in the non-mutated group. Patients from the Middle East, excluding UAE nationals, were the highest in both groups. UAE national patients were the second highest (33.3%) in the mutated group and the third (19%) in the non-mutated group, as shown in Figure 2. Family history of cancer (53.3% in the mutated group *vs* 38.1% in the non-mutated group) and a history of a first-degree relative having cancer (53.3% in the mutated group *vs* 21.3% in the non-mutated group) were more prevalent in the mutated group. Additionally, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS) was distributed differently between the groups, with 86.7% of mutated group also showed a higher median grade of breast cancer (3 *vs* 2). Both groups were similar in cancer staging, wherein stage 2 was most common followed by stages 3 and 4. Both groups had invasive ductal carcinoma as the most common histological type (80% in the mutated group *vs* 83.3% in the non-mutated group), followed by invasive lobular carcinoma (6.6% *vs* 9.5%). The distribution of molecular subtypes also differed slightly, namely TNBC (20% *vs* 19%), hormone-positive (HR+)/HER2-negative (40% *vs* 35.7%), and HR+/HER2-positive (20% *vs* 14.3%), as detailed in Table 1.

Notably, the response rates to chemotherapy were similar between the groups, with CR being 40% in the mutated group *vs* 45.2% in the non-mutated group, SD being 40% in the mutated group *vs* 19% in the non-mutated group, partial response (PR) being 0% in the mutated group *vs* 7.2% in the non-mutated group, and progressive disease being 20% in the mutated group *vs* 28.6% in the non-mutated group, as shown in Figure 3.

Within the mutated group (n = 15), 5 patients (33.3%) received PARPi. Of these, 1 patient with advanced disease received PARPi as first-line therapy and progressed after 7 months, the other patient with advanced disease presented to us after progression on ribociclib plus letrazole and could receive PARPi for 5 months only. The other 3 patients received PARPi after adjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy and 2 achieved CR to chemotherapy and were disease-free for more than 15 months on olaparib. Unfortunately, 1 patient progressed on two lines of chemotherapy and could take PARPi for 40 days only. Detailed outcomes are presented in Table 2.

Ovarian cancer: HRD analysis and patient characteristics

Among the 25 ovarian cancer cases, 6 (24.0%) harbored HRD, comprising 2 (8.0%) somatic *BRCA1* and 1 (4.0%) somatic *BRCA2*. The remaining 19 (76.0%) cases were HRD-negative, as shown in Figure 1. The median age at time of diagnosis was slightly higher in the mutated group (56.5 years) compared to the non-mutated group (52.5 years). In terms of ethnicity, Asians formed the majority of the mutated group at 66.6%, followed by UAE nationals and patients from other Middle Eastern countries, both at 16.7% each. The non-mutated group displayed a different distribution, with Asians comprising 36.8%, followed by Middle Easterners at 31.6%, UAE nationals at 21%, and Africans at 10.6%, as detailed in Figure 2. The mutated group reported no such history, whereas 26.3% of the non-mutated group had a positive family history. Both groups were predominantly composed of patients with good PS (ECOG 0: 83.3% *vs* 89.4%). Serous adenocarcinoma was the most prevalent histological type in both groups (83.3% in the mutated and 47.3% in the non-mutated), followed by mixed type (0% and 26.3%, respectively). Notably, the majority in both groups presented with advanced-stage (stages 3 and 4) and high-grade (grade 4) ovarian cancer. Additionally, most patients in the mutated group underwent interval debulking surgery (66.7% and 26.4%, respectively), as detailed in Table 3.

Overall treatment response to chemotherapy was more favorable in the mutated group, with a 50% CR rate compared to 15.7% in the non-mutated group. SD was also achieved by 16.7% of mutated patients *vs* 42.1% of non-mutated patients, while progressive disease rates were lower in the mutated group (16.7%) compared to the non-mutated group (31.6%) as shown in Figure 3. Among the patients with mutated ovarian cancer who received maintenance PARPi, 3 (75%) achieved disease-free status, while the remaining patient (25%) showed no evidence of disease progression. Notably, all patients remained on PARPi at study completion, as shown in Table 2. No deaths were reported in the mutated group, compared to 10.5% (2 patients) in the non-mutated group, as shown in Figure 3.

