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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Primary malignant melanoma of the esophagus (PMME) is a rare malignant disease and has not been well characterized in terms of clinicopathology and
survival.

**AIM**
To investigate the clinical features and survival factors in Chinese patients with PMME.

**METHODS**
The clinicopathological findings of ten cases with PMME treated at Henan Provincial People’s Hospital were summarized. Moreover, the English- and Chinese-language literature that focused on Chinese patients with PMME from 1980 to September 2021 was reviewed and analyzed. Univariate and multivariate analyses were employed to investigate the clinicopathologic factors that might be associated with survival.

**RESULTS**
A total of 290 Chinese patients with PMME, including ten from our hospital and 280 from the literature were enrolled in the present study. Only about half of the patients (55.8%) were accurately diagnosed before surgery. Additionally, 91.1% of the patients received esophagectomy, and 88 patients (36.5%) received adjuvant therapy after surgery. The frequency of lymph node metastasis (LNM) was 51.2% (107/209), and LNM had a positive rate of 45.3% even when the tumor was confined to the submucosal layer. The risk of LNM increased significantly with the pT stage \(P < 0.001, \text{OR}: 2.47, 95\% \text{CI}: 1.72-3.56\] and larger tumor size \((P = 0.006, \text{OR}: 1.21, 95\% \text{CI}: 1.05-1.38)\). The median overall survival (OS) was 11.0 mo (range: 1-204 mo). The multivariate Cox analysis showed both the pT stage \((P = 0.005, \text{HR}: 1.70, 95\% \text{CI}: 1.17-2.47)\) and LNM \((P = 0.009, \text{HR}: 1.78, 95\% \text{CI}: 1.15-2.74)\) were independent prognostic factors for OS. The median disease-free survival (DFS) was 5.3 mo (range: 0.8-114.1 mo). The multivariate analysis indicated that only the advanced pT stage \((P = 0.02, \text{HR}: 1.93, 95\% \text{CI}: 1.09-3.42)\) was a significant independent indicator of poor RFS in patients with PMME.

**CONCLUSION**
The correct diagnosis of PMME before surgery is low, and physicians should pay more attention to avoid a misdiagnosis or missed diagnosis. Extended lymph node dissection should be emphasized in surgery for PMME even though the tumor is confined to the submucosal layer. Both the LNM and pT stage are independent prognosis factors for OS, and the pT stage is the prognosis factor for DFS in patients with PMME.

**Key Words:** Primary malignant melanoma of the esophagus; Clinicopathological characteristics; Treatment; Recurrence; Survival

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

---

**Core Tip:** Primary malignant melanoma of esophagus (PMME) is a rare malignant disease. We comprehensively analyzed the clinicopathological characteristics of 290 Chinese patients with PMME. Only about half of the patients were accurately diagnosed before surgery. The positive rate of lymph node metastasis (LNM) was 45.3% even when the tumor was confined to the submucosal layer. The median overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival were 11.0 mo and 5.3 mo, respectively. Cox analysis showed that both pT stage and LNM were independent prognostic factors for OS, while only advanced pT stage was a significant independent indicator of poor RFS in patients with PMME.
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**INTRODUCTION**
Primary malignant melanoma of the esophagus (PMME) is the most common non-epithelial malignancy in the esophagus[1], which comprises approximately 0.2% of all tumors of the esophagus[2]. Until now, only several hundred cases of PMME have been reported in the literature, most as case reports[3]. The limited sample size restricted research on the malignancy. Reports on Chinese PMME are limited,
although some areas of China have a high incidence of esophageal cancer. Large studies on Chinese PMME were reported by Wang et al4 (n = 76), Dai et al5 (n = 70), Sun et al6 (n = 21), and Chen et al7 (n = 20). PMME has the following characteristics: Difficult to diagnosis, rapid progression, high rate of recurrence and metastasis, and poor prognosis. The median survival of PMME in China is 13.5 mo5. To date, the diagnosis, treatment, and pathological staging of PMME follow the guidelines for esophageal cancer8. Systematically analyzing the clinicopathologic features and the possible prognostic factors of PMME will improve the effectiveness of its diagnosis and treatment.

