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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This study concludes the risk of bleeding in PSPH by Gastroscopy grade and S / C ratio. The content is generally agreed, but there is one contradiction. I will write it together with other matters to be added. (1) In conclusion, there is a discrepancy in the title because CT and endoscopic results are required. You should change the title. (2) In this study, the results of CT are mainly emphasized, but the CT imaging method and contrast agent usage are not clarified. The CT imaging method should be specifically stated. (3) The S / C ratio plays an important role, so I think a figure of the measurement method is necessary. (4) The Gastorography grade column in Table 3 is blank and only the P value is shown. I don't understand this.
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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

thank you for giving me an opportunity to review the article. My suggestions: 1. great deal of english polishing is needed. 2. the results are difficult to understand and clinical relevance is questionable. 3. a tabular presentation of data and its literature is needed in discussion. 4. please mention all the study limitations in separate paragraph before conclusion 4. please mention which software was used for statistics.