World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2024 July 21; 30(27): 3264-3360





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

JG

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Contents

Weekly Volume 30 Number 27 July 21, 2024

EDITORIAL

3264 Effects of excess high-normal alanine aminotransferase levels in relation to new-onset metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease: Clinical implications

McGinty G, Przemioslo R

- 3268 What aspects do we overlook in the rehabilitation of patients with inflammatory bowel disease? Ata BN, Eyigor S
- 3273 Novel insights into autophagy in gastrointestinal pathologies, mechanisms in metabolic dysfunctionassociated fatty liver disease and acute liver failure

Velikova T, Gulinac M

3278 Gastric cystica profunda: Another indication for minimally invasive endoscopic resection techniques? Bedi HK, Motomura D, Shahidi N

3284 Pro and anti-inflammatory diets as strong epigenetic factors in inflammatory bowel disease Rostami A, White K, Rostami K

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

3290 Targeted metabolomics study of fatty-acid metabolism in lean metabolic-associated fatty liver disease patients

Sun PQ, Dong WM, Yuan YF, Cao Q, Chen XY, Guo LL, Jiang YY

Clinical Trials Study

3304 Vonoprazan-amoxicillin dual therapy for Helicobacter pylori eradication in Chinese population: A prospective, multicenter, randomized, two-stage study

Huang XP, Liu YJ, Lin SW, Shao YF, Qiu F, Qiu QW, Xu ZK, Chen JX, Chen LH, Lin ZQ, Dai WH, Zhang MQ, Jiang Q, Xiao ZQ, Cheng XX, Zhang XF, You WB, Chen W, Li LQ, Lin WX, Wang YF, Lai FJ, Chen LQ, Huang ZH, Zheng WQ, Wei JQ, Lin ZH

Observational Study

3314 Nomogram based on liver stiffness and spleen area with ultrasound for posthepatectomy liver failure: A multicenter study

Cheng GW, Fang Y, Xue LY, Zhang Y, Xie XY, Qiao XH, Li XQ, Guo J, Ding H

Randomized Controlled Trial

3326 Endoscopic polidocanol foam sclerobanding for the treatment of grade II-III internal hemorrhoids: A prospective, multi-center, randomized study

Qu CY, Zhang FY, Wang W, Gao FY, Lin WL, Zhang H, Chen GY, Zhang Y, Li MM, Li ZH, Cai MH, Xu LM, Shen F



Contents

Weekly Volume 30 Number 27 July 21, 2024

Basic Study

3336 Distinct gut microbiomes in Thai patients with colorectal polyps

> Intarajak T, Udomchaiprasertkul W, Khoiri AN, Sutheeworapong S, Kusonmano K, Kittichotirat W, Thammarongtham C, Cheevadhanarak S

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

3356 More on the interplay between gut microbiota, autophagy, and inflammatory bowel disease is needed Subramanian A, Jahabardeen A, Thamaraikani T, Vellapandian C



Contents

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Weekly Volume 30 Number 27 July 21, 2024

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Shivananda Nayak, MSc, PhD, FAGE, FACB, NRCC-CC, FISBT, FABM, PGDCHC, DSc, Full Professor, Professor, Department of Preclinical Sciences, Faculty of Medical Sciences, The University of The West Indies, Mount Hope (0000), Trinidad and Tobago. shiv25@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE), MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2024 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2023 journal impact factor (JIF) for WJG as 4.3; Quartile: Q1. The WJG's CiteScore for 2023 is 7.8.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Xiao-Mei Zheng, Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Cover Editor: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	
World Journal of Gastroenterology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 1, 1995	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Weekly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Andrzej S Tarnawski	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EXECUTIVE ASSOCIATE EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	POLICY OF CO-AUTHORS
Xian-Jun Yu (Pancreatic Oncology), Jian-Gao Fan (Chronic Liver Disease), Hou- Bao Liu	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/310
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
July 21, 2024	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com
PUBLISHING PARTNER	PUBLISHING PARTNER'S OFFICIAL WEBSITE
Shanghai Pancreatic Cancer Institute and Pancreatic Cancer Institute, Fudan	https://www.shca.org.cn
Biliary Tract Disease Institute, Fudan University	nups.//www.zs-nospital.sn.cn
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA	

E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJG

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2024 July 21; 30(27): 3278-3283

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i27.3278

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

EDITORIAL

Gastric cystica profunda: Another indication for minimally invasive endoscopic resection techniques?