Recurrence or first primary malignancies

Among the 82 patients who underwent testing, 12 (14.6%) had a history of cancer. Notably, 4 (33.3%) of these patients harbored *gBRCA*- or HRD-positive mutations, while the remaining 8 (66.6%) had no identifiable mutations. Within the *gBRCA* group, 2 (16.7%) had recurrence of breast cancer, and in the HRD-positive group, 1 (16.7%) had recurrence of ovarian cancer. Additionally, 1 HRD-positive ovarian cancer patient had a history of another first primary, thyroid cancer. Among the unmutated group, 4 (50%) had recurrence of breast cancer, 1 (12.5%) had recurrent ovarian cancer, and 3 (37.5%) had different first primary cancer.

Zaisbideng® WJCO | https://www.wjgnet.com

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
gBRCA ¹	Positive	Negative
Total, <i>n</i> (%)	15 (26.3)	42 (73.7)
Age in yr at diagnosis, mean ± SD	42.1 ± 11	45.3 ± 8.7
Positive family history, <i>n</i> (%)	8 (53.3)	16 (38.1)
First-degree relative, <i>n</i> (%)	8 (53.3)	9 (21.3)
Non-first-degree relatives, n (%)	4 (26.6)	11 (26.1)
H/o IVF & Rx, n (%)	0	0
H/o hormone Rx, n (%)	1 (6.6)	4 (9.5)
ECOG PS at diagnosis ² , n (%)		
0	13 (86.7)	40 (95.2)
1	2 (12.3)	2 (4.8)
Histology at diagnosis, <i>n</i> (%)		
Invasive ductal carcinoma	12 (80)	35 (83.3)
Invasive lobular carcinoma	1 (6.6)	4 (9.5)
Grade at diagnosis, mean ± SD	3 ± 0.6	2 ± 0.7
Stage at diagnosis, n (%)		
1	1 (6.6)	0
2	7 (46.6)	21 (50)
3	4 (26.6)	13 (31)
4	3 (20)	8 (19)
Categories ³ , n (%)		
HER2-positive	4 (26.6)	12 (28.7)
Triple-negative	3 (20)	8 (19.0)
HR-positive and HER2-negative	6 (40)	15 (35.7)
HR-positive and HER2-positive	3 (20)	6 (14.3)

¹Includes BRCA1 and BRCA2.

²Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status.

³Categories of breast cancer having prognostic significance.

ECOG PS: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; HER2: Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR: Homologous recombination; IVF: In vitro fertilization; Rx: Medical prescription.

DISCUSSION

Our study, the first of its kind in the Middle East, investigated the genetic testing rates in cancer patients, prevalence of gBRCA mutations in tested breast cancer patients and HRD in tested ovarian cancer patients. We further compared the demographics, disease characteristics, and response to chemotherapy of these cancers with and without these mutations. Additionally, we analyzed the proportion of patients receiving PARPi therapy and their respective response outcomes. Notably, our cohort comprised 82 tested patients of 537 and 21 (25.6%) harbored pathogenic mutations, exceeding the previously reported rate[21]. Interestingly, 9 (11%) patients carried VUS, falling within the lower range of reported frequencies (0%-44%)[22]. These findings reflect the well-established role of BRCA and other HRR genes in these cancers [2].

BRCA/HRD testing rates were 12% (57 of 472 patients) for breast cancer and 38.4% (25 of 65 patients) for ovarian cancer. These rates are modestly lower than the figures of 14.2% and 43% reported elsewhere respectively [23,24]. However, it is important to acknowledge limitations in our data. Due to limited resources, we lacked information on the eligibility criteria for the untested patients (n = 455). This absence makes it challenging to determine the exact proportion of eligible patients who may not have received testing.

Breast cancer

The prevalence of pathogenic gBRCA mutations in breast cancer patients tested in our study was 26.3%, more than two



Brishidena® WJCO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 Clinical outcomes of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor therapy in *BRCA* gene-positive breast cancer and homologous recombination deficiency-positive ovarian cancer patients

Cancer type	Patient	Stage	Response change to PARPi	Duration of PARPi	PARPi status at end of study
BRCA+ breast cancer, 5/15 (33.3%)	1	II	CR-DF	13 months+	On Rx
	2 ¹	II	PR-PR	40 days	Stopped
	3	III	CR-DF	17 months+	On Rx
	4	IV	PR-PR	5 months	Stopped
	5 ²	IV	PR	7 months	Stopped
HRD+ ovarian cancer, 4/6 (66.6%)	1	III	PR-DF	15 months+	On Rx
	2	IV	CR-DF	13 months+	On Rx
	3	IV	SD-PRF	20 months+	On Rx
	4	IV	CR-DF	25 months+	On Rx

¹Received three cycles of neoadjuvant chemotherapy and then lost to follow-up and received poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor in the advanced stage.

