

Answering Reviewers

The comments received were evaluated by the authors and changes were made to the text. Some considerations about the changes were made and are below:

Reviewer 1

Comments Firstly, this manuscript has no original results and does not contain any hypothesis and/or experimental methods/concepts. Second, this manuscript provides insight into a rare association. It does not present any new results. Third, this manuscript involved a single case. It has a short follow-up period. Therefore, it does not contribute to the existing literature. I thank the authors for this beautiful presentation of the case.

The most important improvement that needs to be made before publication is clearly the language. The language used throughout the text is extremely inadequate.

Acknowledgements, meconium elimination etc The same phrases should be used to describe the same disease For example sickle cell anemia and sickle cell disease

Authors :

The authors revised and adapted the English and standardized it for Sickle Cell Anemia. Since the present study reports the case of a child who is still being monitored by the specialized hemoglobinopathies service, the follow-up period lasted only three years. Given the rarity of the concomitance of SCA and CF, this manuscript involved a single case. Therefore, by describing an extremely rare association, this study adds to the few already reported cases, contributing to the existing literature, since, with the expansion of NS, the identification of the association of two congenital diseases may become more common.

Managing the association of SCA and CF is a complex situation. Thus, the authors emphasize that rare cases, like the one presented, are important to bring light to the medical community about two pathologies that, if not correctly treated and viewed from the perspective of their integral association, can lead to high morbidity and mortality

Reviewer 2

No Comments

Sincerely

The Authors