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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
The reviewer believes that this manuscript was analyzed at a previous moment. At this time it is being resubmitted. Disclosure of previous data (74663 - Cerebrotendinous Xanthomatosis with ataxia) 1) The title should mention the article type. E.g. “A Case”, “Case Report” 2) There are some misspellings throughout the manuscript. E.g. “CTX diagnosed at 33 years. the patient” 3) What were the diagnoses done before the CTX with ataxia? Why does the patient only with 33-years-old receive such a diagnosis? 4) It is advised to describe the patient’s family history. 5) The authors should provide a table with the studies already reported in the literature about the “c.255 + 1G > T mutation”
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first of all, it is a good study, but the following points have to be taken into account:
- language is a problem and the manuscript needs to be edited by an English native speaker.
- Figures are not well presented, and need to be more clarified.
- Discussion seems to repeat the literature, particularly the first two paragraphs.
- Conclusion needs to be rewritten in a more concise way.
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