In this retrospective study, we presented ten cases of PMME encountered at Henan Provincial People’s Hospital, together with a systematic analysis of 280 Chinese patients with PMME collected from both the English- and Chinese-language literature, with the aim of analyzing the clinicopathological and prognostic characteristics of Chinese patients with PMME.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Summary of ten cases in our hospital**

The records of 12 patients with PMME were retrieved at Henan Provincial People’s Hospital from January 1990 to September 2021. Two patients were excluded because of a history of cutaneous melanoma. The clinical data of the remaining ten patients, including gender, age, symptoms, endoscopic and radiographic examination, tumor location, tumor size, operative time, tumor node metastasis (TNM) stage, and others were collected. All of the ten patients were confirmed by endoscopic biopsy and four of them received surgical treatment. None of them had a history of melanoma in the skin or other malignancy history.

The tumor diagnostic evaluation was reviewed and confirmed by two independent pathologists. In order to be consistent with the published literature, the clinical and pathological stages were reassessed according to the 7th edition of the Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) TNM classification system. Follow-ups were performed by telephone and the outpatient medical record system, and the complete follow-up data should include survival status, cause of death, and time of death.

The present study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Henan Provincial People’s Hospital, and it conformed to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all individuals before biopsy or surgery.

**Review of the literature**

A systematic literature review was performed in databases of China BioMedical Literature on Disc (CBMdisc), and Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System online (MEDLINE). Relevant publications were identified using the following terms and keywords: “Malignant melanoma of the esophagus” or “Malignant melanoma” and “Esophagus”. The last search was updated on September 1, 2021. References of the retrieved articles were further reviewed to find other potential eligible studies. The title and abstract were first screened, followed by full text assessing for eligibility. Each step was independently conducted by two researchers, results were compared, and differences were resolved by consensus.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

To be eligible for inclusion in this meta-analysis, the article must meet the following criteria: (1) Describing studies on PMME in Chinese population; (2) Providing detailed information of each patients, including gender and age; and (3) Providing pathology diagnosis. Articles were excluded due to the following reasons: (1) Studies were not focused on Chinese population; (2) Meta-analysis or reviews; (3) There was no detailed information of each patients; (4) Content repeats in different articles; and (5) Accompanied with other malignancies, including melanoma in other body parts simultaneously or heterogeneously.

**Data extraction**

Data from retrieved articles were independently collected by two reviewers. The following information was extracted from each study: First author, year of publication, and detailed information of each patients. In event of inconsistent evaluations, a third investigator was consulted to resolve the dispute and made the final decision.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive or frequency analysis was used for basic information analysis. Numerical variables are expressed as the mean ± SD. Statistical differences were evaluated by χ² test or t test. The effects of the clinicopathologic factors on lymph node metastasis (LNM) was evaluated using univariate and multivariate logistic-regression models. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to assess associations between clinicopathological characteristics and survival outcome. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed using Cox regression. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were
calculated. The log-rank test was used to compare survival curves. All statistical tests were two-sided. \( P \) values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 21 (IBM Corporation, Waltham, NY, United States).

**RESULTS**

**Clinicopathological characteristics of ten PMME patients at our hospital**

The clinicopathological characteristics of ten PMME patients are summarized in Table 1. There were six men and four women. The ages ranged from 47 years to 80 years with a mean age of 62.2 \( \pm \) 9.9 years. Although the mean age of female patients (68.3 \( \pm \) 10.4 years) was much older than that of the male patients (58.0 \( \pm \) 7.8 years), there was no statistical difference (\( P = 0.111 \)). Eight of them presented with dysphagia as the main symptom (80\%, 8/10), and the other two had retrosternal pain or bellyache. Six of them also had an esophagography and computerized tomography (CT) scan. The esophagography revealed mucosa destruction and an irregular filling defect of the esophageal lumen (Figure 1A). The CT scan showed polypoid masses in the esophagus (Figure 1B). There were one, six, and three patients having the masses located at the upper, middle, and lower portion of the esophagus, respectively.