²Received olaparib as first line. + indicates the status of still receiving poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor at the time of data collection/study end. CR: Complete remission, DF: Disease-free; HRD: Homologous recombination deficiency; PR: Partial response; PRF: Progression-free; SD: Stable disease.



Figure 1 Distribution of breast cancer gene mutations and homologous recombination deficiency in breast and ovarian cancers. Among breast cancer patients, 17.7% (10/57) harbored pathogenic mutations in germline *BRCA1* (*gBRCA1*), while 8.7% (5/57) had mutations in *gBRCA2*. In the ovarian cancer group, 8% (2/25) of patients had *gBRCA1* mutations, 4% (1/25) had *gBRCA2* mutations, and 12% (3/25) had homologous recombination deficiency.

times the 12% reported in a large, multicenter study involving 2733 women[25]. *BRCA* testing is commonly recommended for women diagnosed with breast cancer at a young age, and the lower median age in our cohort aligns with this practice[25]. Moreover the median age of 45.3 years in the non-mutated group aligns with a review confirming the median age of breast cancer in UAE is 48 years[26]. While Figure 2 shows that UAE nationals formed the largest group among tested breast cancer patients, followed by Middle Eastern patients, this is not consistent with the overall demographics of the UAE, as reported elsewhere[27]. This trend is also observed in the overall breast cancer patients within our cohort. Consistent with previous reports of higher histological grade at presentation in *gBRCA*-mutated breast cancers, our study found a significantly higher proportion of high-grade tumors in the mutated group compared to the non-mutated group[28]. The good PS (low ECOG PS) observed in both groups, reflecting their young age, aligns with the reported literature. The proportion of HR-positive cases was slightly lower in both the mutated group (40%) and the nonmutated group (35.7%), which falls within the range observed in a large study (44% HR-positive with 1236 patients)[8, 29]. Overall, the *BRCA* mutated and non-mutated breast cancer groups appeared similar, although the significance of these values could not be calculated due to limitations in statistical analysis.

Table 3 Demographic and clinical characteristics of homologous recombination deficiency-positive and -negative ovarian cancer				
Characteristic	HRD-positive	HRD-negative		
Total, n (%)	6 (24)	19 (76)		
Age years at diagnosis, mean ± SD	56.5 ± 11	52.5 ± 13		
Positive family history, <i>n</i> (%)	0	5 (26.3)		
ECOG PS at diagnosis, n (%)				
0	5 (83.3)	17 (89.4)		
1	1 (16.7)	1 (5.3)		
2	0	1 (5.3)		
Histology, n (%)				
Serous	5 (83.3)	9 (47.3)		
Endometrioid/mucinous/clear cell	1 (16.7)	5 (26.3)		
Mixed	0	5 (26.3)		
Grade of cancer, mean ± SD	4 ± 0	4 ± 0.9		
Stage of cancer, <i>n</i> (%)				
1	1 (16.7)	2 (10.5)		
2	0	0		
3	2 (33.3)	11 (58)		
4	3 (50)	6 (31.5)		
Surgical Rx, n (%)	6 (100)	17 (89.5)		
Primary	2 (33.3)	12 (63.1)		
Interval	4 (66.7)	5 (26.4)		

Of the 6 homologous recombination deficiency-positive cases, 3 had somatic BRCA gene mutations. ECOG PS: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; HRD: Homologous recombination deficiency; Rx: Medical prescription.



Figure 2 Breast and ovarian cancer distribution based on mutation status and ethnicity. A higher percentage of breast cancer (n = 57), both germline BRCA (gBRCA)-positive and gBRCA-negative, was found in Middle Eastern patients excluding United Arab Emirates nationals. Conversely, a higher percentage of ovarian cancer (n = 25), both homologous recombination deficiency HRD-positive and HRD-negative, was found in Asian patients.

Response to chemotherapy, 80% of gBRCA-mutated patients and 64.2% in the non-mutated group achieved either complete or PR or SD to chemotherapy, as shown in Figure 3.

