



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 63655

**Title:** Hepatocellular carcinoma progression in hepatitis B virus-related cirrhosis patients receiving nucleoside (acid) analogs therapy: A retrospective cross-sectional study

**Reviewer’s code:** 03700188

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Attending Doctor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Author’s Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-01-31

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-02-06 14:06

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-02-07 01:46

**Review time:** 11 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The title is appropriate, reflecting well the work done. The summary adequately summarizes the work described in the manuscript. The keywords reflect the work described well. The manuscript adequately describes the history, current status and importance of the study. The manuscript describes methods such as data collection and analysis in adequate detail. The research objectives were achieved by the experiments used in this study. Most of the risk factors related to HCC were already known, but a local study can help us to compare the characteristics of different populations. Discussion: the manuscript interprets the findings appropriately and appropriately, highlighting the key points in a concise, clear and logical manner and and it discusses the scientific meaning of the article to clinical practice. The tables lack the definition of the acronym FBG. The manuscript adequately cites the most recent, important and reliable references in the introduction and discussion sections. And the manuscript is well organized and presented in a concise and consistent manner.



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 63655

**Title:** Hepatocellular carcinoma progression in hepatitis B virus-related cirrhosis patients receiving nucleoside (acid) analogs therapy: A retrospective cross-sectional study

**Reviewer's code:** 03700188

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Attending Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-01-31

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-03-26 10:18

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-03-27 16:47

**Review time:** 1 Day and 6 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1 Title. The title reflects the subject appropriately. 2 Summary. The summary is well written. 3 Keywords. The keywords reflect the focus of the manuscript. 4 Background. The manuscript adequately describes the history and the importance of the study. 5 methods. The manuscript describes methods in adequate detail. 6 results. The research objectives are achieved and can help to better guide and guide patients with risk factors. 7 Discussion. The manuscript interprets the findings in an appropriate and appropriate, clear and logical manner. The discussion was accurate and adequately discusses the scientific significance of the article. 8 References. The manuscript adequately cites the most recent, important and reliable references in the introduction and discussion sections. 9 Quality of the organization and presentation of the manuscript. The manuscript is well, concise and coherently organized. 10 The tables are sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents.