Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for the opportunity to resubmit our revised manuscript and your constructive suggestions and comments. Our point-by-point answers to the reviewers’ comments are below, with amendments highlighted in red. We hope that the revised manuscript is now acceptable for publication. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely.

Responds to the Reviewer’s comments:

1. Specific Comments to Authors: Keywords are missing
   Response: We have added such information in the Keywords.

2. The manuscript is generally good with appropriate literature review and differential diagnosis analysis. For this purpose, I suggest to include a table describing the significative CT and MR imaging features of each lesion that you described as differential diagnosis.
   Response: We have made a table to describe the significative CT and MR imaging features of the differential diagnoses.

Responds to the Editor’s comments:

1. The title: Chordoma of Petrosal Mastoid-region : "a case report and review the literature", is suggested to change to "a case report and review of the literature".
   Response: We have changed the title to “Chordoma of Petrosal Mastoid-region : a case report and review of the literature”

2. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.
   Response: We have made a PowerPoint to provide the original figure and all graphs, arrows and text portions can be reprocessed.
   In addition, other content has been proofread and revised one by one.