This negligible difference in outcomes in the mutated group compared to the non-mutated group aligns with findings from the previous reports that suggested no significant difference in response rates [9]. Of the 33% (n = 5) of gBRCApositive patients who received PARPi therapy, 4 (80%) had TNBC, while the remaining 1 (20%) was HR+/HER2negative, as shown in Table 2. Interestingly, the response to PARPi in 3 out of 5 patients was in line with that reported in

Raisbideng® WJCO | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 3 Response status to chemotherapy of breast and ovarian cancers with and without mutations. Homologous recombination-deficient (HRD) ovarian cancer (n = 6) had a higher percentage of complete remission (3/6) than cancer not HRD (3/19). While germline *BRCA* gene non-mutated breast cancer (n = 42) patients showed a slightly higher complete response rate (19/42), the overall treatment response remained similar in both mutated and non-mutated groups.

the OlympiAD and OlympiA trials. These 3 patients received PARPi/olaparib after neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy; among them 2 patients remained progression free even after 15 months as in OlympiA trial[30]. Unfortunately, the other patient, who took olaparib for 40 days, presented in advanced stage after she had refused chemotherapy and had been lost to follow up. One patient received PARPi as first line for advanced disease progressed after 7 months similar to the duration that reported in the OlympiAD trial, whereas other advanced stage patients did receive PARPi for 5 months but as second line[9]. Our findings suggest that PARPi therapy is used in approximately one-third of patients with *BRCA*-positive cancer. Interestingly, patients who received PARPi treatment according to established guidelines from major clinical trials seemed to experience better outcomes compared to those who did not receive treatment as per the guidelines.

Ovarian cancer

Our study revealed a 24% prevalence of HRD-positive ovarian cancers, which is in the 20%-25% range observed in similar studies from this region, and studies from North America and China[11,19,31]. The HRD-positive group had a higher median age (56.5 years) compared to the negative group (52.5 years), both of which fall within the 52-60 years range[11]. As shown in Figure 2, Asians were the majority among the tested ovarian cancer patients. This is consistent with the overall demographics of the UAE, where Asians comprise 71% of the population[27,32]. Additionally, Asians were the second-highest ethnicity among all ovarian cancer patients in our cohort, following UAE nationals. Both groups demonstrated similar proportions of patients with good ECOG performance scores, and neither group reported a positive history of family cancer. However, as shown in Table 3, the HRD-positive group displayed a significantly higher prevalence of HGSOC and advanced-stage cancer, reflecting a trend consistent with the reported literature. Most patients had advanced ovarian cancer, and their response to primary treatment was consistent with the expected course of the disease[13,14]. Overall, the HRD-positive and HRD-negative ovarian cancer groups were not similar and were in line with the earlier reports. The significance (*P* values) of these comparative values could not be calculated due to limitations in statistical analysis.

HRD-positive ovarian cancers have been shown to have better overall survival and platinum responsiveness, a trend observed in our cohort where 83.3% of patients with mutations achieved CR or SD, compared to only 57.8% of those without mutations. Notably, the proportion of patients experiencing progressive disease was 50% lower in the HRD-positive group compared to the non-mutated group, as shown in Figure 3[11,33]. We acknowledge that, due to the short duration of study, the majority of newly diagnosed patients may not have yet reached the point of relapse, which is typically 3 years.

Among HRD-positive patients, 66.6% received PARPi therapy as maintenance; among those, 3 patients (75%) remained disease-free and 1 patient (25%) remained progression-free. All of those who received olaparib remained progression-free at the end of study, in line with the trials that led to the approval of PARPi/olaparib alone or with bevacizumab[14,34]. Overall, our findings regarding prevalence, disease characteristics, and treatment response in HRD-positive ovarian cancer align with the existing literature.

Second primary malignancies

Among the 15 *gBRCA*-positive breast cancer patients, 2 (13.3%) had a recurrence of breast cancer, while 2 (33.2%) of the 6 HRD-positive ovarian cancer patients had a recurrence of ovarian cancer. These recurrence rates or history of having first primary cancer in a mutation-positive group fall within the range reported in a large multicenter study[29].

