

World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Surgery*

World J Gastrointest Surg 2024 June 27; 16(6): 1485-1955



EDITORIAL

- 1485 Has the open surgical approach in colorectal cancer really become uncommon?
Cariati M, Brisinda G, Chiarello MM
- 1493 Intestinal Behçet's disease: A review of clinical diagnosis and treatment
Liu Y, Gao F, Yang DQ, Jiao Y
- 1501 Non-operative management of rectal cancer: Highlighting the controversies
Emile SH, Wignakumar A
- 1507 Current considerations for the surgical management of gallbladder adenomas
Pavlidis ET, Galanis IN, Pavlidis TE
- 1513 Immunotherapy in gastric cancer with liver metastasis: Challenges and opportunities
Bardakçi M, Ergun Y
- 1517 From the mathematical model to the patient: The scientific and human aspects of artificial intelligence in gastrointestinal surgery
Arredondo Montero J

MINIREVIEWS

- 1521 Laparoscopic right radical hemicolectomy: Central vascular ligation and complete mesocolon excision *vs* D3 lymphadenectomy - How I do it?
Yadav K

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

- 1527 Perioperative outcomes of transvaginal specimen extraction laparoscopic total gastrectomy and conventional laparoscopic-assisted total gastrectomy
Zhang ZC, Wang WS, Chen JH, Ma YH, Luo QF, Li YB, Yang Y, Ma D

Retrospective Cohort Study

- 1537 Optimal extent of lymphadenectomy improves prognosis and guides adjuvant chemotherapy in esophageal cancer: A propensity score-matched analysis
Tang JM, Huang SJ, Chen QB, Wu HS, Qiao GB
- 1548 Efficacy of laparoscopic low anterior resection for colorectal cancer patients with 3D-vascular reconstruction for left coronary artery preservation
Wang Y, Liu ZS, Wang ZB, Liu S, Sun FB

- 1558** Robotic-assisted low anterior resection for rectal cancer shows similar clinical efficacy to laparoscopic surgery: A propensity score matched study

Long SX, Wang XN, Tian SB, Bi YF, Gao SS, Wang Y, Guo XB

- 1571** Machine learning prediction model for gray-level co-occurrence matrix features of synchronous liver metastasis in colorectal cancer

Yang KF, Li SJ, Xu J, Zheng YB

- 1582** Risk factors associated with intraoperative persistent hypotension in pancreaticoduodenectomy

Wang XJ, Xuan XC, Sun ZC, Shen S, Yu F, Li NN, Chu XC, Yin H, Hu YL

Retrospective Study

- 1592** Endoscopic ultrasound-guided biliary drainage *vs* percutaneous transhepatic bile duct drainage in the management of malignant obstructive jaundice

Zhu QQ, Chen BF, Yang Y, Zuo XY, Liu WH, Wang TT, Zhang Y

- 1601** Clinical efficacy of Gamma Knife® combined with transarterial chemoembolization and immunotherapy in the treatment of primary liver cancer

Wang GF, Shu CX, Cai XD, Wang HB, Xu JH, Jia YQ

- 1609** Identifying the risk factors for pancreatic fistula after laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy in patients with pancreatic cancer

Xu H, Meng QC, Hua J, Wang W

- 1618** Correlation between postoperative chemotherapy regimen and survival in patients with resectable gastric adenocarcinoma accompanied with vascular cancer thrombus

Yang ZF, Dong ZX, Dai CJ, Fu LZ, Yu HM, Wang YS

- 1629** Gastroesophageal signet ring cell carcinoma morbidity and mortality: A retrospective review

Grinlinton M, Furkert C, Maurice A, Angelo N, Booth M

- 1637** Analysis of lymph node metastasis and survival prognosis in early gastric cancer patients: A retrospective study

Liu DY, Hu JJ, Zhou YQ, Tan AR

- 1647** Clinical study of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio in hypertriglyceridemia-induced acute pancreatitis and acute biliary pancreatitis with persistent organ failure

Xu MS, Xu JL, Gao X, Mo SJ, Xing JY, Liu JH, Tian YZ, Fu XF

- 1660** Tumor recurrence and survival prognosis in patients with advanced gastric cancer after radical resection with radiotherapy and chemotherapy

Nie SF, Wang CY, Li L, Yang C, Zhu ZM, Fei JD

- 1670** Prediction and analysis of albumin-bilirubin score combined with liver function index and carcinoembryonic antigen on liver metastasis of colorectal cancer

Wang ZM, Pan SP, Zhang JJ, Zhou J

- 1681** Comparative analysis of the short and medium-term efficacy of the Da Vinci robot *versus* laparoscopic total mesangectomy for rectal cancer
Gao WG, Shi W, Gong XC, Li ZW, Tuoheti Y
- 1691** How to apply *ex-vivo* split liver transplantation safely and feasibly: A three-step approach
Zhao D, Xie QH, Fang TS, Zhang KJ, Tang JX, Yan X, Jin X, Xie LJ, Xie WG
- 1700** Clinical efficacy of laparoscopic cholecystectomy combined with endoscopic papillary balloon dilation in treatment of gallbladder stones with common bile duct stones: A retrospective study
Liu HD, Zhang Q, Xu WS, Jin S
- 1709** Evaluation of oxaliplatin and tiglo combination therapy in locally advanced gastric cancer
Wang T, Zhang LY
- 1717** Lung ultrasound score evaluation of the effect of pressure-controlled ventilation volume-guaranteed on patients undergoing laparoscopic-assisted radical gastrectomy
Tan J, Bao CM, Chen XY
- 1726** Effect of endoscopic sphincterotomy and endoscopic papillary balloon dilation endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatographies on the sphincter of Oddi
Fu K, Yang YY, Chen H, Zhang GX, Wang Y, Yin Z
- 1734** Influence of reduced-port laparoscopic surgery on perioperative indicators, postoperative recovery, and serum inflammation in patients with colorectal carcinoma
Wu HB, Liu DF, Liu YL, Wang XF, Cao YP
- Clinical Trials Study**
- 1742** Clinical effect of spleen aminopeptide on improving liver function damage and immune function in children with infant hepatitis syndrome
Fang XQ, Gan T, Wang LM
- Observational Study**
- 1749** Observation of therapeutic effect of lamp irradiation combined with purple gromwell oil gauze on alleviating intestinal colic in patients
Cen BZ, Chen YS, Li LP, Wu JW, Xie YF
- Randomized Controlled Trial**
- 1756** Radiofrequency ablation combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization for recurrent liver cancer
Guo JY, Zhao LL, Cai HJ, Zeng H, Mei WD
- Randomized Clinical Trial**
- 1765** Effect of high-protein peptide-based formula compared with isocaloric isonitrogenous polymeric formula in critically ill surgical patient
Sumritpradit P, Shantavasinkul PC, Ungpinitpong W, Noorit P, Gajasen C

