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Although the text is a detailed and very interesting description of the surgical experience about a patient. There is a case published by you in another journal, which seems to be the same case. In fact, the publication has the same title and the same first author.
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**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The present manuscript describes the case of a patient with alveolar echinococcosis and ex vivo liver resection and autotransplantation as an adequate therapy. The article is well structured and clear. The comparison with the current literature shows the special character of this therapy. Perhaps the possibility of liver transplantation should be discussed more intensively despite the current shortage of organs. If only the prognosis for this young patient with a liver transplant had been excellent.