Harjot K Bedi, Douglas Motomura, Neal Shahidi

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's classification Scientific Quality: Grade C Novelty: Grade B Creativity or Innovation: Grade B Scientific Significance: Grade B

P-Reviewer: Ji Y

Received: March 29, 2024 Revised: June 7, 2024 Accepted: June 24, 2024 Published online: July 21, 2024 Processing time: 103 Days and 13.4 Hours



Harjot K Bedi, Douglas Motomura, Neal Shahidi, Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver V6Z 2K5, BC, Canada

Corresponding author: Neal Shahidi, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, 770-1190 Hornby Street, Vancouver V6Z 2K5, BC, Canada. nealshahidi@gmail.com

Abstract

Gastric cancer presents a significant global health burden, as it is the fifth most common malignancy and fourth leading cause of cancer mortality worldwide. Variations in incidence rates across regions underscores the multifactorial etiology of this disease. The overall 5-year survival rate remains low despite advances in its diagnosis and treatment. Although surgical gastrectomy was previously standard-of-care, endoscopic resection techniques, including endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) have emerged as effective alternatives for early lesions. Compared to surgical resection, endoscopic resection techniques have comparable 5-year survival rates, reduced treatment-related adverse events, shorter hospital stays and lower costs. ESD also enables en bloc resection, thus affording organ-sparing curative endoscopic resection for early cancers. In this editorial, we comment on the recent publication by Geng et al regarding gastric cystica profunda (GCP). GCP is a rare gastric pseudotumour with the potential for malignant progression. GCP presents a diagnostic challenge due to its nonspecific clinical manifestations and varied endoscopic appearance. There are several gaps in the literature regarding the diagnosis and management of GCP which warrants further research to standardize patient management. Advances in endoscopic resection techniques offer promising avenues for GCP and early gastric cancers.

Key Words: Early gastric cancer; Endoscopy; Endoscopic submucosal dissection; Gastric cystica profunda

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

Core Tip: Gastric cystica profunda is a rare pseudotumour with risk of progression to gastric cancer. In addition to endoscopic visual assessment, endoscopic ultrasound and computed tomography of the abdomen should be used to investigate the depth and lymph node invasion depending on the lesion morphology. Endoscopic resection, specifically endoscopic submucosal dissection, can be an effective management strategy.

Citation: Bedi HK, Motomura D, Shahidi N. Gastric cystica profunda: Another indication for minimally invasive endoscopic resection techniques? World J Gastroenterol 2024; 30(27): 3278-3283 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v30/i27/3278.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v30.i27.3278

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer represents a significant global health challenge, ranking as the fifth most common malignancy and the fourth leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide in 2020[1,2]. The International Agency for Research on Cancer projected a rise in the annual burden of gastric cancer to 1.8 million new cases and approximately 1.3 million deaths by 2040 globally^[3]. There exists considerable global variation in gastric cancer incidence, with the highest rates observed in Asia and Eastern Europe, and a predilection for men over women. Sung et al[1] suggested a 40-fold difference in gastric cancer incidence between Eastern Asia (e.g. Japan and Mongolia, which have the highest incidence) and North America and North Europe (which have the lowest incidence). This variability is likely influenced by multiple factors, including environmental, genetic, dietary, and infectious elements, such as variations in Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) prevalence and virulence^[1].

The overall 5-year survival rate for gastric cancer patients globally stands at approximately 20%-30%[4]. However, notably higher survival rates, such as 67% in Japan and 69% in Korea, have been observed, largely attributed to robust screening programs facilitating detection of early gastric cancer (EGC) and their treatment [5,6]. Gastric cancers can be categorized based on their topography, with the majority occurring in the distal stomach (non-cardia), often associated with H. pylori, while those located in the proximal stomach (cardia) tend to correlate with increased alcohol consumption, smoking, and obesity[7-9].

The Japanese Society for Gastroenterological Endoscopy defines EGC as cancer that does not invade gastric layers deeper than the submucosa, regardless of lymph node involvement[10]. Histopathological exam is the gold standard for diagnosis of early EGC[11]. However, with improved endoscopic technologies, including white light endoscopy, magnifying endoscopy with narrow band imaging, and chromoendoscopy, the detection, optical analysis, and diagnosis of EGCs has improved[12]. Computed tomography (CT) or endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) are used for staging and determination of presence of lymph nodes. Gastrectomy with lymph node dissection was the standard of treatment for EGC with a 5-year overall survival rate of > 97%[13]. However, endoscopic resection techniques, including endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) and endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD), have emerged as accepted standards, particularly for patients without lymph node involvement or those meeting absolute indications per Japanese guidelines [13,14].