Zaishideng® WJCO | https://www.wjgnet.com

CONCLUSION

Our study identified a higher-than-reported prevalence of BRCA mutations (26.3%) in the tested breast cancer patients. HRD positivity rates in ovarian cancer patients were at the upper end of the reported range. Testing rates for BRCA in overall breast cancer patients and HRD in ovarian cancer patients, however, remained low. While UAE nationals formed the majority of patients with both cancers in our cohort, the ethnic distribution of tested patients differed. Specifically, Middle Eastern patients dominated the positive BRCA mutation and testing group for breast cancer, while Asian patients formed the majority in the positive HRD and testing group for ovarian cancer. This pattern likely reflects the broader demographics of the UAE population, where Asians are the predominant ethnicity.

While most of our findings on disease characteristics (including triple-negative status, histological types, grades, and stages) and treatment responses to chemotherapy and PARPi aligned with published data, the limited sample size restricts definitive conclusions about statistical significance. Larger studies are necessary to confirm our observations and explore potentially unexpected trends, such as the observed ethnic distribution and the high prevalence of BRCA positivity in breast cancer patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Mohammed Saeid Abdoh for his invaluable assistance in tracking patients who underwent BRCA testing at our institute and for promptly providing the data. We would also like to acknowledge Laurette Lecharlois Bukasa for her invaluable contribution in reviewing the statistical methods and providing biostatistical support. During the preparation of this work the author(s) used Bard.ai to check grammar and rephrase sentences to increase the readability. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Syed N contributed to the conceptualization of the study, design of the methodology, formal analysis of the data, and original drafting of the manuscript as well as review and editing of the successive versions; Chintakuntlawar AV contributed to the design of the methodology, formal analysis of the data, review and editing of the successive versions of the manuscript, and supervision of the overall project; Vilasini D provided resources for the study and contributed to the review and editing of the successive versions of the manuscript; Al Salami AM, Al Hasan R, Uttam Chandani K and Chandani AU provided resources for the study; Afrooz I contributed to the original drafting of the manuscript as well as review and editing of the successive versions, provided resources for the study, and performed supervision of the overall project; Chehal A contributed to the conceptualization of the study and design of the methodology, provided resources for the study, and participated in the review and editing of the successive versions and to the supervision of the overall project.

Institutional review board statement: This study was approval by the Ethics Committee of Sheikh Shakhbout Medical City (No. SSMCREC-384).

Informed consent statement: The Informed consent statement was waived by the Ethics Committee of Sheikh Shakhbout Medical City.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Data sharing statement: The data used in this retrospective study are deposited and available in the Mendley Data platform, accessible at the following DOI: 10.17632/pd4n24wh7t.1. All patient data were anonymized prior to deposit in the Mendeley Data platform.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country of origin: United Arab Emirates

ORCID number: Naveed Syed 0000-0002-8172-8129.

S-Editor: Zhang H L-Editor: A P-Editor: Che XX

REFERENCES

Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 2011; 144: 646-674 [PMID: 21376230 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013