All of the ten patients had a preoperative esophagoscopy and biopsy pathology. The endoscopy manifestations were polypoid or a protuberant mass (\( n = 7 \)), ulcerative mass (\( n = 1 \)), and superficial lesion (\( n = 2 \)). About half of the patients had pigment deposition on the surface of the tumors (Figure 1C and D). Nine patients had an accurate preoperative diagnosis of PMME, but the remaining one who was initially diagnosed with poor differentiated carcinoma by biopsy pathology, was eventually diagnosed with PMME by postoperative pathology (Figure 1E and F). There were four patients who received surgery and two who received chemoradiotherapy only. A postoperative pathological examination of the four patients showed that the lesions of two cases were confined to the submucous layer (T1b), and two had lesions extended to the muscularis propria (T2). The mean number of lymph nodes dissected in surgery was 14.5 \( \pm \) 6.1 (range: 6-19). Notably, none of the four patients had LNM.

Five of the six patients who received treatments at our hospital were successfully followed up. One was still alive until the last follow up, but the remaining four died because of recurrence or metastasis. The median survival time was 24.5 mo (range: 3-31.9 mo).

**Characteristics of selected studies**

The literature flowchart (Supplementary Figure 1) exhibits the entire selection process from the eligible studies. The search can be traced using the publication date from 1980 to September 2021. A total of 122 studies were collected using the inclusion and exclusion criteria, including 98 articles in Chinese and 24 in English. Finally, a total of 280 patients diagnosed with PMME were enrolled in the study. The main characteristics of the included studies [4, 6-126] as well as the corresponding clinicopathological features are summarized in the Supplementary Table 1. Finally, a total of 290 patients, including the ten cases recruited from our hospital and the 280 cases collected from the literature, were subjected to subsequent analysis. The clinicopathological characteristics are shown in Table 2.

**Gender, age, and tumor location**

Each case of the 290 cases had gender, age, tumor location, and pathology documents. There were 200 males and 90 females with a male-to-female ratio of 2.2:1. Their ages ranged from 26 to 84 years, with a mean age of 58.5 \( \pm \) 9.7 years. No significant difference was found in age between male and female patients (male: 58.6 \( \pm \) 9.1 years; female: 58.3 \( \pm \) 11.1 years).

Most of the tumors (274/290, 94.6\%) were located in the middle (\( n = 138 \)) or lower (\( n = 136 \)) of the esophagus, and only 16 cases (5.4\%) had the tumors located in the upper esophagus. Interestingly, the tumors in female patients were prone to being located in the upper esophagus (62.5\%, 10/16), and conversely, tumors in male patients were more often located in the both middle and lower esophagus (72.3\%, 198/274, \( P = 0.003 \)).

**Symptoms and duration**

There were 277 patients who had their main symptoms documented. The most common symptom was dysphagia (219, 79.1\%), followed by retrosternal pain (31, 11.2\%), bellyache (11, 4.0\%), poor food intake with no obvious incentive (6, 2.2\%), and hematemesis or melena (2, 0.7\%), respectively. Eight (2.9\%) patients were asymptomatic and had the tumors detected in the physical examination. The interval between the diagnosis of the disease and the onset of symptom occurrence was documented in 188 patients. The symptom duration ranged from 0.2-36 mo, with a median of 2.0 mo.

**Imaging examination**

Notably, there were 147 patients who had detailed information of upper gastrointestinal barium esophagogram and CT. For most of them, the esophagography revealed mucosa destruction, irregular filling defect, and narrowness of the esophageal lumen. The CT examination mainly showed bulky or
Table 1 Clinicopathological features of ten cases of primary malignant melanomas of the esophagus from Henan Provincial People's Hospital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Chief complaint</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Gross classification</th>
<th>Tumor number</th>
<th>Preoperative diagnosis</th>
<th>Tumor length</th>
<th>Deep in depth</th>
<th>LNM</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Survival (mo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PMME</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>FU loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PMME</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>FU loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PMME</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>FU loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PMME</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>FU loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PMME</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R + C</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PMME</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>Ulcering</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor differentiated carcinoma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>DP</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Retrosternal pain</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Polypoid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PMME</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>FU loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>bellyache</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>Polypoid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PMME</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>DP</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Dysphagia and retrosternal pain</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>Polypoid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PMME</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>22 alive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PMME: Primary malignant melanoma of esophagus; NA: Not applicable; SM: Submucosal layer; MP: Muscularis propria; FU: Follow up; S: Surgery; C: Chemotherapy; R: Radiotherapy.

polypoid and intraluminal obstructive masses in the esophagus.