ENDOSCOPIC RESECTION TECHNIQUES

EMR, pioneered in Japan in the 1990s, initially targeted early gastric lesions detected through screening efforts and community awareness, proving effective as an *en bloc* resection technique for lesions under 15 mm[15]. However, larger lesions necessitated piecemeal resection, hindering margin evaluation. Thus, ESD was developed in the early 2000s and enabled *en bloc* resection of larger lesions (\geq 20 mm) by using an electrosurgical knife facilitating dissection in the submucosal plane^[15]. A retrospective cohort study comparing ESD and gastrectomy for EGC or severely dysplastic lesions found that gastrectomy was associated with significantly longer operative time (265 minutes vs 89.6 minutes, P < vs0.001) and length of hospital stay (9.9 days vs 3.0 days, P < 0.001)[16]. There was no difference in mortality, but gastrectomy was also associated with higher overall complication rate[16]. Jeon et al[17] compared ESD with surgery for EGC demonstrated similar 5-year overall survival rates (96.5% vs 99.1%, P = 0.125), and disease-specific survival rates (100% vs 99.1%). However, the disease-free survival rate (90.3% vs 98.0%, P = 0.002), and recurrence-free survival rates (95.1% vs 98.0%, P < 0.001) were lower in ESD compared to surgery[17]. A retrospective analysis comparing EMR vs gastrectomy for intramucosal gastric cancer found no difference in the risk of death (HR 1.30, 95% CI: 0.87-2.23) or recurrence (HR 1.18, 95% CI: 0.22-6.35)[18]. EMR was associated with shorter length of hospital stay (median 8 days vs 15 days, P < 0.001), and cost of care (\$2049 vs \$4042, P < 0.001), compared to surgery [18]. However, EMR was associated with a significantly higher risk of metachronous gastric cancers (HR 6.72, 95% CI: 2.00-22.58) which were also treated with EMR without any effect on overall survival [18]. Two additional retrospective studies comparing endoscopic resection vs surgery for patients with EGC found comparable 5-year overall survival [14,19]. There were higher rates of metachronous gastric cancers in patients treated with endoscopic resection which were successfully treated with endoscopic resection [14,18,19]. In summary, endoscopic resection techniques offer safe, effective, and minimally invasive alternatives to surgical resection, with comparable 5-year survival rates, lower adverse event rates, shorter hospital stays, and reduced costs[13,14,20].



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Endoscopic resection techniques, including ESD and EMR, have different strengths in management of EGCs. In a metaanalysis comparing ESD and EMR for EGC, ESD was superior to EMR for "en bloc" and histological complete resection (OR = 9.69, P < 0.001 and OR = 5.66, P < 0.001, respectively)[21]. ESD is also associated with lower recurrence rates (OR = 9.69, P < 0.001[21]). However, ESD is also associated with higher complications, including higher perforation rates (OR = 0.09, P < 0.001) and a longer operating time (mean difference = 1.73, P = 0.005) with no difference in adverse events related to bleeding[21]. Additionally, a Japanese multicenter prospective cohort study compared 5-year overall survival in patients with EGC which were categorized by tumour differentiation, tumour staging, size, and ulceration and received endoscopic resection, with ESD in 99.6% and EMR in the remaining patients^[22]. They reported a 5-year overall survival rates of 89% (95% CI: 88.3%-89.6%) in patients undergoing endoscopic resection which was similar to those who underwent surgical resection for EGC. Additionally, there was no difference in hazard ratio between the various characterizations of EGC, affirming the efficacy of these techniques^[22]. A prospective study evaluated ESD of gastrointestinal lesions in 10 centers from the United States of America and Canada and found high proportions of en bloc, R0 and curative resections in 91.5%, 84.2%, and 78.3%, respectively [23]. These proportions are similar to proportions identified in a meta-analysis and systematic review, of 95%, 89%, and 82% for en bloc, R0 and curative resections, respectively, in Eastern studies^[24]. Daoud et al^[24] did report lower proportions of all resection markers in studies from Western countries. However, all included studies pre-dated the prospective study by Draganov et al[23], likely representing an improvement in ESD techniques after its adoption in Western countries. In a recent article, Kim[25] proposed that quality indicators, such as en bloc, complete, and curative resection rates of > 95%, > 90% and > 80%, respectively, should be implemented by all endoscopists for ESD for EGC to improve patient outcomes.

