



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Transplantation*

Manuscript NO: 92335

Title: Impact of bisphosphonate treatment on bone mineral density after kidney transplant

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 07805720

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Assistant Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Türkiye

Author’s Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2024-01-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-23 07:37

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-26 22:54

Review time: 3 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have read the manuscript and would like to thank the authors for their work. Although there are publications in the literature on the use of bisphosphonates or new groups of drugs that increase bone density in kidney transplant patients, there is still a need for studies on the long-term effects and safety of these drugs. Therefore, although the study has limitations, it is important. Best regards

- It may be appropriate to write down the dosage of the medications. “In this study, only weekly or monthly preparations of orally administered bisphosphonates were used and no serious adverse effects were recorded.”
- Since ibandronic acid is contraindicated in hypocalcemia, have patients been checked for the presence/absence of this condition during 4 years of the treatment? (Even calcium and vitamin D are given to patients)
- As the authors stated in the limitations of the study, studies on the effectiveness and long-term safety of bisphosphonates should be conducted by increasing the number of patients and determining control groups and subgroups.
- Minor corrections:
 - o Line 37: BMD improved significantly in those who received bisphosphonates...
 - o Line 39: follow-up
 - o Line 48: Keywords
 - o Line 59: end-stage
 - o Line 83, 120, 165: instead of “per os”, you may use “orally”
 - o Line 111:



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

instead of “bone mineral density” use the abb “BMD” o Line 112:T score (in the whole text) o Line 167: ...ibandronic acid... o Line 216: instead of “on the whole”, I suggest using “overall” • Here are current publications for discussion: o Dabbaghmanesh, A., Bakhshayeshkaram, M., Roshanzamir, S., Naseri, A., Dabbaghmanesh, M. M., Heydari, S. T., Talehzadeh, P., Dabbaghmanesh, M. H., & Jahromi, S. E. (2023). The effect of zoledronic acid on hip geometry in renal transplant recipients: a double-blind placebo-controlled randomized study. *BMC nephrology*, 24(1), 331. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-023-03376-y> o Leng, Y., Yu, X., Yang, Y., & Xia, Y. (2023). Efficacy and safety of medications for osteoporosis in kidney transplant recipients or patients with chronic kidney disease: A meta-analysis. *Journal of investigative medicine : the official publication of the American Federation for Clinical Research*, 71(7), 760-772. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10815589231184215> o Uçar, Z. A., Sinangil, A., Koç, Y., Barlas, I. S., Ecder, S. T., & Akin, E. B. (2022). The Effect of Alendronate on Bone Mineral Disorder in Renal Transplant Patients. *Transplantation proceedings*, 54(3), 658-662. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2022.01.016> • For discussion: “The effect of denosumab was greater compared with that of bisphosphonate treatment” o McKee, H., Ioannidis, G., Lau, A., Treleaven, D., Gangji, A., Ribic, C., Wong-Pack, M., Papaioannou, A., & Adachi, J. D. (2020). Comparison of the clinical effectiveness and safety between the use of denosumab vs bisphosphonates in renal transplant patients. *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA*, 31(5), 973-980. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-019-05267-1>



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Transplantation*

Manuscript NO: 92335

Title: Impact of bisphosphonate treatment on bone mineral density after kidney transplant

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 02726701

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Chile

Author’s Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2024-01-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-23 23:39

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-27 16:25

Review time: 3 Days and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments on Impact of bisphosphonate treatment on bone mineral density after kidney transplant The manuscript is well organized and written. I have no comments about its contents. Nevertheless, I think it lacks some approach to the source problem it is addressing: The pathophysiology of post kidney transplantation osteopenia/osteoporosis has a well-known metabolic bone disease in the patient before the transplantation that obeys to several factors, mainly low levels of 1,25(OH)₂-Vit D₃, high PTH and FGF-23, calcium/phosphate levels, chronic inflammation and concomitant therapies intended to ameliorate those abnormalities. Georgopoulou et al. described that bone mineral density (BMD) increased in concomitance of biphosphonate use and this surrogate marker of bone fractures could be desirable for transplantation teams. I agree, but, please, calculate and comment how many patients have to be treated to reduce clinical fractures rates. In reference 10 (JASN. 1998 Apr; (4):677-83) it is described that 10-26% of kidney transplant recipients develop a bone fracture, figure that seems exaggerated in comparison with less than 3% from another cite (Transplantation . 2016 Jan;100(1):167-75. doi: 10.1097/TP.0000000000000808). Tables, graphs and abstract



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

sections are ok. Please, edit reference section in AMA format.