- Petrucelli N, Daly MB, Pal T. BRCA1- and BRCA2-Associated Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer. In: Adam MP, Feldman J, Mirzaa 2 GM, Pagon RA, Wallace SE, Bean LJH, Gripp KW, Amemiya A, editors. GeneReviews® [Internet]. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle; 1993-2024 [PMID: 20301425]
- Armstrong N, Ryder S, Forbes C, Ross J, Quek RG. A systematic review of the international prevalence of BRCA mutation in breast cancer. 3 Clin Epidemiol 2019; 11: 543-561 [PMID: 31372057 DOI: 10.2147/CLEP.S206949]
- Creeden JF, Nanavaty NS, Einloth KR, Gillman CE, Stanbery L, Hamouda DM, Dworkin L, Nemunaitis J. Homologous recombination 4 proficiency in ovarian and breast cancer patients. BMC Cancer 2021; 21: 1154 [PMID: 34711195 DOI: 10.1186/s12885-021-08863-9]
- Peshkin BN, Alabek ML, Isaacs C. BRCA1/2 mutations and triple negative breast cancers. Breast Dis 2010; 32: 25-33 [PMID: 21778580 DOI: 5 10.3233/BD-2010-0306]
- Fu X, Tan W, Song Q, Pei H, Li J. BRCA1 and Breast Cancer: Molecular Mechanisms and Therapeutic Strategies. Front Cell Dev Biol 2022; 6 10: 813457 [PMID: 35300412 DOI: 10.3389/fcell.2022.813457]
- 7 Wang CJ, Xu Y, Lin Y, Zhu HJ, Zhou YD, Mao F, Zhang XH, Huang X, Zhong Y, Sun Q, Li CG. Platinum-Based Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Breast Cancer With BRCA Mutations: A Meta-Analysis. Front Oncol 2020; 10: 592998 [PMID: 33304851 DOI: 10.3389/fonc.2020.592998
- Azim HA Jr, Partridge AH. Biology of breast cancer in young women. Breast Cancer Res 2014; 16: 427 [PMID: 25436920 DOI: 8 10.1186/s13058-014-0427-5]
- 9 Robson M, Im SA, Senkus E, Xu B, Domchek SM, Masuda N, Delaloge S, Li W, Tung N, Armstrong A, Wu W, Goessl C, Runswick S, Conte P. Olaparib for Metastatic Breast Cancer in Patients with a Germline BRCA Mutation. N Engl J Med 2017; 377: 523-533 [PMID: 28578601 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1706450]
- Litton JK, Rugo HS, Ettl J, Hurvitz SA, Gonçalves A, Lee KH, Fehrenbacher L, Yerushalmi R, Mina LA, Martin M, Roché H, Im YH, Quek 10 RGW, Markova D, Tudor IC, Hannah AL, Eiermann W, Blum JL. Talazoparib in Patients with Advanced Breast Cancer and a Germline BRCA Mutation. N Engl J Med 2018; 379: 753-763 [PMID: 30110579 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1802905]
- Pennington KP, Walsh T, Harrell MI, Lee MK, Pennil CC, Rendi MH, Thornton A, Norquist BM, Casadei S, Nord AS, Agnew KJ, Pritchard 11 CC, Scroggins S, Garcia RL, King MC, Swisher EM. Germline and somatic mutations in homologous recombination genes predict platinum response and survival in ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal carcinomas. Clin Cancer Res 2014; 20: 764-775 [PMID: 24240112 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-2287
- Huang XZ, Jia H, Xiao Q, Li RZ, Wang XS, Yin HY, Zhou X. Efficacy and Prognostic Factors for PARP Inhibitors in Patients With Ovarian 12 Cancer. Front Oncol 2020; 10: 958 [PMID: 32612955 DOI: 10.3389/fonc.2020.00958]
- Vergote I, Tropé CG, Amant F, Kristensen GB, Ehlen T, Johnson N, Verheijen RH, van der Burg ME, Lacave AJ, Panici PB, Kenter GG, 13 Casado A, Mendiola C, Coens C, Verleye L, Stuart GC, Pecorelli S, Reed NS; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer-Gynaecological Cancer Group; NCIC Clinical Trials Group. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy or primary surgery in stage IIIC or IV ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med 2010; 363: 943-953 [PMID: 20818904 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0908806]
- Moore K, Colombo N, Scambia G, Kim BG, Oaknin A, Friedlander M, Lisyanskaya A, Floquet A, Leary A, Sonke GS, Gourley C, Banerjee 14 S, Oza A, González-Martín A, Aghajanian C, Bradley W, Mathews C, Liu J, Lowe ES, Bloomfield R, DiSilvestro P. Maintenance Olaparib in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Advanced Ovarian Cancer. N Engl J Med 2018; 379: 2495-2505 [PMID: 30345884 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1810858]