Recognizing the effectiveness of endoscopic resection, the Japanese Gastroenterological Endoscopy Society (JGES) established it as a safe and effective strategy, outlining absolute and expanded criteria for ESD[26]. Both the absolute and expanded indication lesions are presumed to have a < 1% risk of lymph node metastasis. This approach has been adopted by the American Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) and the European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) for managing EGC in Western populations[27,28].

GASTRIC CYSTICA PROFUNDA: WORKUP AND MANAGEMENT

In this issue, Geng *et al*[29] described their outcomes for the endoscopic management of gastric cystica profunda (GCP); which is a rare, gastric lesion initially identified by SCOTT and Payne[30]. The etiology of GCP remains unclear, with some studies suggesting associations with prior gastric insults, such as surgery or endoscopic procedures, with others finding no such correlation[31-34]. Only 12.5% of patients were noted to have a previous endoscopic or surgical treatment, suggesting a lack of association between previous gastric insults with GCP development[29]. In addition, geographic variation of GCPs is heterogenous worldwide, with most reports of GCP diagnosis and management from China[29,31,35], and only a few reports from Japan[36,37], Turkey[34], Pakistan[38], and United States[39,40]. Clinical presentations also vary and may include epigastric abdominal pain, belching, regurgitation, gastrointestinal bleeding, weight loss, or anorexia, though many patients remain asymptomatic and are diagnosed incidentally during endoscopy; such as in 63.5% of patients in Geng *et al*[29] study. They performed a single-center retrospective review of 104 patients with GCP who underwent endoscopic resection[29]. Endoscopically, GCP manifests as a tumour-like gastric lesion or polyp, characterized histologically by cystic dilations of the gastric glands and hyperplasia of connective tissue extending into the submucosa of the stomach[41]. Geng *et al*[29] demonstrated that 99% of GCP exhibited intraluminal growth and 74% had regular morphology. Most studies report GCP presenting as submucosal lesions, minicking gastrointestinal stromal tumour[42], with fewer reports of GCP presenting with gastrointestinal bleeding[43] or malignancy[44].

Diagnosing GCP can be challenging, as biopsies obtained during endoscopy generally capture only the superficial mucosa. EUS may aid in identifying GCPs and determining their depth of invasion, with multiple anechoic cysts in the submucosa being a consistent EUS finding[39]. Although EUS is reliable for investigation of depth of most small and nonulcerated gastric lesions, it has been shown to have poor accuracy determining the depth of gastric lesions greater than 20mm, those with ulceration, and/or non-flat morphology, which usually make up the expanded criteria outlined by JGES[45,46]. CT of the abdomen can assist in identifying associated lymph nodes and metastasis[47]. Despite the availability of various diagnostic techniques, there are no clear guidelines on the approach to diagnosing GCP. It is, therefore, reasonable that in a patient where GCP is suspected, EUS should be performed, for histopathology and depth of invasion evaluation. Moreover, CT should be performed, specifically in the presence of high-risk lesions, such as those with ulceration, irregular morphology, diameter > 20 mm, or IIc morphology. Although the role of optical assessment has not been established for GCP, Geng *et al*[29] found that irregular and ulcerated lesions in the cardia, IIa + IIc morphology were shown to be significantly associated with GCP with EGC compared to those without gastric cancer. Multidisciplinary team discussion, ideally at centers with expertise in minimally invasive endoscopic resection techniques and involving endoscopists, surgeons, radiologists, and pathologists, is recommended to optimize patient management.

Despite their benign nature, GCPs harbour malignant potential. Geng *et al*[29] reported a 59.6% association between GCP and EGC, consistent with 66.67% reported in a previous study[48]. Consequently, resection is warranted, with endoscopic resection preferred over surgical gastrectomy, particularly for benign lesions lacking deeper invasion. While no trials have compared various endoscopic resection techniques specifically for GCPs, ESD likely supersedes EMR due to its high rate of *en bloc* and histologically complete resection, especially given the risk of malignant potential[14,20]. Jiang *et al*[49] compared curative resection rates, rates of local recurrence, metachronous gastric cancers, and mortality in patients who underwent gastric ESD for GCP compared to gastric ESD for other indications and did not find any difference in their retrospective review. Almost 77% of patients in the aforementioned study underwent ESD. They

Zaishidena® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

reported en bloc and complete resection in 91.3% of cases. Five cases had recurrence, although the initial resection modality was not specified. We suggest ESD for resection of GCP, especially larger lesions with irregular morphology or lateral spreading, as ESD facilitates more thorough post-resection assessment of surrounding and deeper layers to ensure complete resection, making it preferable despite its longer procedural time and higher risk of perforation compared to EMR. ESD can also enable resections of gastric lesions in patients who are comorbid or too frail for surgery, therefore, expanding its role in management of GCP and EGC[50].

