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SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS
This is an interesting rare case report completed with a fairly comprehensive discussion of published literature. There is a need to complete the article with some relevant imagery, it will also make the body of the manuscript more appealing.
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This is a rare and valuable care report described primary mucosal melanoma. However, there are several problems with this paper that should be corrected. 1. In this case, a bulging tumor was identified endoscopically. The endoscopic findings are important to differentiate between adenocarcinoma and melanoma but are not presented as a Figure. Please add a Figure of the endoscopic findings. 2. In this case, surgery was performed. The results of the surgical procedure should be described. In particular, the macroscopic and microscopic pathology findings of the surgical specimen and the final TNM classification should be described. 3. In the OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP section it is stated that there were no recurrences or metastases in the follow-up period. It should state how long the follow-up period was. 4. The discussion section should focus on this case and its associated content. Since this case is an MMG, descriptions of MMHN and MMFG are redundant.
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You have corrected the areas we have pointed out satisfactorily. I have no additional comments.