**Endoscopic biopsy and treatment**

About 181 patients had preoperative endoscopy documents. The most common manifestation of the endoscopy was an irregular segmented, lobular, polypoid, or segmented intraluminal tumor mass. Half of the tumors had a rough, eroded, and friable and easily bleeding surface (87/181, 48.1%). Six patients failed to have the mucosa biopsy taken because it bled readily.

The detailed pathological results of the preoperative biopsy were described in 206 patients. Only 115 (55.8%) of the 206 patients were accurately diagnosed as having PMME. Biopsy pathology of the remaining cases were as follows: Poorly differentiated carcinoma (39/206, 18.9%), squamous cell carcinoma (15.5%, 32/206), adenocarcinoma (4.9%, 10/206), and high-grade dysplasia or nonneoplastic lesions (4.9%, 10/206).

Treatment was documented in 257 of the 290 patients (88.6%). The majority of the cases (234/257, 91.1%) accepted esophagogastrostomy or subtotal esophagectomy, and seven (2.7%) patients accepted endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD). Besides surgery or ESD, 88 (88/241, 36.5%) patients also received adjuvant therapy, including radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy. There were 16 (6.2%) cases that only received adjuvant therapy without surgery.

**Tumor number and size**

Multiple tumors were defined as there was at least one satellite nodule or it was scattered with a black pigmented spot near the primary tumor. The tumor size of multiple tumors was calculated as the size of the primary tumor instead of the sum of multiple tumors. There were 71.9% of PMME masses that had a pigmented surface. Seventy-four (61.8%) cases had single tumors, and 46 (38.2%) had multiple tumors. The mean size was 5.2 ± 2.9 cm (range: 0.3-17.0 cm). The mean tumor size in males was significantly longer than that in female patients ($P < 0.001$, Figure 2A). Additionally, the tumor size was significantly correlated with tumor location ($P < 0.001$), and the mean tumor size was much shorter when the tumor was located in the upper thoracic esophagus (Figure 2B). No difference was found in tumor size between single and multiple tumors (single: 5.2 ± 2.8 cm; multiple: 5.3 ± 3.1 cm; $P = 0.895$).

**Gross classification and TNM stage**

There were 244 patients who had gross classification documents. The most common subtype was polypoid (194/244, 79.5%), followed by ulcerative ($n = 29$, 11.9%), superficial ($n = 14$, 5.7%), medullary ($n = 6$, 2.5%), and constrictive subtypes ($n = 1$, 0.4%).

There were 213 patients who had depth of tumor invasion documents. Pathological examination revealed that the tumors in 45.6% of the PMME patients were limited to submucosal layer, including 14
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>58.4 ± 9.7 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrosternal pain</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia and restrosternal pain</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellyache</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No symptom found by physical examination</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of appetite</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoematemesis or melena</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigmentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>71.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological diagnosis of biopsy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMME</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>55.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCC</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly differentiated carcinoma</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-grade dysplasia or non-neoplastic lesions</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery and adjuvant treatment</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjuvant treatment</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (censored: n = 77)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 2.9 cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>61.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial elevated</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polypoid</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>77.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Ulcerative and others  36   14.4
Censored  46

Depth of invasion
T1  97   45.6
T2  67   31.4
T3 and T4  49   23.0
Censored  77

Lymph node metastasis
Yes  107   51.2
No  102   48.8
Censored  81

Table 3

Figure 1 Imaging and microphotograph of primary malignant melanoma of the esophagus. A: Barium swallow examination showed an irregular filling defect on the lower third of the esophagus, causing mucosa destruction; B: Computed tomography showed an eccentric thickening in the lower third of the esophagus wall, with enhancement; C and D: Esophagoscopy revealed a nonpigmented polypoid tumor with hyperemia and erosion in the lower esophagus, and black lesion scattered on the wall of esophagus; E: Hematoxylin-eosin staining identified malignant melanoma cells in the lamina propria of the esophagus (× 100); F: Immunohistochemical staining with HMB45 (human melanoma black 45) antibody revealed positive tumor cells (× 100).
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Figure 1 Imaging and microphotograph of primary malignant melanoma of the esophagus. A: Barium swallow examination showed an irregular filling defect on the lower third of the esophagus, causing mucosa destruction; B: Computed tomography showed an eccentric thickening in the lower third of the esophagus wall, with enhancement; C and D: Esophagoscopy revealed a nonpigmented polypoid tumor with hyperemia and erosion in the lower esophagus, and black lesion scattered on the wall of esophagus; E: Hematoxylin-eosin staining identified malignant melanoma cells in the lamina propria of the esophagus (× 100); F: Immunohistochemical staining with HMB45 (human melanoma black 45) antibody revealed positive tumor cells (× 100).