Clinical and Translational Research

- 1775 Metabolic disorders and hepatitis: Insights from a Mendelian randomization study
Liang LB, Liu XP, Mao TR, Su QL
- 1791 Analysis of cancer-specific survival in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: A evidence-based medicine study
Zhou YJ, Tan ZE, Zhuang WD, Xu XH
- 1803 FDX1 as a novel biomarker and treatment target for stomach adenocarcinoma
Xie XZ, Zuo L, Huang W, Fan QM, Weng YY, Yao WD, Jiang JL, Jin JQ

Basic Study

- 1825 Peritoneal fluid indocyanine green test for diagnosis of gut leakage in anastomotic leakage rats and colorectal surgery patients
Huang Y, Li TY, Weng JF, Liu H, Xu YJ, Zhang S, Gu WL

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 1835 Global geoeidemiology of gastrointestinal surgery rates in Crohn's disease
Weissman S, Aziz M, Bangolo A, Nagesh VK, Aung H, Mathew M, Garcia L, Chandar SA, Karamthoti P, Bawa H, Alshimari A, Kejela Y, Mehdi N, Joseph CA, Kodali A, Kumar R, Goyal P, Satheesha S, Nivedita F, Tesoro N, Sethi T, Singh G, Belal A, Intisar A, Khalid H, Cornwell S, Suresh SB, Ahmed K, Marole KK, Anand OP, Reshi RB, Mehta TI, Elias S, Feuerstein JD

META-ANALYSIS

- 1845 Compare clinical efficacy and safety of neoadjuvant therapy and neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced rectal cancer: Meta-analysis
Wang Y, Yang Y, Liu QQ, Wang SZ
- 1857 Sarcopenia adversely impacts clinical outcomes in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis
Zhang QH, Ma JD, Lu YM, Zhang RN, Zhao ZH, Li YT, Chen QP
- 1871 Comparison efficacy and safety of total laparoscopic gastrectomy and laparoscopically assisted total gastrectomy in treatment of gastric cancer
Li L, Liu DY, Leng J, Tao XM, Wu HQ, Zhu YP
- 1883 Application value of indocyanine green fluorescence imaging in guiding sentinel lymph node biopsy diagnosis of gastric cancer: Meta-analysis
Zhang QJ, Cao ZC, Zhu Q, Sun Y, Li RD, Tong JL, Zheng Q

SCIENTOMETRICS

- 1894 Visualizing the landscape of appendiceal tumor research after 2010: A bibliometric study
Ji JN, Yin ZB

CASE REPORT

- 1910** No-touch isolation technique in emergency pancreaticoduodenectomy for neoplastic hemorrhage: Two case reports and review of literature
Cho A, Katagiri S, Ota M, Onizawa S, Higuchi R, Sugishita T, Niwa Y, Ishita T, Mouri T, Kato A, Iwata M
- 1918** Malignant myopericytoma originating from the colon: A case report
Zhang HL, Zhang M, Guo JQ, Wu FN, Zhu JD, Tu CY, Lv XL, Zhang K
- 1926** Novel magnetic compression technique for the treatment of postoperative anastomotic stenosis in rectal cancer: A case report
Zhang MM, Sha HC, Xue HR, Qin YF, Song XG, Li Y, Li Y, Deng ZW, Gao YL, Dong FF, Lyu Y, Yan XP
- 1933** Magnetic compression anastomosis to restore biliary tract continuity after obstruction following major abdominal trauma: A case report
Zhang MM, Tao J, Sha HC, Li Y, Song XG, Muensterer OJ, Dong FF, Zhang L, Lyu Y, Yan XP
- 1939** Colo-colonic intussusception as a rare complication of colonoscopy with polypectomy: Two case reports
Xiang SH, Xu GQ
- 1948** Resection of polyps involving the appendiceal orifice by combined endo-laparoscopic surgery: Two case reports
Zhang YY, Lu JY, Wang Q, Yang AM

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

- 1953** Evaluating bacterial contamination and surgical site infection risks in intracorporeal anastomosis: Role of bowel preparation
Lee J

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, Deven Juneja, DNB, FNB, EDIC, FCCP, Director, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Max Super Speciality Hospital, New Delhi 110017, India.
devenjuneja@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery* (WJGS, *World J Gastrointest Surg*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal surgery with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGS mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal surgery and covering a wide range of topics including biliary tract surgical procedures, biliopancreatic diversion, colectomy, esophagectomy, esophagostomy, pancreas transplantation, and pancreatectomy, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGS is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2024 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2023 journal impact factor (JIF) for WJGS as 1.8; JIF without journal self cites: 1.7; 5-year JIF: 1.9; JIF Rank: 123/290 in surgery; JIF Quartile: Q2; and 5-year JIF Quartile: Q3.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Zi-Hang Xu, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Cover Editor: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ISSN

ISSN 1948-9366 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

November 30, 2009

FREQUENCY

Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Peter Schemmer

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

June 27, 2024

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



Randomized Clinical Trial

Effect of high-protein peptide-based formula compared with isocaloric isonitrogenous polymeric formula in critically ill surgical patient

Preeda Sumritpradit, Prapimporn Chattranukulchai Shantavasinkul, Winai Ungpinitpong, Pinit Noorit, Chotip Gajasen

