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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This a good manuscript that examines the difficult issue of CDH during pregnancy. The aim is clearly stated from de titl on. The methodology is correct. The results are well analyzed and displayed. The discussion is too long but correct and the references are good. I suggest to abridge a little bit the discussion, but otherwise I would recommend acceptation.
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