(6.6%) cases restricted to the mucosa (T1a) and 83 (39.0%) restricted to the submucosal layer (T1b). The number of patients with tumor extension to the muscularis propria (T2), fibrous membrane (T3), and outer membrane (T4) was 67 (31.4%), 40 (18.8%), and 9 (4.2%), respectively. No correlation was found between the tumor infiltration depth and clinical characteristics (P > 0.05; data not shown).

Totally, 209 patients had LNM documents. The mean number of lymph nodes dissected in surgery was 11.7 ± 8.9 (range: 1 to 43). The positive rate of LNM was 51.2% (102/209). The correlation between LNM and clinicopathological features is shown in Table 3. Significantly, no LNM was found when the tumor was confined to the mucous layer (T1a). The risk of LNM was significantly increased with the progression of the pT stage (P < 0.001, odds ratio (OR): 2.47, 95%CI: 1.72-3.56). The size for the tumors with LNM was significantly larger than that of tumors without (P < 0.001, OR: 1.24, 95%CI: 1.09-1.42). A regression analysis found that the risk of LNM was associated with both the pT stage and tumor size (pT stage: P < 0.001, OR: 2.22, 95%CI: 1.47-3.33; tumor size: P = 0.006, OR: 1.21, 95%CI: 1.05-1.38).
### Table 3 Correlation between lymph node metastasis and clinicopathological features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>LNM-</th>
<th>LNM+</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Logistic regression analysis</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>OR (95%CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>59.4 ± 8.9</td>
<td>57.2 ± 10.3</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>6.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.21 (1.05-1.36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial elevated</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polypoid</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative and others</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 + T4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Censored</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LNM: Lymph node metastases; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval.

**Local recurrence and distant metastasis**

Eighty-four patients had records for local recurrence and distant metastasis, and 16 cases were combined with distant metastasis in addition to local recurrence. The precise sites of the distant metastasis were well documented in 74 cases. A total of 94 PMME metastatic sites were affected in the 74 patients; 19 cases had two sites involved, and 5 had three sites involved synchronously or metachronously. Both the lung (n = 26, 27.7%) and liver (n = 24, 25.5%) were the sites most frequently involved, followed by the lymph nodes (including those of the enterocelia, neck, mediastinum, and axilla, n = 19, 20.2%), brain (n = 8, 8.5%), bone (n = 6, 6.4%), and other locations. The detailed distant metastasis locations are shown in Figure 3.

**Overall and disease-free survival**

The follow-up data were documented in 179 patients. Three patients died of serious complications during the preoperative period. Two cases were lost after surgery at 12 and 33 mo, respectively. After excluding the five patients, the survival analysis was performed on the remaining 174 patients. There were 116 cases (65.9%) with cancer-specific deaths and 58 (32.9%) were still alive at the time that the articles were published. The median overall survival (OS) of 174 patients was 11.0 mo (range: 1-204 mo), and the 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival rates were 57%, 25%, and 12%, respectively (Figure 4A).

We compared the OS rate between the different clinicopathological characteristics of the PMME patients (Table 4). As shown in Figure 5A, patients at pT1b (n = 60) or advanced pT stages (n = 79) had a significantly worse prognosis than patients at T1a stage (n = 12, P = 0.01 and P = 0.001, respectively).
Table 4 Univariate and multivariate analyses of predictive factors for overall survival and disease-free survival in patients with primary malignant melanoma of esophagus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Overall survival</th>
<th>Disease-free survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uni-P</td>
<td>Multi-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male vs female</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 55 vs ≥ 55</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper vs middle vs lower</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single vs multiple</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5.5 vs ≥ 5</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial vs polypoid vs ulcerative and others</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1a vs T1b vs T2 and T3 and T4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No vs yes</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTNM stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I vs II vs III and IV</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery vs surgery plus adjuvant therapy</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LNM: Lymph node metastases; pTNM: Pathological tumor node metastasis; HR: Hazard ratio; CI: Confidence interval.