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's classification

Scientific Quality: Grade A, Grade B

Novelty: Grade A, Grade B

Creativity or Innovation: Grade A, Grade B

Scientific Significance: Grade A, Grade B

P-Reviewer: Sharma D, India

Received: January 26, 2024

Revised: April 3, 2024

Accepted: April 22, 2024

Published online: June 27, 2024

Processing time: 155 Days and 19.6 Hours



Preeda Sumritpradit, Division of Trauma Surgery and Surgical Critical Care, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

Prapimporn Chattranukulchai Shantavasinkul, Division of Nutrition and Biochemical Medicine, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

Prapimporn Chattranukulchai Shantavasinkul, Graduate Program in Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

Winai Ungpinitpong, Department of Surgery, Surin Hospital, Surin 32000, Thailand

Pinit Noorit, Department of Surgery, Chonburi Hospital, Chonburi 20000, Thailand

Chotip Gajasen, Department of Nursing, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

Corresponding author: Preeda Sumritpradit, MD, Associate Professor, Division of Trauma Surgery and Surgical Critical Care, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, No. 270 Rama VI Road, Thung Phaya Thai, Ratchathewi, Bangkok 10400, Thailand. psumritpradit@gmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Malnutrition is common in critically ill patients, and it is associated with an increased risk of complications. Early enteral nutrition with adequate caloric and protein intake is critical nevertheless it is difficult to achieve. Peptide-based formulas have been shown to be beneficial in patients with feeding intolerance. However, there are limited studies showing the efficacy and safety of high-protein peptide-based formula in critically ill surgical patients.

AIM

To determine the effects of a high-protein peptide formulation on gastrointestinal tolerance, nutritional status, biochemical changes, and adverse events in patients

in the surgery intensive care unit (SICU) compared to an isocaloric isonitrogenous standard polymeric formulation.

METHODS

This study was a multi-center double-blind, randomized controlled trial. We enrolled adult patients in the surgical intensive care unit, age ≥ 15 years and expected to receive enteral feeding for at least 5-14 d post-operation. They were randomly assigned to receive either the high-protein peptide-based formula or the isocaloric isonitrogenous standard formula for 14 d. Gastric residual volume (GRV), nutritional status, body composition and biochemical parameters were assessed at baseline and on days 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, and 14.

RESULTS

A total of 19 patients were enrolled, 9 patients in the peptide-based formula group and 10 patients in the standard formula group. During the study period, there were no differences of the average GRV, body weight, body composition, nutritional status and biochemical parameters in the patients receiving peptide-based formula, compared to the standard regimen. However, participants in the standard formula lost their body weight, body mass index (BMI) and skeletal muscle mass significantly. While body weight, BMI and muscle mass were maintained in the peptide-based formula, from baseline to day 14. Moreover, the participants in the peptide-based formula tended to reach their caloric target faster than the standard formula.

CONCLUSION

The study emphasizes the importance of early nutritional support in the SICU and showed the efficacy and safety of a high-protein, peptide-based formula in meeting caloric and protein intake targets while maintaining body weight and muscle mass.

Key Words: Peptide-based formula; Surgical intensive care; Hydrolyzed protein; Surgery; Nutritional support

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This study is to focus on early nutrition support by novel high protein, peptide-based formula in various surgical intensive care patients. The formula could help maintaining body weight and muscle mass of patients and help them to meet calories and protein requirement. In addition, this nutrition support improved serum albumin, prealbumin and retinol binding protein which lead to decrease risk of malnutrition. Besides nutritional status outcomes evaluation, we investigate wound healing improvement by plasma fibronectin which is protein for cell adhesion, wound healing and blood clotting in this study. This finding would be helpful for recovery surgical patients after operation.

Citation: Sumritpradit P, Shantavasinkul PC, Ungpinitpong W, Noorit P, Gajaseni C. Effect of high-protein peptide-based formula compared with isocaloric isonitrogenous polymeric formula in critically ill surgical patient. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2024; 16(6): 1765-1774

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v16/i6/1765.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v16.i6.1765>

INTRODUCTION

Malnutrition is common in critically ill patients, and it is associated with an increased risk of complications, particularly in surgical intensive care (SICU) patients. Malnutrition increases infectious complications, delayed wound healing, prolonged hospital stays, and increased hospital cost and overall mortality[1,2]. Early enteral nutrition with adequate caloric and protein intake have been shown to successfully handle the metabolic demands that arise during the acute phase of critical illness, especially in surgical patients which increased metabolic needs for recovery and wound healing. Early enteral feeding could reduce length of hospital stay, ICU stay, ventilator days and mortality in critically ill patients [3]. Moreover, high protein intake during peri-operative period results in less negative nitrogen balance and decrease risk of muscle wasting. Nevertheless, high caloric and protein intake is difficult to achieve. Since the greater volumes and concentrations of enteral nutrition may be required, which may raise the risk of feeding intolerance. Using high volume enteral feeding may increase gastric residual volume (GRV) which leads to increased risk of aspiration pneumonia[4,5]. Fibronectin is a protein that is essential for cell adhesion, wound healing, and blood clotting. Plasma fibronectin is essential for host defense in critically ill patients, especially during sepsis. Low plasma fibronectin levels can promote phagocytic failure, reticuloendothelial system malfunction, and multiple organ failure[6,7].

Peptide-based formula is an enteral formula that incorporates partially or totally hydrolyzed protein in the form of dipeptides or tripeptides. Additionally, a peptide-based formula usually contains a significant amount of medium chain triglyceride (MCT) which is easier to absorb and utilize[8]. This form of enteral formula has several advantages over other forms of enteral nutrition. It contains smaller protein fragments that can be absorbed and utilize more efficiently[9]. It is also a beneficial nutrition support for patients with tube feeding-related diarrhea, feeding intolerance or malabsorption

[10]. However, there are limited studies showing the efficacy and safety of high-protein peptide-based formula in critically ill surgical patients. Thus, this study aimed to determine the effect of high-protein peptide-based formula, compared to the isocaloric isonitrogenous standard polymeric formula, on the gastrointestinal (GI) tolerability and changes of fibronectin levels in patients who were admitted to the SICU. The secondary objective was to investigate the nutritional status, biochemical changes (serum albumin, prealbumin, and retinol-binding protein) and adverse events of this peptide-based formula compared to the standard regimen. The study's findings will be beneficial and guide physicians in choosing the appropriate nutritional regimen for critically ill surgical patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

