

This is an interesting case report. There are several typographic and linguistic error through the paper which should be thoroughly revised. Case presentation: Please provide your imaging differential consideration in the case presentation.

Discussion: Please elaborate on differential imaging considerations for third ventricular mass. Please review imaging findings that would be helpful in excluding other differential diagnosis and narrow the diagnosis to malignant epidermoid tumor (Post contrast, spectro, perfusion findings). This can also be presented in table format. Figure: Please provide annotations (arrows/arrowheads) in the figures to show the findings for general reader. Table 1 (Timeline) is not necessary for this case report.

We thank you for critically reviewing our manuscript/case report. We have polished the language and ensured no typographical or linguistic errors appear. Case report has been typed in US English.

We have provided a tabulation of differential diagnosis based on a research publication. All imaging sequences have been explicitly mentioned in the case presentation.

Considering the imaging findings, we suspected the lesion to be an epidermoid, dermoid, or an arachnoid cyst. However, we ruled out the diagnosis of dermoid or arachnoid cyst considering the presence of a restricted diffusion on DWI. A combination of T1WI, T2WI, T2 Axial FLAIR, and DWI helped us diagnose an epidermoid cyst. However, the use of MR spectroscopy helped in making the probable diagnosis of malignant epidermoid arising from the third ventricle.

An unusual case presentation of malignant epidermoid arising from the third ventricle using a multimodality imaging is interesting in this work. But minor revision needs to be performed. In Conclusion, "The combination of PET-CT, MRI, and MR spectroscopy was useful in confirming the diagnosis of malignant epidermoid arising from the third ventricle," was described, but PET-CT was not used in this work. Please revise it. Also, minor language needs to be polished.

We thank you for critically reviewing our manuscript/case report. We have polished the language and ensured no typographical or linguistic errors appear. Case report has been typed in US English. We have only used CT and not PET-CT. This was a typographical error from our end. Apologies for the same. We thus conclude by stating, 'The combination of CT, MRI, and MR spectroscopy was useful in confirming the diagnosis of malignant epidermoid arising from the third ventricle'.

We appreciate your patience and co-operation on the same.