- Mirza MR, Monk BJ, Herrstedt J, Oza AM, Mahner S, Redondo A, Fabbro M, Ledermann JA, Lorusso D, Vergote I, Ben-Baruch NE, Marth 15 C, Madry R, Christensen RD, Berek JS, Dørum A, Tinker AV, du Bois A, González-Martín A, Follana P, Benigno B, Rosenberg P, Gilbert L, Rimel BJ, Buscema J, Balser JP, Agarwal S, Matulonis UA; ENGOT-OV16/NOVA Investigators. Niraparib Maintenance Therapy in Platinum-Sensitive, Recurrent Ovarian Cancer. N Engl J Med 2016; 375: 2154-2164 [PMID: 27717299 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611310]
- Coleman RL, Oza AM, Lorusso D, Aghajanian C, Oaknin A, Dean A, Colombo N, Weberpals JI, Clamp A, Scambia G, Leary A, Holloway 16 RW, Gancedo MA, Fong PC, Goh JC, O'Malley DM, Armstrong DK, Garcia-Donas J, Swisher EM, Floquet A, Koneeny GE, McNeish IA, Scott CL, Cameron T, Maloney L, Isaacson J, Goble S, Grace C, Harding TC, Raponi M, Sun J, Lin KK, Giordano H, Ledermann JA; ARIEL3 investigators. Rucaparib maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma after response to platinum therapy (ARIEL3): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2017; 390: 1949-1961 [PMID: 28916367 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32440-6]
- World Health Organization Team. Cancer United Arab Emirates 2020 country profile. 2020 Jan [cited 22 November 2023]. Available from: 17 https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/cancer-are-2020
- Azribi F, Abdou E, Dawoud E, Ashour M, Kamal A, Al Sayed M, Burney I. Prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 pathogenic sequence variants 18 in ovarian cancer patients in the Gulf region: the PREDICT study. BMC Cancer 2021; 21: 1350 [PMID: 34930165 DOI: 10.1186/s12885-021-09094-8]
- 19 Alhuqail AJ, Alzahrani A, Almubarak H, Al-Qadheeb S, Alghofaili L, Almoghrabi N, Alhussaini H, Park BH, Colak D, Karakas B. High prevalence of deleterious BRCA1 and BRCA2 germline mutations in arab breast and ovarian cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2018; **168**: 695-702 [PMID: 29297111 DOI: 10.1007/s10549-017-4635-4]
- DATAtab Team. DATAtab: Online Statistics Calculator. DATAtab e.U. Graz, Austria, 2023. [cited 24 November 2023]. Available from: 20 https://datatab.net
- Heeke AL, Pishvaian MJ, Lynce F, Xiu J, Brody JR, Chen WJ, Baker TM, Marshall JL, Isaacs C. Prevalence of Homologous Recombination-21 Related Gene Mutations Across Multiple Cancer Types. JCO Precis Oncol 2018; 2018 [PMID: 30234181 DOI: 10.1200/PO.17.00286]
- 22 Shao C, Wan J, Lam FC, Tang H, Marley AR, Song Y, Miller C, Brown M, Han J, Adeboyeje G. A comprehensive literature review and metaanalysis of the prevalence of pan-cancer BRCA mutations, homologous recombination repair gene mutations, and homologous recombination deficiencies. Environ Mol Mutagen 2022; 63: 308-316 [PMID: 36054589 DOI: 10.1002/em.22505]
- Stenehjem DD, Telford C, Unni SK, Bauer H, Sainski A, Deka R, Schauerhamer MB, Ye X, Tak CR, Ma J, Dalvi TB, Gutierrez L, Kaye JA, 23 Tyczynski JE, Brixner DI, Biskupiak JE. BRCA testing and outcomes in women with breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2021; 186: 839-850 [PMID: 33389410 DOI: 10.1007/s10549-020-06038-x]
- Neff RT, Senter L, Salani R. BRCA mutation in ovarian cancer: testing, implications and treatment considerations. Ther Adv Med Oncol 2017; 24 9: 519-531 [PMID: 28794804 DOI: 10.1177/1758834017714993]
- Copson ER, Maishman TC, Tapper WJ, Cutress RI, Greville-Heygate S, Altman DG, Eccles B, Gerty S, Durcan LT, Jones L, Evans DG, 25 Thompson AM, Pharoah P, Easton DF, Dunning AM, Hanby A, Lakhani S, Eeles R, Gilbert FJ, Hamed H, Hodgson S, Simmonds P, Stanton L, Eccles DM. Germline BRCA mutation and outcome in young-onset breast cancer (POSH): a prospective cohort study. Lancet Oncol 2018; 19: 169-180 [PMID: 29337092 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30891-4]