This study was a multi-center double-blind, randomized controlled trial. It was conducted at SICU of Ramathibodi Hospital (Mahidol University), Chonburi Hospital and Surin Hospital, Thailand. We enrolled adult patients, age 15 years or older, who were admitted to the SICU and expected to receive enteral feeding for at least 5-14 d post-operation. Any acute surgical conditions patients, not the high-risk postoperative observation, could be enrolled to cover clinical scenarios which have problem to achieve goal of calories by enteral feeding. They were randomly assigned to receive either the high-protein peptide-based formula or the isocaloric isonitrogenous standard formula. Block randomization was generated from computerized system by statistical center and transferred to study site location as opaque sealed envelopes at a ratio of 1:1. We excluded patients who required parenteral nutrition, high doses sedative agents (fentanyl > 2 mg/kg/h or morphine > 0.05 mg/kg/h), history of aspiration pneumonia, thyroid disease, severe hepatic or renal impairment, abdominal hypertension, fluid overload, allergy to any research food components, end stage cancer, and severe burn (grade 2 or grade 3 burn with a lesion greater than 50% of the body surface area).

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board from all institutes and the study was registered at the Thai Clinical Trials Registry (TCTR20220507003) before the first patient's enrollment. All patients voluntarily signed and dated the written informed consent.

Research diets

The study formula is a high-protein peptide-based formula with a Protein: Carbohydrate: Fat ratio of 20:45:35. It contains whey protein hydrolysate and leucine as protein sources and MCT, fish oil and canola oil as fat sources. The formula is fiber-free and it has low osmotic properties. For the control group, casein is added to the standard polymeric formula to produce isocaloric and isonitrogenous formula. The ingredients and nutritional content of both enteral diets are displayed in [Table 1](#). Both diets were prepared at a concentration of 1 kcal/mL.

Feeding protocol

The feeding was started within 48 h after ICU admission and delivered continuously through NG tube. The daily calorie intake for the first 7 d was 20-25 kcal/kg body weight/d, then it was gradually increased to 25-30 kcal/kg of body weight/d over the following 8-14 d. The feeding started by providing at least 50% of the patient's total daily energy requirement, and then gradually increased the feeding rate until the protocol-set feeding rate is reached.

GRV assessment

GRV was measured before feeding, 6 times daily at 4-h intervals. If the GRVs was less than 250 mL, feeding was gradually increased until the target is reached. If the GRV was between 250-400 mL, the feeding was withheld for 1 h before reassessing GRV. If the amount is still over 250 mL, the feeding was stopped for another hour before reassessing the gastric content and the prokinetic agents was administered according to the physician judgement. If the GRV exceeded 400 mL, feeding was stopped, and medication was administered. Symptoms of GI intolerance including diarrhea (assessed using by the Hart and Dobb scale) nausea and vomiting during the study period were recorded daily.

Fibronectin and other biochemical outcomes

Serum fibronectin concentrations were analyzed using a Human Fibronectin Detection kit, PerkinElmer, Inc. The data was generated by white Optiplated™ 384 microplate and the Envision® plate reader 2103, PerkinElmer, Inc. At baseline (day 1) and on days 3, 5, 11, and 14. The other blood samplings such as complete blood count, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, glomerular filtration rate, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, gamma-glutamyl transferase, albumin, prealbumin, retinol binding protein (RBP), total lymphocyte count (TLC), prothrombin time, total bilirubin, international normalized ratio, fasting blood glucose, free thyroxine, free triiodothyronine, thyroid stimulating hormone, serum electrolyte were also performed.

Other outcomes

The nutritional status was determined on days 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, and 14 using Bhumibol Nutrition Triage (BNT) which was endorsed by Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition of Thailand[10]. Body composition using Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (Inbody®, Korea), and Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score on day 1 and 14 and Glasgow Coma Scale were also recorded on day 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11 and 14.

Table 1 The study formula (once peptide) at concentration of 1 kcal:1 mL

| | Peptide-based formula | Standard formula |
|---|--|--|
| Caloric distribution (Protein: Carbohydrate: Fat) | 20:45:35 | 20:52:28 |
| Source of protein | Whey protein hydrolysate (96%); Leucine (4%) | Sodium caseinate (8%); Soy protein isolate (92%) |
| Source of carbohydrate | Maltodextrin (79%); Potato starch (21%) | Maltodextrin (64%); Sucrose (32%); Fructo-oligosaccharide (4%) |
| Source of fat | Fish oil (5%); Canola oil (43%); MCT oil (52%) | Rice bran oil (92%); MCT oil (8%) |
| Osmolality (mOsm/kg H ₂ O) | 290 | 364 |
| Osmolarity (mOsm/L) | 242 | 307 |

MCT: Medium chain triglyceride.

Statistical analysis

The sample size calculation was based on estimated serum fibronectin amount of ICU patients who received small-peptide and whole protein enteral feeding[11]. Considering standard deviation of serum fibronectin in post-operative patients as 20[12], a 95% confidence level, 80% power of study, and a 10% drop-out rate, 10 subjects were required in each group.

Statistical analysis was carried out using the SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, United States). Continuous data are presented as mean \pm SD or median (interquartile range) while categorical data are shown as number (percentage). Independence sample *t*-test or Mann-Whitney *U* test were used to determine the differences of continuous variables between groups. While dependence sample *t*-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test were used to compare within-subject parameters. Test results of categorical variables were evaluated by Chi-square and Fisher exact tests as appropriate. Results were deemed statistically significant at *P*-value < 0.05.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

A total of 19 patients were enrolled, 9 patients were randomized to the peptide-based formula and 10 patients were randomized to the standard formula. Most of the subjects (77.8%) were men. Mean (SD) age of the participants in the peptide-based formula was slightly higher than the standard formula (60.1 ± 21.6 vs 49.1 ± 26.0 years, *P* = 0.333). Eighty percent of participants were classified as having a risk of malnutrition or mild malnutrition according to the BNT. Baseline characteristics were similar between groups (Table 2). Causes of ICU admission and type of surgery are shown in Table 3.