Figure 2 Correlation of tumor size with gender and tumor location. A: Gender; B: Tumor location.

Moreover, the prognosis of patients at the pT1b stage was much better compared with patients at advanced pT stage ($P = 0.03$, Figure 5A). In addition, the LNM-positive group had a significantly poorer prognosis compared with the LNM-negative group ($P < 0.001$, Figure 5C). As for the pathological tumor node metastasis (pTNM) stages, both the stage II and stage III/IV groups had a worse prognosis than
Figure 3 Site of metastasis in the study cohort. A: Distant lymph nodes including those in the enterocolia, neck, mediastinum, and axilla.

Figure 4 Survival of patients with primary malignant melanoma of the esophagus. A: Overall survival; B: Disease-free survival.

the stage I group ($P < 0.001$, Figure 5E). Furthermore, patients with a superficial subtype had a significantly longer OS time than patients with other gross classifications ($P = 0.02$, Figure 5G). Male patients tended to have a worse prognosis compared with female patients ($P = 0.08$). A multivariate analysis demonstrated that both pT and LNM were independent prognostic factors for PMME patients ($pT$ stage: $P = 0.005$, HR: 1.70, 95%CI: 1.17-2.47; LNM: $P = 0.009$, HR: 1.78, 95%CI: 1.15-2.74).

For disease-free survival (DFS), only 36 cases had detailed documents. The median DFS was 5.3 mo (range: 0.8-114.1 mo), and the 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival rates were 33%, 11%, and 6%, respectively (Figure 4B). Similar to the OS, the DFS of the patients at T1a was significantly better than that of patients at advanced pT stages ($P = 0.01$, Figure 5B). Patients at pTNM I had a better RFS compared with patients at pTNM II-IV ($P = 0.02$, Figure 5F). Furthermore, the DFS of patients with superficial subtype was significantly longer than patients with other gross classifications ($P = 0.007$, Figure 5H). Moreover, LNM-positive patients also tended to have a worse DFS than LNM-negative patients ($P = 0.07$; Figure 5D). Multivariate analysis demonstrated that only pT stage was the independent DFS prognostic factor for patients with PMME ($P = 0.02$, HR: 1.93, 95%CI: 1.09-3.42) (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Primary mucosal melanomas can be found in the mucosal membranes of the respiratory, gastroin-
PMME is a rare disease with aggressive behavior and poor prognosis. To date, the majority of the existing studies were case reports on the Asian population. It is difficult to conduct a comprehensive retrospective study of patients with PMME. In this study, we tried to investigate the present status of PMME in China by systematically analyzing the clinicopathologic and prognostic characteristics of 290 Chinese patients with PMME.

The male-to-female ratio of PMME was 2.2:1, and the mean age was 58.5 ± 9.7 years. The most common site was the middle and lower thoracic esophagus, which accounted for 94.5%. All of the features resembled those of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), a major form of esophageal malignancies in China. The male-to-female ratio of Japanese patients with PMME was 3.5:1, and the median age was 64.5 years[130], which was much higher than that of Chinese patients. In Western populations, male patients were only a little more than female ones with a male-to-female ratio of 1:3.1, and the mean age was 71.8 ± 13.6 years[131]. This distinction suggested that there might be different tumorigeneses between the Asian and Western populations with PMME. Both the middle and lower esophagus were the most common location of PMME for the Asian and Western populations[130,131]. Additionally, our results showed that the tumor masses of female patients were prone to being located in the upper esophagus compared with males, which prompted that an endoscopist should pay more attention to the upper thoracic esophagus of female patients to avoid missing an early lesion even though PMME is rare in the upper of the esophagus.