CONCLUSION

A dearth of literature exists regarding the diagnosis and management of GCPs, likely attributed to their low incidence, with the majority of reported cases and series originating from the Eastern regions. This discrepancy could reflect underdiagnosis in Western populations rather than geographic prevalence variations. Consequently, additional studies elucidating endoscopic appearances and features on EUS or CT would enhance diagnostic accuracy. ESD should be the management of choice for EGCs given their superiority in en bloc, complete and curative resection over EMR, and equivalent success in both Eastern and Western settings. Moreover, centralizing endoscopic GCP management in expert centers could optimize patient outcomes. Integrating GCP management into the endoscopic resection criteria for gastric lesions outlined by ASGE, ESGE, and JGES would promote standardized management protocols.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Bedi HK designed and drafted the article; Motomura D and Shahidi N performed critical revision of the article for important intellectual content; Shahidi N approved the final article.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country of origin: Canada

ORCID number: Harjot K Bedi 0000-0002-1328-9152; Neal Shahidi 0000-0002-4536-0515.

S-Editor: Qu XL L-Editor: A P-Editor: Zheng XM

REFERENCES

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of 1 Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2021; 71: 209-249 [PMID: 33538338 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21660]
- Iwu CD, Iwu-jaja CJ. Gastric Cancer Epidemiology: Current Trend and Future Direction. Hygiene 2023; 3: 256-268 [DOI: 2 10.3390/hygiene3030019]
- World Health Organization. The current and future incidence and mortality of gastric cancer in 185 countries, 2020-40: a population-based 3 modelling study. Available from: https://www.iarc.who.int/news-events/the-current-and-future-incidence-and-mortality-of-gastric-cancer-in-185-countries-2020-40-a-population-based-modelling-study
- Shin HP, Park SB, Seo HR, Jeon JW. Endoscopic resection of early gastric cancer. J Exerc Rehabil 2023; 19: 252-257 [PMID: 37928828 DOI: 4 10.12965/jer.2346480.240]
- Kim GH, Liang PS, Bang SJ, Hwang JH. Screening and surveillance for gastric cancer in the United States: Is it needed? Gastrointest Endosc 5 2016; 84: 18-28 [PMID: 26940296 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2016.02.028]
- Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin 2014; 64: 9-29 [PMID: 24399786 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21208] 6
- Arnold M, Ferlay J, van Berge Henegouwen MI, Soerjomataram I. Global burden of oesophageal and gastric cancer by histology and subsite 7 in 2018. Gut 2020; 69: 1564-1571 [PMID: 32606208 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321600]
- Mukaisho K, Nakayama T, Hagiwara T, Hattori T, Sugihara H. Two distinct etiologies of gastric cardia adenocarcinoma: interactions among 8 pH, Helicobacter pylori, and bile acids. Front Microbiol 2015; 6: 412 [PMID: 26029176 DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00412]
- 9 Plummer M, de Martel C, Vignat J, Ferlay J, Bray F, Franceschi S. Global burden of cancers attributable to infections in 2012: a synthetic analysis. Lancet Glob Health 2016; 4: e609-e616 [PMID: 27470177 DOI: 10.1016/S2214-109X(16)30143-7]
- 10 Chiarello MM, Fico V, Pepe G, Tropeano G, Adams NJ, Altieri G, Brisinda G. Early gastric cancer: A challenge in Western countries. World J Gastroenterol 2022; 28: 693-703 [PMID: 35317273 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i7.693]
- 11 Tsurudome I, Miyahara R, Funasaka K, Furukawa K, Matsushita M, Yamamura T, Ishikawa T, Ohno E, Nakamura M, Kawashima H,



Watanabe O, Nakaguro M, Satou A, Hirooka Y, Goto H. In vivo histological diagnosis for gastric cancer using endocytoscopy. World J Gastroenterol 2017; 23: 6894-6901 [PMID: 29085232 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i37.6894]