Primary outcome

GRV was measured before feeding, 6 times a day then it was calculated as a daily average GRV. There were no significant differences between groups in average GRV measurement over the first three, five, or seven days of ICU admission (Figure 1). There was no difference between the two groups' percentage changes in serum fibronectin levels between days 1 and 3, 5, 11, and 14 (Table 4).

Secondary outcomes and subgroup analysis

During the SICU admission, body weight, body mass index (BMI), skeletal muscle index were not significantly different between groups at day 14 after ICU admission. Mean calorie intake were slightly higher in the control group (peptide-based formula 25.6 ± 4.1 vs standard formula 27.3 ± 3.7 kcal/kg/d, *P* = 0.402). However, on day 14, participants in the standard formula lost their body weight, BMI and skeletal muscle mass significantly. While body weight, BMI and muscle mass were maintained in the peptide-based formula, from baseline to day 14 (Table 5).

Serum albumin, prealbumin, RBP and TLC increased from baseline in all participants from both groups. However, only serum prealbumin and RBP significantly increased at day 14 (*P* \leq 0.05) (Figure 2). The actual caloric and protein intake were similar between groups. Duration to achieved target calories, at 25 kcal/kg BW/d and 30 kcal/kg BW/d, in peptide-based formula was shorter than standard formula both as shown in Table 6. There was no observed readmission in either group within 90 d following hospital discharge, and the survival rate was 100% in both group at day 180.

Side effects

There were no severe adverse event, and the frequency of GI complications was similar between groups.

Table 2 Baseline characteristics of study participants, *n* (%) / mean \pm SD

| | Peptide-based formula (<i>n</i> = 9) | Standard formula (<i>n</i> = 10) | <i>P</i> value |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Demographic | | | |
| Age (yr) | 60.1 \pm 21.6 | 49.1 \pm 26.0 | 0.333 |
| Gender (Male) | 7 (77.8) | 9 (90.0) | 0.466 |
| Weight (kg) | 66.2 \pm 14.3 | 62.6 \pm 10.4 | 0.533 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 24.2 \pm 3.1 | 22.4 \pm 3.8 | 0.275 |
| GCS score | 10.7 \pm 3.0 | 10.0 \pm 3.1 | 0.864 |
| SOFA score | 4.0 \pm 2.0 | 4.2 \pm 2.0 | 0.769 |
| ¹ Nutrition status assessment | | | |
| Risk of malnutrition | 4 (44.4) | 3 (30.0) | 0.752 |
| Mild malnutrition | 4 (44.4) | 5 (50.0) | |
| Moderate malnutrition | 0 (0.0) | 1 (10.0) | |
| Severe malnutrition | 1 (11.1) | 1 (10.0) | |
| Biochemistry | | | |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 9.8 \pm 2.4 | 10.1 \pm 1.8 | 0.793 |
| White blood cells count ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$) | 14.8 \pm 4.2 | 15.0 \pm 7.5 | 0.955 |
| Platelets count ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$) | 218.8 \pm 112.7 | 175.5 \pm 85.5 | 0.253 |
| Neutrophils | 84.3 \pm 8.5 | 83.5 \pm 5.8 | 0.826 |
| Monocytes | 6.3 \pm 3.5 | 7.2 \pm 3.8 | 0.513 |
| Total lymphocytes count (cell/ μL) | 1267.1 \pm 1164.8 | 1006.0 \pm 1005.6 | 0.288 |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) | 22.3 \pm 8.7 | 21.3 \pm 20.6 | 0.327 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 1.1 \pm 0.4 | 1.0 \pm 0.9 | 0.102 |
| Urine urea nitrogen | 12.0 \pm 6.4 | 15.4 \pm 22.2 | 0.441 |
| GFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²) | 74.7 \pm 31.6 | 103.5 \pm 35.8 | 0.082 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL) | 128.0 \pm 26.7 | 128.1 \pm 49.1 | 0.374 |
| Alkaline phosphatase (U/L) | 92.1 \pm 41.2 | 96.3 \pm 64.6 | 0.859 |
| Aspartate transaminase (U/L) | 84.5 \pm 52.0 | 104.3 \pm 66.5 | 0.501 |
| Alanine transaminase (U/L) | 32.5 \pm 26.0 | 86.8 \pm 99.8 | 0.154 |
| Nutritional status | | | |
| Albumin (g/dL) | 2.6 \pm 0.6 | 2.5 \pm 0.5 | 0.821 |
| Prealbumin (mg/dL) | 10.2 \pm 5.3 | 14.1 \pm 8.2 | 0.236 |
| Retinol binding protein (mg/dL) | 2.1 \pm 1.7 | 2.0 \pm 1.3 | 1.000 |

¹Nutrition status were assessed with Bhumibol Nutrition Triage which is endorsed by Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition of Thailand. NT-1 (score 0-4): Normal or risk of malnutrition; NT-2 (score 5-7): Mild malnutrition; NT-3 (score 8-10): Moderate malnutrition; NT-4 (score >10): Severe malnutrition. BMI: Body mass index; GCS: Glasgow coma scale; SOFA: Sequential organ failure assessment; GFR: Glomerular filtration rate.

DISCUSSION

Our study demonstrated that early nutrition support can lead to improvement of nutritional status for patients in SICU. Within the first week of ICU admission, all patients in this study reached their energy and protein targets according to the European Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition guideline[3]. Even though, there were no differences of GRV and changes of body weight, body composition, nutritional status and biochemical parameters, including fibronectin levels, in patients receiving high-protein peptide-based formula, compared to the standard regimen. The participants in the standard formula lost their body weight, BMI and muscle mass significantly, while the participants receiving peptide-based formula could maintain their weight, BMI and muscle mass on day 14. Moreover, the participants in the peptide-

