Response Letter

Reviewer #1:
Specific Comments to Authors:

1. The seventh reference maybe misreferenced.

Response: The seventh reference has been corrected.

2. The reason for reduced number of included patients should be described.

Response: The reason of unwillingness of patients to be reexamined leading to reduced sample size has been included.

3. In depth analysis of this research in clinical practice was not performed.

Response: Further analysis has been done along with its implication.

Reviewer #2:
Specific Comments to Authors:

Musharaf I, Nashwan AJ propose an Editorial (Manuscript NO: 05466290) analyzing the Observational Study "Urinary metabolic profiles during Helicobacter pylori eradication in chronic gastritis" by the authors Wen-Ting An et al., published in World J Clin Cases 2024 February 16; 12(5): 951-965. DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v12.5.951. However, the publication by Wen-Ting An et al., cited in the manuscript as Reference 7, is changed to "An WT, Hao YX, Li HK, Wu XK. Optimizing Pain Management in Elderly Patients Post-Knee Surgery: A Novel Collaborative Strategy. World Journal of Clinical Cases. 2024. 12(5): p. 951-965". This citation error does not invalidate the Editorial's comments. Musharaf I and Nashwan AJ highlight the main criticism of the study, which is that only 17 patients met the clinical assessment inclusion criteria and were willing to be reexamined for H. pylori infection after treatment. On the other hand, they emphasize that urinary metabolomics analysis can be a promising test in Helicobacter pylori's pre- and post-treatment analysis.

Response: Reference number 7 has been corrected.

Reviewer #3:
Specific Comments to Authors:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the manuscript titled, Metabolic Dynamics in Chronic Gastritis: Examining Urinary Profiles Post H. Pylori Eradication. The
viewpoint of this article is objective and forward-looking. The viewpoint of the article is relatively objective and further research is needed to confirm it.
Response Letter

Reviewer #1:
Specific Comments to Authors:

The manuscript needs grammatical and style revision.

Response: The manuscript has been revised to correct grammar and restyled.

Reviewer #4:
Specific Comments to Authors:

The DOI of reference 4 maybe wrong, please correct it. The author should check all the references carefully!

Response: Reference number 4 has been corrected