- 12 Fujiyoshi MRA, Inoue H, Fujiyoshi Y, Nishikawa Y, Toshimori A, Shimamura Y, Tanabe M, Ikeda H, Onimaru M. Endoscopic Classifications of Early Gastric Cancer: A Literature Review. Cancers (Basel) 2021; 14 [PMID: 35008263 DOI: 10.3390/cancers14010100]
- Pyo JH, Lee H, Min BH, Lee JH, Choi MG, Lee JH, Sohn TS, Bae JM, Kim KM, Ahn JH, Carriere KC, Kim JJ, Kim S. Long-Term Outcome 13 of Endoscopic Resection vs. Surgery for Early Gastric Cancer: A Non-inferiority-Matched Cohort Study. Am J Gastroenterol 2016; 111: 240-249 [PMID: 26782817 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2015.427]
- Choi IJ, Lee JH, Kim YI, Kim CG, Cho SJ, Lee JY, Ryu KW, Nam BH, Kook MC, Kim YW. Long-term outcome comparison of endoscopic 14 resection and surgery in early gastric cancer meeting the absolute indication for endoscopic resection. Gastrointest Endosc 2015; 81: 333-41.e1 [PMID: 25281498 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2014.07.047]
- Bourke MJ, Neuhaus H, Bergman JJ. Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection: Indications and Application in Western Endoscopy Practice. 15 Gastroenterology 2018; 154: 1887-1900.e5 [PMID: 29486200 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.01.068]
- 16 Chiu PW, Teoh AY, To KF, Wong SK, Liu SY, Lam CC, Yung MY, Chan FK, Lau JY, Ng EK. Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) compared with gastrectomy for treatment of early gastric neoplasia: a retrospective cohort study. Surg Endosc 2012; 26: 3584-3591 [PMID: 22678176 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-012-2371-8]
- Jeon HK, Kim GH, Lee BE, Park DY, Song GA, Kim DH, Jeon TY. Long-term outcome of endoscopic submucosal dissection is comparable 17 to that of surgery for early gastric cancer: a propensity-matched analysis. Gastric Cancer 2018; 21: 133-143 [PMID: 28397011 DOI: 10.1007/s10120-017-0719-4]
- 18 Choi KS, Jung HY, Choi KD, Lee GH, Song HJ, Kim DH, Lee JH, Kim MY, Kim BS, Oh ST, Yook JH, Jang SJ, Yun SC, Kim SO, Kim JH. EMR versus gastrectomy for intramucosal gastric cancer: comparison of long-term outcomes. Gastrointest Endosc 2011; 73: 942-948 [PMID: 21392757 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2010.12.032]
- Kim YI, Kim YW, Choi IJ, Kim CG, Lee JY, Cho SJ, Eom BW, Yoon HM, Ryu KW, Kook MC. Long-term survival after endoscopic 19 resection versus surgery in early gastric cancers. Endoscopy 2015; 47: 293-301 [PMID: 25625697 DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1391284]
- Hahn KY, Park CH, Lee YK, Chung H, Park JC, Shin SK, Lee YC, Kim HI, Cheong JH, Hyung WJ, Noh SH, Lee SK. Comparative study 20 between endoscopic submucosal dissection and surgery in patients with early gastric cancer. Surg Endosc 2018; 32: 73-86 [PMID: 28639042 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-017-5640-8]
- 21 Facciorusso A, Antonino M, Di Maso M, Muscatiello N. Endoscopic submucosal dissection vs endoscopic mucosal resection for early gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J Gastrointest Endosc 2014; 6: 555-563 [PMID: 25400870 DOI: 10.4253/wjge.v6.i11.555]
- Suzuki H, Ono H, Hirasawa T, Takeuchi Y, Ishido K, Hoteya S, Yano T, Tanaka S, Toya Y, Nakagawa M, Toyonaga T, Takemura K, 22 Hirasawa K, Matsuda M, Yamamoto H, Tsuji Y, Hashimoto S, Yuki M, Oyama T, Takenaka R, Yamamoto Y, Naito Y, Yamamoto K, Kobayashi N, Kawahara Y, Hirano M, Koizumi S, Hori S, Tajika M, Hikichi T, Yao K, Yokoi C, Ohnita K, Hisanaga Y, Sumiyoshi T, Kitamura S, Tanaka H, Shimoda R, Shimazu T, Takizawa K, Tanabe S, Kondo H, Iishi H, Ninomiya M, Oda I; J-WEB/EGC group. Long-term Survival After Endoscopic Resection For Gastric Cancer: Real-world Evidence From a Multicenter Prospective Cohort. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2023; 21: 307-318.e2 [PMID: 35948182 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2022.07.029]