Polypoid lesions (79.5%) were the predominant gross classification of PMME, many of which are relatively soft, friable, and easily bleed. Sometimes, it was mistaken for phlebangioma under endoscopy [36,86,105]. There were only 5% of patients with PMME who had superficial lesions. The physician and endoscopist might be unfamiliar with the manifestations of PMME at early stage. In one patient from Kunming City, China, who presented with retrosternal pain after eating for 7 d, the first endoscopy showed several black lesions scattered throughout the middle esophagus. He was misdiagnosed because the doctor was unfamiliar with PMME. After 8 mo, the second endoscopy showed a polypoid lesion. The patient died 3 mo after surgery because of systemic metastasis[48].

The pathognomonic endoscopic finding of PMME is pigmentation. Our results showed that about 71.9% of PMME masses had a pigmented surface, which was similar to a previous study[131] showing that 26.9% of the lesions were amelanotic. These results suggested that the absence of pigmentation does not necessarily exclude PMME[3,132]. PMME is always surrounded by satellite lesions. Our results showed that one third of patients had multiple lesions, which was a little higher than that in Japanese patients[3], perhaps because one third of Japanese patients had superficial lesions. Physicians and endoscopists should enhance their awareness of rare diseases of the esophagus, paying particular attention to early lesion, to avoid missed diagnosis and misdiagnosis.
In our study, only 55.8% of patients were clearly diagnosed by biopsy before surgery, which was similar to previous studies[3-7]. The possible reasons for PMME misdiagnosis were as follows[5,6]: (1) Limited biopsy tissue without enough immunohistochemical analysis; (2) Lacking experience in the diagnosis of PMME in clinical practice; (3) Some tumors had no pigmented surface or no melanin granules in the cytoplasm; and (4) The lesion tissue was not biopsied by endoscopy because it bled readily. An accurate diagnosis could be obtained by immunohistochemical analysis. Human melanoma black antibody 45 (HMB45), melanoma antigen protein (Melan-A), and S100 are the specific diagnostic indicators for melanoma.

Melanoma might be associated with cancer predisposition syndromes[133]. In addition, a history of melanoma approximately increase the risk of subsequent melanoma[134]. Thus, multiple imaging diagnostics were employed in PMME and other mucosal melanoma to evaluate primary tumor, metastasis, and treatment responses[127]. Ultrasonography, endoscopic ultrasound, CT, magnetic resonance imaging, and positron emission tomography (PET) contribute to the information for diagnosis and management[127]. PET/CT improves the diagnosis, staging, treatment evaluation, and surveillance of tumors. It is currently considered to be the most sensitive method for the identification of metastatic lesions of solid tumors and has a huge impact on patient management[127].

The tumor size of PMME had a wide range, and the mean value was 5.2 ± 2.7 cm, which was similar to Japanese patients[130]. Previous studies[5,135,136] considered that PMME is prone to spread longitudinally, and local recurrence is frequently found soon after surgery. Thus, PMME should be resected with adequate margins. Masses in male patients had a significantly larger tumor size than that in female patients. Men might endure symptoms longer than women before seeking medical care[137].

The overall LNM-positive rate in our study was 51.2%. Our results showed that nearly half of PMME were at early pT stage, which was different from ESCC - mainly at the advanced pT stage. There were 52.2% of Japanese patients with PMME limited to the submucosal layer[130]. No LNM was found in patients at the pT1a stage in the present study and a previous study[50]. Interestingly, the frequency of LNM increased sharply to 45.3% in our study when the primary tumor was at the pT1b stage. Dai et al [5] found that the rate of LNM was as high as 54.2% among patients with pT1 tumors. The risk of LNM increased about 2.5 times along with the deeper depth of the tumor invasion. Previous studies[5,130] also indicated that with a deeper tumor invasion, the probability of LNM was higher. PMME might metastasize through blood or lymph vessels at early stage. Extended lymph node dissection combined with radical esophagectomy should be emphasized even when the tumor is at the pT1b stage.

The median OS of patients with PMME was 11 mo and the 5-year OS was 12%, which were similar to those of the previous studies[5,7]. Japanese patients with PMME have a relatively better survival with a 5-year OS of 25.3%[130]. For the Western population with PMME, the 3-year OS was only 7.3%[131]. It seems the Western population with PMME has a worse survival rate compared with the Asian population, which might be related to elder age of the diagnosed Western patients. Furthermore, PMME patients had poorer outcomes compared with common malignancies of the esophagus (ESCC, adenocarcinoma, and small cell carcinoma)[131]. It is necessary to employ a multidisciplinary team to improve treatments and outcomes for patients with PMME[5].