Table 3 Subject characteristics

| Subject number | Cause of ICU admission | Type of surgery |
|----------------|---|--|
| 1 | Traumatic subdural hematoma | Non operative management, close neurological observation |
| 2 | Acute calculus cholecystitis, adult respiratory distress syndrome | Open cholecystectomy |
| 3 | Closed fracture of shaft of right of humerus, closed fracture of right tibia and fibula severe head injury, maxillofacial injury, traumatic subdural hematoma | Open reduction of fracture with internal fixation humerus. Closed reduction of fracture without internal fixation tibia and fibula. Non operative management, close neurological observation |
| 4 | AAST grade II liver injury severe head injury, traumatic subdural hematoma thoracic blunt aortic injury | Craniotomy, tracheostomy, thoracic endovascular repair of the aorta |
| 5 | Splenic injury grade 5 left hemothorax | Exploratory laparotomy with splenectomy |
| 6 | Large gastric ulcer perforation | Exploratory laparotomy, simple suture with omental patch |
| 7 | Omental twist causing omental infarction | Exploratory laparotomy, omentectomy with drainage |
| 8 | Sigmoid colon diverticulitis with perforation | Exploratory laparotomy c sigmoidectomy with Hartmann operation |
| 9 | Gastric ulcer perforation | Exploratory laparotomy, simple suture with omental patch |
| 10 | Traumatic subdural hematoma | Craniotomy |
| 11 | AAST grade 4 pancreatic injury | Exploratory laparotomy, distal pancreatectomy, total splenectomy |
| 12 | Malignant neoplasm of adrenal gland, gallstone | Unilateral adrenalectomy, total cholecystectomy |
| 13 | Acute calculus cholecystitis | Laparoscopic cholecystectomy |
| 14 | Closed fracture of acetabulum, fracture of right radius and ulnar bones | Open reduction of fracture with internal fixation of radius and ulnar bones |
| 15 | Severe head injury, traumatic subdural hematoma | Craniectomy for clot removal |
| 16 | Epidural hematoma, fracture zygoma, fracture orbit, fracture rib with hemothorax right | Craniotomy with clot removal, open reduction with internal fixation facial fractures |
| 17 | Distal rectal cancer | Abdominoperineal resection |
| 18 | Necrotizing fasciitis with septic shock | Debridement right leg |
| 19 | Necrotizing fasciitis right leg | Debridement right leg |

AAST: The American Association for the Surgery of Trauma; ICU: Intensive care unit.

Table 4 Percentage change of serum fibronectin level, median (25th-75th percentiles)

| | Peptide-based formula | Standard formula | P value |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------|
| Day 3 vs Day 1 | 17.8 (39.5) | 17.5 (100.4) | 0.790 |
| Day 5 vs Day 1 | 21.4 (41.9) | 63.4 (150.4) | 0.290 |
| Day 11 vs Day 1 | 65.1 (106.8) | 59.1 (211.8) | 0.641 |
| Day 14 vs Day 1 | 11.0 (115.6) | 80.5 (357.0) | 0.157 |

based formula tended to reach their caloric target faster than the standard formula.

Peptide-based formula is the enteral formula which contains proteins that are partially hydrolyzed to dipeptides or tripeptides. Moreover, it usually includes higher MCT content compared to the standard polymeric formula which can be absorbed in GI tract and used as source of energy immediately[13]. Previous studies indicated that peptide-based formula could ameliorate feeding intolerance in critically ill patients[14] particularly in malnourished patients who underwent abdominal surgery[15]. In our study, the average GRV were similar between patients receiving either the peptide-based formula or the standard regimen. The discrepancy of the result might be explained by the fact that there were only few patients who had moderate to severe malnutrition in our study. Moreover, clinical characteristics of our study participants were various and not all patients had undergone abdominal surgery. Moreover, the participants in both groups did not have any GI intolerance at baseline and the average GRV were in the normal range throughout the study period [16].

Table 5 Comparison of anthropometry between peptide-based formula and standard formula, mean \pm SD

| | Peptide-based formula | | | Standard formula | | | <i>P</i> value (compare between group for day 14) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|---|
| | Day 1 | Day 14 | <i>P</i> value | Day 1 | Day 14 | <i>P</i> value | |
| Body weight (kg) | 66.2 \pm 14.3 | 65.2 \pm 13.1 | 0.389 | 62.6 \pm 10.4 | 58.4 \pm 10.5 | 0.037 | 0.288 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 24.2 \pm 3.1 | 23.0 \pm 2.4 | 0.471 | 22.4 \pm 3.8 | 20.9 \pm 4.1 | 0.032 | 0.273 |
| SMI (kg/m ²) | 18.4 \pm 5.0 | 15.7 \pm 3.7 | 0.139 | 16.1 \pm 3.2 | 13.6 \pm 3.2 | 0.003 | 0.419 |

BMI: Body mass index; SMI: Skeletal muscle mass index.

Table 6 Comparison of duration to achieve goal of calories between peptide-based formula and standard formula, mean \pm SD

| Day to reach goal | Peptide-based formula | Standard formula | <i>P</i> value |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Step 1 (25 kcal/kg/d up) | 2.7 \pm 0.6 | 3.8 \pm 2.5 | 0.462 |
| Step 2 (30 kcal/kg/d up) | 7.5 \pm 3.5 | 8.5 \pm 0.7 | 0.733 |




Figure 1 Comparison of average daily gastric residual volume during study between peptide-based formula and standard formula. ^a*P* value \leq 0.05. GRV: Gastric residual volume.

Even though, there were no differences of body weight, body composition, nutritional status and biochemical parameters between groups of patients on day 14. Interestingly, the participants in the standard formula lost their weight, BMI and muscle mass significantly, while the participants receiving peptide-based formula could maintain their weight, BMI and muscle mass during baseline through day 14. Muscle wasting is a crucial factor on the recovery of critically ill patients. Sarcopenia can lead to functional disability which can persists for years after discharge from the intensive care unit[17,18]. In a recent clinical study, it was found that patients who receiving peptide-based formula lost less weight and lean mass, compared to the isocaloric and isonitrogenous enteral nutrition[19]. The study suggests that a peptide-based formula is more effective in term of maintaining body weight and muscle mass in patients undergoing surgery. Notably, both groups included the same amount of protein, but the peptide-based formula, composed of whey protein and leucine which could accelerate muscle protein synthesis[20]. Recent study demonstrated that peptide-based formula could prevent significant muscle loss, in comparison with standard formula or β -hydroxymethy β -butyrate-rich product, in obese patients who underwent Roux-en-Y gastric bypass[21]. Moreover, the peptide-based formula contains leucine, a branch-chain amino acid that the World Health Organization recommends people get 39 micrograms/kg of body weight/d[22]. This may be helpful for ICU patients, as shown by the previous research, which presented leucine concentrations that lower normal range lead to decreased cumulative survival rate[23].




