

Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS



October 15, 2013

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 4174-review.doc).

Title: Triple osteotomy: review of literature and our mid-term results in adults (old title)

Author: Tomohiro Mimura M.D., Kanji Mori M.D., Taku Kawasaki M.D., Shinji Imai M.D., and Yoshitaka Matsusue M.D.

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4174

At first, we performed rewriting the manuscript, figure legends, and tables, according to revision of professional English editing company. A certificate is attached at Non-Native Speakers of English box in DOCUMENT LIST FOR SUBMITTING A REVISED MANUSCRIPT. Secondly, following the comment [WL1], we put the reference number in superscript through the manuscript.

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewer (reviewer No. 00467034):

1. Following the reviewer comment [MB1], we changed the Title.
2. Following the reviewer comment [MB2], we changed this sentence to show concrete results. (Page 2, line 11-12 in revised version)
3. Thank you very much for your comment [MB3]. We delete the word “congenital dislocation of the hip (CDH)” and shortened the sentence. (Page 3, line 3-4 in revised version)
4. According to the reviewer comment [MB4], we deleted the word “or early osteoarthritis“. (Page 3, line 6 in revised version)
5. Following the reviewer comment [MB5], we changed the sentence, from “torn limbs“ to “acetabular labral tear“. (Page 4, line 12 in revised version)
6. Thank you very much for your comment [MB6]. We agree with the reviewer’s comment. We do not perform the arthroscopy before acetabular osteotomy now. However, we used to perform arthroscopy to make sure the degree of acetabular labral tear and articular cartilage damage compare to MRI findings in the past. (Please see page 4, line 10-11 in revised version)
7. Thank you very much for your comment [MB7]. We agree with the reviewer’s comment. After the section of “Modification of triple osteotomy”, we inserted the table 4, which summarized the advantages and demerits of triple osteotomy, for Reader to easily understand several concerns in many sections after this paragraph (Page 8, line 8-9 in revised version). In addition, we shortened the paragraph “Advantages and demerits of triple osteotomy” (Page 18, line 7~ in revised version).

8. According to the reviewer comment [MB8], we changed the figure 2-A. We think a new figure is complete one showing lateral part of great trochanter.

9. Following the reviewer comment [MB9], we added the explanation of concrete method of fixation in figure legend (in figure 2). (Page 20, line 10-11 in revised version)

10. Thank you very much for your comment [MB10]. According to the reviewer comment, we added the osteotomy line of Bernese osteotomy and RAO in figure 4 and changed the figure legend. (Page 20, line 17-18 in revised version)

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Orthopedics*

Sincerely yours,

Kanji Mori, M.D.

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Shiga University of Medical Science,

Tsukinowa-cho, Seta, Otsu, Shiga 520-2192, Japan

TEL: +81(Japan)-77-548-2252,

FAX: +81(Japan)-77-548-2254