



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 77276

Title: Retrorectal mucinous adenocarcinoma arising from a tailgut cyst: Case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06125275

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Algeria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-04-23 16:07

Reviewer performed review: 2022-04-23 17:27

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Can you explain the abbreviation RI of 0,55 in page 4 line 31? Just a technical point: By what way, have you extracted the specimen? By a Pfannestiel way or another mini-laparotomy. Please precised it. Have you used an endobag in the extraction? If yes, than mention it.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 77276

Title: Retrorectal mucinous adenocarcinoma arising from a tailgut cyst: Case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05910457

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MS

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-23

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-06 14:16

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-06 14:21

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Intrsesting case managed well i accept



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 77276

Title: Retrorectal mucinous adenocarcinoma arising from a tailgut cyst: Case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05194798

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-23

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-06 09:51

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-08 07:15

Review time: 1 Day and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
---------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is a case report of a patient with Retrorectal mucinous adenocarcinoma arising from a tailgut cyst which was surgically resected. This case will likely be of interest to clinicians in the field as it is a rare condition. Furthermore, a literature review should be useful for clinicians to diagnose and treat it. However, I have major and minor issues with this manuscript as described below. Major 1. The discussion section seems redundant. I recommend the authors should focus on the diagnosis and treatment of adenocarcinoma in TGCs based on the literatures. 2. Readers is likely to be interested in whether preoperative diagnosis of adenocarcinoma in TGCs is possible. Please provide detailed CT/MRI findings in each case, and discuss these findings.

Minor 1. (Core tip) Please explain MDT and TGCs. 2. Chief complaints should be more summarized. 3. Please provide findings of enhanced CT scan in the main text. 4.

The authors should describe TREATMENT section, followed by FINAL DIAGNOSIS.

5. Please provide mapping of adenocarcinoma, using the cross-section image of resected specimen. 3. The reason that the patients had received XELOX should be described in the OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP section. 4. There were some cases who was received chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy in spite of complete resection. Please explain why these additional treatments were required.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 77276

Title: Retrorectal mucinous adenocarcinoma arising from a tailgut cyst: Case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05755618

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACP, MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-23

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-06 07:17

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-09 06:54

Review time: 2 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case report described a rare case of the tailgut cyst accompanying retrorectal mucinous adenocarcinoma. The content of the article is exciting and contributes to accumulating another case. However, there are some concerns about this article. 1. In Abstract, "Many doctors ~ " is not scientific expression, so that they could say just rare case enough. 2. The authors state that the patient was not appropriately treated due to the COVID-19 epidemic. Did she infect SARS-Cov2? 3. In imaging examination, did they perform the enhanced MRI? Did they plan a PET examination to explore further metastasis? 4. XEROX is a brand name. Please use proper expression though out the article. 5. In Both discussion and conclusion, the authors denied a preoperative biopsy. However, in a cerein situation, it may be useful to diagnose for neoadjuvant treatment. These expressions are not suitable for this case report. The surgical approach mainly depends on the location of the tumor.6. The case presentation is too concise. 7. The number of refences are small and not-up dated.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 77276

Title: Retrorectal mucinous adenocarcinoma arising from a tailgut cyst: Case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05388269

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, RN

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Attending Doctor, Doctor, Nurse, Research Assistant, Staff Physician, Statistician, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Philippines

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-23

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-06-07 11:43

Reviewer performed review: 2022-06-13 08:33

Review time: 5 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection



Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

TO authors: 1. No reference(s) for the whole INTRODUCTION section? 2. (Some patients have complications such as lower abdominal pain and perianal lesions, and many patients have no clinical symptoms. Due to the risk of complications, such as recurrent perianal suppuration). – Suggest to rephrase, “Patients may present with lower abdominal pain and...” (since it pertains to signs and symptoms; the complications follow after that) 3. Under Imaging examinations, what is RI (Spell out on first occurrence) 4. Choose which one format to use: 10*9 or 10x9 cm, and whether to use cm or mm; and use the same format all throughout the manuscript 5. Under treatment, please confirm “laparoscopic laparotomy”; conflicting terms 6. Who performed the procedure? Specialty, experience 7. Include in discussion, application of minimally invasive surgery as an approach to treat TGCs. 8. Discuss previous literature about outcomes following XELOX therapy, recurrence rate/ relapse, OS; Discuss risk factors for malignant transformation 9. What is the ideal resection margin for TGCs suspected or preoperatively diagnosed with malignant component?



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 77276

Title: Retrorectal mucinous adenocarcinoma arising from a tailgut cyst: Case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05755618

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACP, MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-04-23

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-08 12:07

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-09 03:18

Review time: 15 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have answered reviewer's comments and revised the article well.