Figure 2 Comparison of nutritional status parameters during study between peptide-based formula and standard formula.

The average calorie and protein intake were similar between groups however participants in the peptide-based formula had a tendency to reach their energy goals earlier, compared to the control group. The study's findings were similar to the previous study which indicated that peptide-based formulas are more effective in achieving nutritional targets within a 7-d period compared to the intact-protein enteral nutrition formulas[24].

There was no significant difference in the change in plasma fibronectin levels between groups. This could be attributed to the fact that the level of plasma fibronectin varied greatly and was unpredictable. Previous research has shown that this value can be affected by several factors, such as the type of disease, severity of the infection, type of cancer, and blood transfusion[25-27]. Unlike previous studies[28,29], we only evaluated fibronectin levels after surgery, as we could not assess fibronectin levels before ICU admission[28,29]. Considering the factors mentioned above, the researcher concluded that the serum fibronectin value used in this study has a large variation, making it difficult to compare the degree of improvement among patients. Furthermore, it may not be specific enough to accurately measure the effect of the interventions.

This study has several strengths. Firstly, it was a multicenter, double-blind randomized controlled trial. Secondly, we used the isocaloric, isonitrogenous polymeric standard formula as a control. Thirdly, the feeding protocol was progressive and adjusted individually according to physician's judgement. Lastly, the study measured various outcomes including body composition, biochemistry, and gastrointestinal complications. Our important limitation of this study was the small sample size since we conducted the study during the coronavirus disease pandemic. The small sample size limits the ability to draw a definitive conclusion. Therefore, further study should be conducted to determine the long-term effect of peptide-based formula in larger patient populations, including various patient groups.

CONCLUSION

This study's results suggest that early nutritional support is a crucial aspect of health care for patients in the SICU. The high-protein, peptide-based enteral formula was not only well-received but also effective in helping critically ill patients meet their caloric and protein intake targets. This peptide-based enteral formula plays a significant role in preserving body weight and muscle mass. These findings have substantial implications for muscle strength, physical performance, and an individual's ability to participate in physical medicine and rehabilitation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all participants in the studies, the nurses and laboratory staffs at Ramathibodi Hospital, Surin Hospital and Chonburi Hospital for data collection.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Sumritpradit P conceived the original idea, designed the study, enrolled subjects, patient care, performed the interpretation of data, wrote manuscript, and revised the manuscript and corresponding to submit for publication; Shantavasinkul PC

performed biochemistry analysis, took responsibility for integrity of data, wrote manuscript and revised the manuscript; Ungpinitpong W enrolled subjects, patient care, performed the statistical analysis data, took responsibility for accuracy of data, reviewed the manuscript and provided critical comments; Noorit P enrolled subjects, patient care, performed the interpretation of data, took responsibility for integrity of data, reviewed the manuscript and provided critical comments; Gajasen C collected the data, coordinate with participants and site staff team for intervention. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board from all institutes.

Clinical trial registration statement: The study was registered at the Thai Clinical Trials Registry (TCTR20220507003) before the first patient's enrollment.

Informed consent statement: All patients voluntarily signed and dated the written informed consent.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors declare no potential conflicting interests related to this paper.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

CONSORT 2010 statement: The authors have read the CONSORT 2010 statement, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CONSORT 2010 statement.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country of origin: Thailand

ORCID number: Preeda Sumritpradit 0000-0003-2513-3961; Prapimporn Chattranukulchai Shantavasinkul 0000-0002-9110-3245; Winai Ungpinitpong 0009-0000-5213-7891; Chotip Gajasen 0000-0002-4285-3074.

S-Editor: Liu H

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Zhang YL

REFERENCES

- Heyland DK. Nutritional support in the critically ill patients. A critical review of the evidence. *Crit Care Clin* 1998; **14**: 423-440 [PMID: 9700440 DOI: 10.1016/s0749-0704(05)70009-9]
- Singer P, Berger MM, Van den Berghe G, Biolo G, Calder P, Forbes A, Griffiths R, Kreyman G, Leverve X, Pichard C, ESPEN. ESPEN Guidelines on Parenteral Nutrition: intensive care. *Clin Nutr* 2009; **28**: 387-400 [PMID: 19505748 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2009.04.024]
- Kreymann KG, Berger MM, Deutz NE, Hiesmayr M, Jolliet P, Kazandjiev G, Nitenberg G, van den Berghe G, Wernerman J; DGEM (German Society for Nutritional Medicine), Ebner C, Hartl W, Heymann C, Spies C; ESPEN (European Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition). ESPEN Guidelines on Enteral Nutrition: Intensive care. *Clin Nutr* 2006; **25**: 210-223 [PMID: 16697087 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2006.01.021]
- Mentec H, Dupont H, Bocchetti M, Cani P, Ponche F, Bleichner G. Upper digestive intolerance during enteral nutrition in critically ill patients: frequency, risk factors, and complications. *Crit Care Med* 2001; **29**: 1955-1961 [PMID: 11588461 DOI: 10.1097/00003246-200110000-00018]
- Blaser AR, Starkopf J, Kirsimägi Ü, Deane AM. Definition, prevalence, and outcome of feeding intolerance in intensive care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2014; **58**: 914-922 [PMID: 24611520 DOI: 10.1111/aas.12302]
- Magnusson MK, Mosher DF. Fibronectin: structure, assembly, and cardiovascular implications. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1998; **18**: 1363-1370 [PMID: 9743223 DOI: 10.1161/01.atv.18.9.1363]
- Grossman JE. Plasma fibronectin and fibronectin therapy in sepsis and critical illness. *Rev Infect Dis* 1987; **9** Suppl 4: S420-S430 [PMID: 3326138 DOI: 10.1093/clinids/9.supplement_4.s420]
- Silk DB. Progress report. Peptide absorption in man. *Gut* 1974; **15**: 494-501 [PMID: 4604970 DOI: 10.1136/gut.15.6.494]
- Meredith JW, Ditesheim JA, Zaloga GP. Visceral protein levels in trauma patients are greater with peptide diet than with intact protein diet. *J Trauma* 1990; **30**: 825-8; discussion 828 [PMID: 2116533 DOI: 10.1097/00005373-199007000-00011]
- Brinson RR, Kolts BE. Diarrhea associated with severe hypoalbuminemia: a comparison of a peptide-based chemically defined diet and standard enteral alimentation. *Crit Care Med* 1988; **16**: 130-136 [PMID: 3125004]
- Heimbürger DC, Geels VJ, Bilbrey J, Redden DT, Keeney C. Effects of small-peptide and whole-protein enteral feedings on serum proteins and diarrhea in critically ill patients: a randomized trial. *JPN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1997; **21**: 162-167 [PMID: 9168369 DOI: 10.1177/0148607197021003162]
- Hackbarth R, Sarnaik AP, Meert K, Deshmukh DR, Arciniegas E. Changes in plasma fibronectin in children after elective repair of congenital heart defects. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1993; **105**: 31-36 [PMID: 8419706]
- Mohamed Elfadil O, Shah RN, Hurt RT, Mundi MS. Peptide-based formula: Clinical applications and benefits. *Nutr Clin Pract* 2023; **38**: 318-328 [PMID: 36802281 DOI: 10.1002/ncp.10961]
- Wang K, Zhang Z. Peptide-based enteral nutrition for critically ill patients. *J Transl Crit Care Med* 2021; **3**: 2 [DOI: 10.4103/jtccm.jtccm_11_21]
- Liu MY, Tang HC, Hu SH, Chang SJ. Peptide-based enteral formula improves tolerance and clinical outcomes in abdominal surgery patients

