REPLY TO REVIEWERS

Reviewer n. 1
Thank for the positive comment.

Reviewer n.2
Thanks for the positive comment.
As regards the suggested creation of a table to be added with the results of endoscopic anti-reflux treatments, I tried to compose it, but then I gave up. In fact it is difficult to transfer the results from the various studies in a table, due to the large number of parameters to be put in the abscissa and ordinates of the table, considering the various treatments to be compared (on the one hand laparoscopic Nissan fundoplication and PPI treatment versus TIF, STRETTA, or their association). Furthermore, there are different systems for reflux symptom evaluation and for the objective assessment of the reflux, in addition to the different types of studies, number of patients, outlook times, etc that must be indicated.

Reviewer n.3
Thank for the positive comment
I have modified the manuscript following the raised issues: one of the two “anti-reflux mucosectomy” has been deleted; a period was put before “Furthermore” in Core Tip and the double spaces between words were deleted.