- Al-Shamsi HO, Abdelwahed N, Al-Awadhi A, Albashir M, Abyad AM, Rafii S, Afrit M, Al Lababidi B, Abu-Gheida I, Sonawane YP, 26 Nijhawan NA, Haq UU, Dreier N, Joshua TLA, Iqbal F, Yacoub T, Nawaz FA, Abdul Jabbar D, Tirmazy SH, El-Shourbagy DM, Hamza D, Omara M, Al Madhi SAS, Ghazal H, Darr H, Oner M, Vlamaki Z, El Kinge AR, Ramanathan D, Judah M, Almahmeed T, Ahmad M, Jonnada SB, Almansoori N, Razek AA, Al-Hamadi A, Balalaa N, Jamali F, Singarachari RA, Labban A, Das K, Luiten EJT, Abdelgawad T, Al-Khatib F, Alrawi S, Jaafar H. Breast Cancer in the United Arab Emirates. JCO Glob Oncol 2023; 9: e2200247 [PMID: 36608306 DOI: 10.1200/GO.22.00247]
- 27 World Population Review Team. Dubai-population 2023. [cited 25 December 2023]. Available from: https://worldpopulationreview.com/ world-cities/dubai-population
- 28 Yoshida R. Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer (HBOC): review of its molecular characteristics, screening, treatment, and prognosis. Breast Cancer 2021; 28: 1167-1180 [PMID: 32862296 DOI: 10.1007/s12282-020-01148-2]
- 29 Lambertini M, Ceppi M, Hamy AS, Caron O, Poorvu PD, Carrasco E, Grinshpun A, Punie K, Rousset-Jablonski C, Ferrari A, Paluch-Shimon S, Toss A, Senechal C, Puglisi F, Pogoda K, Pérez-Fidalgo JA, De Marchis L, Ponzone R, Livraghi L, Estevez-Diz MDP, Villarreal-Garza C, Dieci MV, Clatot F, Duhoux FP, Graffeo R, Teixeira L, Córdoba O, Sonnenblick A, Ferreira AR, Partridge AH, Di Meglio A, Saule C, Peccatori FA, Bruzzone M, t'Kint de Roodenbeke MD, Ameye L, Balmaña J, Del Mastro L, Azim HA Jr. Clinical behavior and outcomes of breast cancer in young women with germline BRCA pathogenic variants. NPJ Breast Cancer 2021; 7: 16 [PMID: 33579978 DOI: 10.1038/s41523-021-00224-w]
- Tutt ANJ, Garber JE, Kaufman B, Viale G, Fumagalli D, Rastogi P, Gelber RD, de Azambuja E, Fielding A, Balmaña J, Domchek SM, 30 Gelmon KA, Hollingsworth SJ, Korde LA, Linderholm B, Bandos H, Senkus E, Suga JM, Shao Z, Pippas AW, Nowecki Z, Huzarski T, Ganz PA, Lucas PC, Baker N, Loibl S, McConnell R, Piccart M, Schmutzler R, Steger GG, Costantino JP, Arahmani A, Wolmark N, McFadden E, Karantza V, Lakhani SR, Yothers G, Campbell C, Geyer CE Jr; OlympiA Clinical Trial Steering Committee and Investigators. Adjuvant Olaparib for Patients with BRCA1- or BRCA2-Mutated Breast Cancer. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 2394-2405 [PMID: 34081848 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2105215]
- Feng Z, Shao D, Cai Y, Bi R, Ju X, Chen D, Song C, Chen X, Li J, An N, Li Y, Zhou Q, Xiu Z, Zhu S, Wu X, Wen H. Homologous 31 recombination deficiency status predicts response to platinum-based chemotherapy in Chinese patients with high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. J Ovarian Res 2023; 16: 53 [PMID: 36922847 DOI: 10.1186/s13048-023-01129-x]
- Zhang Y, Luo G, Li M, Guo P, Xiao Y, Ji H, Hao Y. Global patterns and trends in ovarian cancer incidence: age, period and birth cohort 32 analysis. BMC Cancer 2019; 19: 984 [PMID: 31640608 DOI: 10.1186/s12885-019-6139-6]
- 33 Alsop K, Fereday S, Meldrum C, deFazio A, Emmanuel C, George J, Dobrovic A, Birrer MJ, Webb PM, Stewart C, Friedlander M, Fox S, Bowtell D, Mitchell G. BRCA mutation frequency and patterns of treatment response in BRCA mutation-positive women with ovarian cancer: a report from the Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30: 2654-2663 [PMID: 22711857 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2011.39.8545]
- Ray-Coquard I, Pautier P, Pignata S, Pérol D, González-Martín A, Berger R, Fujiwara K, Vergote I, Colombo N, Mäenpää J, Selle F, Schouli 34 J, Lorusso D, Guerra Alía EM, Reinthaller A, Nagao S, Lefeuvre-Plesse C, Canzler U, Scambia G, Lortholary A, Marmé F, Combe P, de Gregorio N, Rodrigues M, Buderath P, Dubot C, Burges A, You B, Pujade-Lauraine E, Harter P; PAOLA-1 Investigators. Olaparib plus Bevacizumab as First-Line Maintenance in Ovarian Cancer. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 2416-2428 [PMID: 31851799 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1911361]



WJCO | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