- relative to a whole protein enteral formula. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2016; **8**: 700-705 [PMID: 27830042 DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v8.i10.700]
- 16 **Montejo JC**, Miñambres E, Bordejé L, Mesejo A, Acosta J, Heras A, Ferré M, Fernandez-Ortega F, Vaquerizo CI, Manzanedo R. Gastric residual volume during enteral nutrition in ICU patients: the REGANE study. *Intensive Care Med* 2010; **36**: 1386-1393 [PMID: 20232036 DOI: 10.1007/s00134-010-1856-y]
 - 17 **Mayer KP**, Thompson Bastin ML, Montgomery-Yates AA, Pastva AM, Dupont-Versteegden EE, Parry SM, Morris PE. Acute skeletal muscle wasting and dysfunction predict physical disability at hospital discharge in patients with critical illness. *Crit Care* 2020; **24**: 637 [PMID: 33148301 DOI: 10.1186/s13054-020-03355-x]
 - 18 **Schefold JC**, Wollersheim T, Grunow JJ, Luedi MM, Z'Graggen WJ, Weber-Carstens S. Muscular weakness and muscle wasting in the critically ill. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2020; **11**: 1399-1412 [PMID: 32893974 DOI: 10.1002/jcsm.12620]
 - 19 **Alexander DD**, Bylsma LC, Elkayam L, Nguyen DL. Nutritional and health benefits of semi-elemental diets: A comprehensive summary of the literature. *World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther* 2016; **7**: 306-319 [PMID: 27158547 DOI: 10.4292/wjgpt.v7.i2.306]
 - 20 **Wamiti J**, Kogi-Makau W, Onyango FE, Ngala S. Leucine supplementation in the management of protein energy malnutrition: A review article. *East African Med J* 2017; **94** Suppl 1: 20-24
 - 21 **Comas Martínez M**, Fidalio Meli E, Palmas Candia F, Cordero E, Hernández I, Vilallonga R, Burgos R, Vila A, Simó R, Ciudin A. Protein Supplementation with Short Peptides Prevents Early Muscle Mass Loss after Roux-en-Y-Gastric Bypass. *Nutrients* 2022; **14** [PMID: 36501125 DOI: 10.3390/nu14235095]
 - 22 **Joint WHO/FAO/UNU Expert Consultation**. Protein and amino acid requirements in human nutrition. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser* 2007; 1-265 [PMID: 18330140]
 - 23 **Wang MY**, Wang CH, Chen WS, Chu CM, Wu HP, Liu MH, Lin YT, Kao KC, Liang CY, Chen WH, Wang HJ, Lee SC. U-Shape Relationship between Plasma Leucine Level and Mortality in the Intensive Care Unit. *Dis Markers* 2022; **2022**: 7389258 [PMID: 35035612 DOI: 10.1155/2022/7389258]
 - 24 **Wang YQ**, Li YH, Li YT, Li HX, Zhang D. Comparisons between short-peptide formula and intact-protein formula for early enteral nutrition initiation in patients with acute gastrointestinal injury: a single-center retrospective cohort study. *Ann Transl Med* 2022; **10**: 573 [PMID: 35722435 DOI: 10.21037/atm-22-1837]
 - 25 **Stathakis NE**, Fountas A, Tsianos E. Plasma fibronectin in normal subjects and in various disease states. *J Clin Pathol* 1981; **34**: 504-508 [PMID: 7251892 DOI: 10.1136/jcp.34.5.504]
 - 26 **Boughton BJ**, Simpson A, Baar S, Ala F, Casson J, Gower J. The concentration of plasma fibronectin in burns patients treated with fresh frozen plasma or plasma protein fraction. *Resuscitation* 1984; **12**: 41-45 [PMID: 6330824 DOI: 10.1016/0300-9572(84)90057-1]
 - 27 **Gironi A**, Niccolai J, Fabbri LP, Niccolai M, Chisci C. [Determination of plasma fibronectin (Fn) levels. Comparison between normal subjects and patients with various pathological conditions]. *Quad Sclavo Diagn* 1982; **18**: 392-405 [PMID: 6764670]
 - 28 **Chadwick SJ**, Mowbray JF, Dudley HA. Plasma fibronectin and complement in surgical patients. *Br J Surg* 1984; **71**: 718-720 [PMID: 6478167 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800710926]
 - 29 **Gauperaa T**, Giercksky KE, Revhaug A, Rekvig OP. Fibronectin, complement and immunoglobulins in serum after surgery. *Br J Surg* 1985; **72**: 59-62 [PMID: 3967133 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800720123]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