- Draganov PV, Aihara H, Karasik MS, Ngamruengphong S, Aadam AA, Othman MO, Sharma N, Grimm IS, Rostom A, Elmunzer BJ, Jawaid 23 SA, Westerveld D, Perbtani YB, Hoffman BJ, Schlachterman A, Siegel A, Coman RM, Wang AY, Yang D. Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection in North America: A Large Prospective Multicenter Study. Gastroenterology 2021; 160: 2317-2327.e2 [PMID: 33610532 DOI: 10.1053/i.gastro.2021.02.036
- 24 Daoud DC, Suter N, Durand M, Bouin M, Faulques B, von Renteln D. Comparing outcomes for endoscopic submucosal dissection between Eastern and Western countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol 2018; 24: 2518-2536 [PMID: 29930473 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v24.i23.2518]
- Kim GH. Endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer: It is time to consider the quality of its outcomes. World J Gastroenterol 25 2023; 29: 5800-5803 [PMID: 38074917 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v29.i43.5800]
- Ono H, Yao K, Fujishiro M, Oda I, Uedo N, Nimura S, Yahagi N, Iishi H, Oka M, Ajioka Y, Fujimoto K. Guidelines for endoscopic 26 submucosal dissection and endoscopic mucosal resection for early gastric cancer (second edition). Dig Endosc 2021; 33: 4-20 [PMID: 33107115 DOI: 10.1111/den.13883]
- 27 Al-Haddad MA, Elhanafi SE, Forbes N, Thosani NC, Draganov PV, Othman MO, Ceppa EP, Kaul V, Feely MM, Sahin I, Ruan Y, Sadeghirad B, Morgan RL, Buxbaum JL, Calderwood AH, Chalhoub JM, Coelho-Prabhu N, Desai M, Fujii-Lau LL, Kohli DR, Kwon RS, Machicado JD, Marya NB, Pawa S, Ruan W, Sheth SG, Storm AC, Thiruvengadam NR, Qumseya BJ; (ASGE Standards of Practice Committee Chair). American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy guideline on endoscopic submucosal dissection for the management of early esophageal and gastric cancers: methodology and review of evidence. Gastrointest Endosc 2023; 98: 285-305.e38 [PMID: 37498265 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2023.03.030]
- Pimentel-Nunes P, Libânio D, Bastiaansen BAJ, Bhandari P, Bisschops R, Bourke MJ, Esposito G, Lemmers A, Maselli R, Messmann H, 28 Pech O, Pioche M, Vieth M, Weusten BLAM, van Hooft JE, Deprez PH, Dinis-Ribeiro M. Endoscopic submucosal dissection for superficial gastrointestinal lesions: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline - Update 2022. Endoscopy 2022; 54: 591-622 [PMID: 35523224 DOI: 10.1055/a-1811-7025]
- Geng ZH, Zhu Y, Fu PY, Qu YF, Chen WF, Yang X, Zhou PH, Li QL. Endoscopic features and treatments of gastric cystica profunda. World 29 J Gastroenterol 2024; 30: 673-684 [PMID: 38515955 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i7.673]
- Scott HW Jr, Payne TP. Diffuse congenital cystic hyperplasia of stomach clinically simulating carcinoma; report of a case. Bull Johns Hopkins 30 Hosp 1947; 81: 448-455 [PMID: 18897522]
- Yu XF, Guo LW, Chen ST, Teng LS. Gastritis cystica profunda in a previously unoperated stomach: a case report. World J Gastroenterol 31 2015; 21: 3759-3762 [PMID: 25834348 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i12.3759]
- 32 Li C, Song S, Wu G, Zhang Z. Gastritis cystica profunda: clinical and pathologic study of seven cases and review of literature. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2021; 14: 261-266 [PMID: 33564359]
- 33 Béchade D, Desramé J, Algayres JP. Gastritis cystica profunda in a patient with no history of gastric surgery. Endoscopy 2007; 39 Suppl 1: E80-E81 [PMID: 17440878 DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-945070]
- Dikicier E, Altintoprak F. Multiple Gastritis Cystica Profunda in a Patient without Gastric Surgery History. Arch Iran Med 2018; 21: 608-610 34 [PMID: 30634860]
- 35 Lin SH, Liu W, Yan XL. Gastritis cystica profunda. J Gastrointest Surg 2024; 28: 592-593 [PMID: 38583915 DOI:



