ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stomatology
ESPS manuscript NO: 16666
Title: Diagnostic aids in detection of oral cancer: An update
Reviewer's code: 00569911
Reviewer's country: China
Science editor: Yue-Li Tian
Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 11:11
Date reviewed: 2015-04-13 17:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	publication
[Y] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] Plagiarism	[Y] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[ ] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The diagnostic tools can be categorized in a more logical manner. Relevant illustrations may be helpful for the readers to appreciate the various diagnostic aids.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stomatology
ESPS manuscript NO: 16666
Title: Diagnostic aids in detection of oral cancer: An update
Reviewer's code: 00069481
Reviewer's country: China
Science editor: Yue-Li Tian
Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 11:11
Date reviewed: 2015-04-03 12:22

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[ ] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	publication
[Y] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] Plagiarism	[Y] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[ ] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comment for ESPS Manuscript NO 16666 The fact that advanced diagnostic aids in detection of oral cancer has already been described (Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2012;13(8):3573-6.; J Dent Med Res 2014;1(3):139-143.; J Adv Med and Dent Sci Res. 2014 (2):39-43.; Indian J Multidisciplinary Dent. 928 (4):928-934). In this context of limited novelty that provides little new information to enhance the current understanding.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stomatology
ESPS manuscript NO: 16666
Title: Diagnostic aids in detection of oral cancer: An update
Reviewer's code: 00742050
Reviewer's country: Croatia
Science editor: Yue-Li Tian
Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 11:11
Date reviewed: 2015-01-28 11:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[ ] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Introduction is concise and clear. It is well written and understandable for wide range of dentists. All diagnostic tools are well described.It could be useful in everyday clinical practice also . Manuscript is worth of publishing.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stomatology
ESPS manuscript NO: 16666
Title: Diagnostic aids in detection of oral cancer: An update
Reviewer's code: 00506101
Reviewer's country: Italy
Science editor: Yue-Li Tian
Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 11:11
Date reviewed: 2015-02-01 21:45

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	[Y] Accept
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language	[ ] The same title	[ ] High priority for
[ ] Grade C: Good	polishing	[ ] Duplicate publication	publication
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of	[ ] Plagiarism	[ ] Rejection
[ ] Grade E: Poor	language polishing	[Y] No	[ ] Minor revision
	[ ] Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	[ ] Major revision
		[ ] The same title	
		[ ] Duplicate publication	
		[ ] Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very good and interesting article.