Multivariate analysis showed that pT (depth of tumor invasion) is an independent prognostic factor for both OS and DFS in patients with PMME. Patients at pT1 had better OS, which was also found in previous studies focused on the Chinese[5] and Japanese[130] populations. As mentioned previously, LNM was extremely rare for the tumor at pT1a, and it increased rapidly for tumors at pT1b or the advanced pT stage.

LNM was also an independent prognostic factor for OS. Previous studies on Chinese[5,7,50] and Japanese[130] patients also suggested that LNM was strongly associated with a poor prognosis. However, no influence of LNM on prognosis was found in the Western population[131]. Furthermore, Dai et al [5] showed that ≥ 12 lymph nodes dissected was an independent factor for OS and DFS. A thorough lymph node dissection should be emphasized in the surgical treatment of PMME.

Patients at an advanced pTNM stage, including II-IV, had a significantly worse OS and DFS compared with patients at pTNM I. Similar results were also found in previous studies[4,5]. Our results and others[4,5] suggested that TNM stage of PMME according to the AJCC classification for esophageal cancer might discriminate the prognosis of patients with PMME. Although the TNM stage in accordance with the mucosal melanoma classification could also separate the survival curves, the difference was not statistically significant[6]. Further study is needed to confirm the standard staging system of PMME[6].

Until now, treatment consensus on PMME had not been established because of its low prevalence. Surgery is still the primary option for resectable tumors. The median OS for patients who received immunotherapy besides surgery and chemoradiotherapy tended to be longer than patients who received surgery plus chemoradiotherapy or patients who only received surgery. However, there was no apparent difference in DFS between patients who received adjuvant therapy in addition to surgery and those who only received surgery. A comparison of the prognosis between surgery and adjuvant therapy was not conducted because there were only four patients successfully followed who only received adjuvant treatments. Many studies tried to seek optional treatments for patients with PMME. Dai et al[5] indicated that adjuvant therapy could improve both DFS and OS of patients with PMME. Wang et al[4] also suggested that postoperative chemotherapy could improve DFS. Additionally, PD-1 inhibitors might be a viable option for patients with PMME because the tumor has a dramatically high metastatic potential.
response rate to PD-1 checkpoint inhibitor monotherapy[4]. Systemic treatment of PMME, including surgery, chemoradiotherapy, and immunotherapy, should be used to improve multidisciplinary treatments and outcomes for patients with PMME.

Male patients tend to have a worse prognosis compared with female patients. Previous studies indicated that male gender was an independent prognostic predictor of PMME[5,6,128]. Our results also found that male patients had a larger tumor size compared with female patients. The serum estradiol significantly decreased in both male and female patients with ESCC or precancerous lesions[138]; moreover, the expression of estrogen receptor in precursor lesions of the esophagus changed during the multistage process of esophageal carcinogenesis[139]. All those phenomena suggested that estrogen might play an important role in esophageal malignancy.

CONCLUSION

PMME is a rare esophageal malignancy with a poor prognosis. Because of the low rate of correct diagnosis before surgery, physicians and endoscopists should develop their awareness of rare diseases of the esophagus, paying particular attention to early lesions. Extended lymph node dissection combined with radical esophagectomy should be stressed because of multifocality and high frequency of LNM — even the depth of the tumor invasion is limited to within the submucosal layer. Both the LNM and pT stage are independent prognostic factors for the OS, while only pT stage was identified to be an independent prognostic factor for the DFS of patients with PMME. Adjuvant treatment, particularly immunotherapy, might be used in clinical practice to improve multidisciplinary treatments and the prognosis of patients with PMME.
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Research conclusions
Correct diagnosis of PMME before surgery is low. Both LNM and pT stage are the independent prognosis factors for OS, but only pT stage was identified to be an independent indicator for DFS of patients with PMME.

Research perspectives
Physicians and endoscopists should develop their awareness of rare diseases of the esophagus, paying particular attention to early lesions. Extended lymph node dissection combined with a radical esophagectomy should be stressed because of multifocality and a high frequency of LNM. Adjuvant treatment, particularly immunotherapy, might be used in clinical practice to improve multidisciplinary...
treatments and the prognosis of patients with PMME.
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