Limited Database Search: The manuscript's primary weakness lies in the limited database search conducted. Given the increasing competitiveness of meta-analyses, it is customary for most studies to search five or more databases, including unpublished literature. To ensure a comprehensive analysis, I recommend expanding the database search.

A. "Directory of Open Access Journals, and Europe PMC" was added and searched through a total of five databases.

Unclear Selection Criteria: The criteria used for selecting papers lack clarity. The authors mentioned applying some standards for paper screening, but generally, two independent reviewers should assess the papers, and any discrepancies should be resolved through discussion or arbitration by a third reviewer. This process is vital, particularly for a meta-analysis, as it guarantees the accuracy of the original analysis. It is essential to provide a more detailed description of this selection process in the manuscript.

A. Discrepancy documents were reviewed by including a third reviewer.

Publication Bias: The issue of publication bias should be addressed in the paper. I suggest using a forest plot to represent potential biases and their impact on the results.

A. I added it because you told me to add a picture.

Insufficient Studies in Each Analysis: Another concern is that the number of studies included in each analysis is too limited. Conducting a meta-analysis with only three papers may not yield significant results. The authors should consider including more studies to strengthen the robustness of their findings. In conclusion, this research holds promise and can contribute valuable insights to the field. However, the mentioned shortcomings need to be rectified before the paper can be considered for publication. I encourage the authors to revise the manuscript, addressing the points raised above, to enhance its quality and impact.

A. It was removed from the results because the number of papers on child development was too small.