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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This mini-review entitled "Artificial Intelligence in Critically Ill Diabetic Patients: Current Status and Future Prospects" is a nice manuscript that describes all challenges to manage patients with diabetes mellitus in ICU and discuss the possibility of using artificial intelligence (AI) for the better control and to achieve a better prognosis for this prevalent disease in this specific population. The manuscript is well written and presents few spelling mistakes (like "diabetes milletus" in page 6, last line) and it also has up-to-date references. The authors discuss all gaps that exist in providing better outcomes for patients with diabetes mellitus in the ICU making a point by point review considering all the issues involved regarding glycemic control assessment. However, it was not clear what is currently the contribution of AI in this scenario. The authors draw a table describing the devices that might help but it is not well explained in the text how do they work and if they are already in use highlighting the evidence of benefits. And they only appear in the table. Also, the authors wrote an entire paragraph discussing the pitfalls of management of diabetes patients with COVID-19, which, I think, is out of the scope of the article. If the aim of the article was to promote a debate about AI, it is technically sound. However, I would expect a deeper discussion with better evidence of the linkage about AI and diabetes in the ICU.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This review comprehensively discusses the current applications and researches of AI in managing critically ill diabetic patients. Specifically, this review described a number of complex AI systems, and their clinical applications. The researches of AI in diabetes management in ICU is mainly discussed, with emphasis on blood glucose control. Furthermore this review analyzes the limitations of AI in managing critically ill diabetic patients, which enlightens the future researches. We believe this review has strong medical implications, however, there are still some limitations: 1. This review did not contain an introduction to AI algorithms applied in managing critically ill diabetic patients. Therefore, we propose to add a review of these AI algorithms.
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The authors have made the suggested modifications that helped to improve the manuscript.