10.1016/j.gassur.2024.01.024]

- 36 Eriksson Y, Nakamoto M, Orita H, Miyahira T, Aoyama H, Hokama A. Early Gastric Cancer Concurrent with Gastritis Cystica Profunda Resembling Advanced Cancer. Chonnam Med J 2024; 60: 87-88 [PMID: 38304123 DOI: 10.4068/cmj.2024.60.1.87]
- Ogasawara N, Noda H, Kondo Y, Yoshimine T, Sugiyama T, Kimura M, Inoue S, Takahashi E, Sasaki M, Kasugai K. A case of early gastric 37 cancer arising from gastritis cystica profunda treated by endoscopic submucosal dissection. Case Rep Gastroenterol 2014; 8: 270-275 [PMID: 25408629 DOI: 10.1159/000368076]
- Butt MO, Luck NH, Hassan SM, Abbas Z, Mubarak M. Gastritis profunda cystica presenting as gastric outlet obstruction and mimicking 38 cancer: A case report. J Transl Int Med 2015; 3: 35-37 [PMID: 27847884 DOI: 10.4103/2224-4018.154296]
- Machicado J, Shroff J, Quesada A, Jelinek K, Spinn MP, Scott LD, Thosani N. Gastritis cystica profunda: Endoscopic ultrasound findings and 39 review of the literature. Endosc Ultrasound 2014; 3: 131-134 [PMID: 24955343 DOI: 10.4103/2303-9027.131041]
- 40 Deery S, Yates R, Hata J, Shi C, Parikh AA. Gastric adenocarcinoma associated with gastritis cystica profunda in an unoperated stomach. Am Surg 2012; 78: E379-E380 [PMID: 22856484 DOI: 10.1177/000313481207800812]
- 41 De Stefano F, Graziano GMP, Viganò J, Mauro A, Peloso A, Peverada J, Fellegara R, Vanoli A, Faillace GG, Ansaloni L. Gastritis Cystica Profunda: A Rare Disease, a Challenging Diagnosis, and an Uncertain Malignant Potential: A Case Report and Review of the Literature. Medicina (Kaunas) 2023; 59 [PMID: 37893488 DOI: 10.3390/medicina59101770]
- Carvalho JR, Quadros AC, Meireles L, Alves I, Moura Dos Santos P, Serejo F, Ferreira C, Freire JP, Velosa J. Gastritis cystica profunda 42 mimicking a GIST - A diagnostic challenge. Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 41: 448-450 [PMID: 28935123 DOI: 10.1016/j.gastrohep.2017.07.009]
- Jia R, Zhang Z. Gastric cystica profunda with upper GI bleeding. Asian J Surg 2023; 46: 3791-3792 [PMID: 37045631 DOI: 43 10.1016/j.asjsur.2023.03.112]
- Wahi JE, Pagacz M, Ben-David K. Gastric Adenocarcinoma Arising in a Background of Gastritis Cystica Profunda. J Gastrointest Surg 2020; 44 24: 2387-2388 [PMID: 32253645 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-020-04585-8]
- Kim SJ, Lim CH, Lee BI. Accuracy of Endoscopic Ultrasonography for Determining the Depth of Invasion in Early Gastric Cancer. Turk J 45 Gastroenterol 2022; 33: 785-792 [PMID: 35946887 DOI: 10.5152/tjg.2022.21847]
- Zhao Y, Ren M, Jia A, Zhang J, Wang S, Zhao Q, Cai G, He S. The factors influencing the accuracy of pre-operative endoscopic 46 ultrasonography assessment in endoscopic treatments for gastrointestinal tumors. Cancer Med 2023; 12: 4321-4331 [PMID: 36177606 DOI: 10.1002/cam4.5305]
- Giandola T, Maino C, Marrapodi G, Ratti M, Ragusi M, Bigiogera V, Talei Franzesi C, Corso R, Ippolito D. Imaging in Gastric Cancer: 47 Current Practice and Future Perspectives. Diagnostics (Basel) 2023; 13 [PMID: 37046494 DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics13071276]
- Yang H, Li Z, Wei Z, Li G, Li Y, Wu S, Ji R. Coexistence of early gastric cancer and benign submucosal lesions mimic invasive cancer: a 48 retrospective multicenter experience. BMC Gastroenterol 2023; 23: 409 [PMID: 37996821 DOI: 10.1186/s12876-023-03044-3]
- 49 Jiang W, Bai L, Zhang S, Cheng R. Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) for gastritis cystica profunda (GCP) with early gastric cancer: A propensity score matching analysis. Heliyon 2024; 10: e29349 [PMID: 38601641 DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29349]
- 50 Tate DJ, Klein A, Sidhu M, Desomer L, Awadie H, Lee EYT, Mahajan H, McLeod D, Bourke MJ. Endoscopic submucosal dissection for suspected early gastric cancer: absolute versus expanded criteria in a large Western cohort (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2019; 90: 467-479.e4 [PMID: 31077699 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2019.04.242]



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

